18.726 Algebraic Geometry Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

## 18.726: Algebraic Geometry (K.S. Kedlaya, MIT, Spring 2009) Problem Set 9 (due Friday, April 17, in class)

Please submit *nine* of the following exercises, including all items marked "Required".

1. (Required) Suppose that the (not necessarily paracompact) topological space X admits a nice basis B (i.e., a neighborhood basis closed under pairwise intersections). Let  $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf of abelian groups on X such that  $\check{H}^i(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $U \in B$ . Prove that  $\check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  is naturally isomorphic to  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . This suffices for the proof that quasicoherent sheaves on affine schemes are acyclic, and that Čech cohomology computes the sheaf cohomology of any quasicoherent sheaf on a *separated* scheme (by taking B to be all affine subschemes). I'll address the comparison between Čech and sheaf cohomology for a paracompact topological space on the next problem set.

Hint: Induct on *i*. For the induction step, it suffices to prove that  $\check{H}^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ for each cover  $\mathfrak{U}$  of X by basic opens. To do this, build a diagram



with exact rows and columns, with each \* flasque. Then take global sections and argue using a diagram chase. (Again, this is secretly a spectral sequence argument!) See also Hartshorne Lemma III.4.4 for the definition of the natural map, and Hartshorne exercise III.4.4(c) for an argument in the case i = 1.

- 2. (Required)
  - (a) If you did not submit Hartshorne II.1.16, do it now.
  - (b) Otherwise, answer the following question. Suppose I have a topological space X, a basis B, and a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  whose restriction map to each basic open set is surjective. Suppose also that

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence of sheaves of abelian groups on X. Where does the proof that  $\mathcal{G}(X) \to \mathcal{H}(X)$  is surjective break down?

- 3. (Required) Hartshorne III.2.1(a).
- 4. Hartshorne III.2.1(b).
- 5. Hartshorne III.2.2.
- 6. (counts as two) Harsthorne III.2.3.
- 7. Hartshorne III.2.7.
- 8. (a) Do Hartshorne III.3.1 following the hint.
  - (b) Do Hartshorne III.3.1 again without using cohomology. (For this argument, you shouldn't need any noetherian hypotheses.)
  - (c) Do Hartshorne III.3.2, preferably without a noetherian hypothesis.
- 9. Hartshorne III.3.8.
- 10. Do Hartshorne III.4.1 but without the noetherian hypothesis.
- 11. (Required) Hartshorne III.4.3.
- 12. Hartshorne III.4.5.
- 13. Hartshorne III.4.7.
- 14. Using a suitable cover, compute the singular cohomology of the *n*-sphere  $S^n$ . You may assume without proof that the sheaf cohomology of  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  computes singular cohomology, and that this sheaf is acyclic on a contractible space (i.e., the higher singular cohomology of a contractible space vanishes). (Hint: your answer should be  $\mathbb{Z}$  in degrees 0, nand 0 otherwise. You may prefer to consider using an open cover in which each finite intersection is a *disjoint union* of contractible sets, but not necessarily having at most one connected component.)