18.726 Algebraic Geometry Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

18.726: Algebraic Geometry (K.S. Kedlaya, MIT, Spring 2009) Problem Set 8 (due Friday, April 10, in class)

Please submit *nine* of the following exercises, including all items marked "Required". You may assume that all abelian categories under consideration admit a faithful additive functor to <u>Ab</u> commuting with limits and colimits (so that diagram-chasing arguments become valid).

- 1. (Required) Let C be an abelian category. Prove that the category of complexes with values in C is again an abelian category.
- 2. (Required) Prove that an abelian group is injective if and only if it is divisible. (This proof was sketched in class.)
- 3. (Required) Let $T: \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ be a cohomological functor between abelian categories, such that T^i is effaceable for each i > 0. Complete the proof that T is universal. (Hint: to check independence from the choice of u, you may want to use a pushout construction.)
- 4. Prove the acyclic resolution theorem. (Hint: break the acyclic resolution up into a sequence of short exact sequences, and take the long exact homology sequence of each piece.)
- 5. Prove that an element of $\underline{\text{Mod}}_R$ is projective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free module.
- 6. It was stated in class that "exact functors preserve cohomology of complexes". Write down what this means formally and then prove it.
- 7. (Required) Suppose that the abelian category \mathcal{C} admits enough injectives.
 - (a) Prove that any complex in nonnegative degrees admits an injective resolution. (This is a bit ambiguous: here I mean a single complex of injectives receiving a map from the original complex which is a quasi-isomorphism.)
 - (b) Prove that given any morphism $f: C^{\cdot} \to D^{\cdot}$ of complexes in nonnegative degrees, and any injective resolution I^{\cdot} of C^{\cdot} , there exist an injective resolution J^{\cdot} of D^{\cdot} and a morphism $I^{\cdot} \to J^{\cdot}$ inducing f on cohomology.
- 8. (Required) Suppose that the abelian category \mathcal{C} admits enough injectives. Write down a list of all of the compatibilities one must check in order to define right derived functors of a left exact functor F in terms of injective resolutions, but do not check them (except for the ones handled by the previous exercise). For instance, one of these is that the object $R^iF(X)$ is well-defined up to canonical isomorphism.
- 9. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category.

(a) For objects M, N in \mathcal{C} and i > 0, consider the set of equivalence classes of short exact sequences $0 \to M \to P \to N \to 0$ under the relation that two sequences with center terms P_1, P_2 are equivalent if there is a diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_M} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{\mathrm{id}_N}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

(this forces $P_1 \cong P_2$ by the five lemma, so this is indeed an equivalence relation). Prove that the operation of *Baer sum* gives this set a group structure: given two sequences with center terms P_1, P_2 , let P be the quotient of $P_1 \times_N P_2$ by the image of the map $B \to P_1 \times_N P_2$ acting as $B \to P_1$ on the first factor and *minus* $B \to P_2$ on the second factor. (This is sometimes called the *Yoneda extension group*.)

- (b) Prove that the construction in (a) is canonically isomorphic to the group $\operatorname{Ext}^1(N, M)$.
- (c) In <u>Ab</u>, the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to P \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ can be filled in in two ways, with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. However, $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Why are these two statements not contradictory?
- 10. Generalize the previous exercise as follows. For objects M, N in \mathcal{C} , consider classes of exact sequences $0 \to M \to P_n \to \cdots \to P_1 \to N \to 0$. Construct the minimal equivalence relation under which two sequences are equivalent if there is any commutative diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{\mathrm{id}_M} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\mathrm{id}_N} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\mathrm{id}_N}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P'_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P'_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

but without any hypothesis on the arrows $P_i \to P'_i$. (Note that you need to generate the equivalence relation in this case; this condition itself is not symmetric.) Define Baer sum in this case to be

$$0 \to P_n'' \to P_{n-1} \oplus P_{n-1}' \to \cdots \to P_2 \oplus P_2' \to P_1'' \to 0$$

where P_n'' is the fibred coproduct (pushout) of $M \to P_n$ and $M \to P_n'$, and P_1'' is the fibred product (pullback) of $P_1 \to N$ and $P_1' \to N$.

- (a) Prove that this construction gives a group. (This includes checking that the Baer sum respects equivalence.)
- (b) Prove that this group is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N)$. (Hint: one way to do this is to check that these groups together form an effaceable cohomological functor. This means that given a short exact sequence $0 \to N_1 \to N \to N_2 \to 0$, you must interpret the connecting homomorphism $\delta^i : \operatorname{Ext}^i(M,N_2) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}(M,N_1)$ in terms of sequences.)

- 11. Let G be a group. Prove that for any left $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module M, the first group cohomology group $H^1(G,M)$ is equal to the quotient of the group of crossed homomorphisms (i.e., set maps $f: G \to M$ satisfying f(gh) = g(f(h)) + f(g) for all $g, h \in G$) by the subgroup of principal crossed homomorphisms (i.e., crossed homomorphisms of the form f(g) = g(m) m for some $m \in M$). (You may do this either using Yoneda extensions, or using an explicit construction of a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z} in $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}$. Beware that the Wikipedia explanation of the latter appears to have some typos.)
- 12. Prove that $\underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_R$ has enough injectives as follows. Given $M \in \underline{\mathrm{Mod}}_R$, let $M \to Q$ be a monomorphism of abelian groups with Q divisible. Prove that

$$M = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ab}}(R, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ab}}(R, Q)$$

may be viewed as a monomorphism of R-modules taking M into an injective R-module. (We will prove a more general result later.)

13. Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. Prove that M is flat if and only if $\operatorname{Tor}_1(R/I, M) = 0$ for every *finitely generated* ideal I of R. (Easy corollary: if R is a principal ideal domain, then M is flat if and only if it is torsion-free.)