16. Ring Homomorphisms and Ideals

Definition 16.1. Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be a function between two rings. We say that ϕ is a ring homomorphism if for every a and $b \in R$,

$$\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$$
$$\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b),$$

and in addition $\phi(1) = 1$.

Note that this gives us a category, the category of rings. The objects are rings and the morphisms are ring homomorphisms. Just as in the case of groups, one can define automorphisms.

Example 16.2. Let $\phi \colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the map that sends a complex number to its complex conjugate. Then ϕ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} . In fact ϕ is its own inverse.

Let $\phi: R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$ be the map that sends f(x) to f(x+1). Then ϕ is an automorphism. Indeed the inverse map sends f(x) to f(x-1).

By analogy with groups, we have

Definition 16.3. Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. The **kernel** of ϕ , denoted $\operatorname{Ker} \phi$, is the inverse image of zero.

As in the case of groups, a very natural question arises. What can we say about the kernel of a ring homomorphism? Since a ring homomorphism is automatically a group homomorphism, it follows that the kernel is a normal subgroup. However since a ring is an abelian group under addition, in fact all subgroups are automatically normal.

Definition-Lemma 16.4. Let R be a ring and let I be a subset of R. We say that I is an **ideal** of R and write $I \triangleleft R$ if I is a an additive subgroup of R and for every $a \in I$ and $r \in R$, we have

$$ra \in I$$
 and $ar \in I$.

Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be a ring homorphism and let I be the kernel of ϕ . Then I is an ideal of R.

Proof. We have already seen that I is an additive subgroup of R. Suppose that $a \in I$ and $r \in R$. Then

$$\phi(ra) = \phi(r)\phi(a)$$

$$= \phi(r)0$$

$$= 0$$

Thus ra is in the kernel of ϕ . Similarly for ar.

As before, given an additive subgroup H of R, we let R/H denote the group of left cosets of H in R.

Proposition 16.5. Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R, such that $I \neq R$.

Then R/I is a ring. Furthermore there is a natural ring homomorphism

$$u: R \longrightarrow R/I$$

which sends r to r + I.

Proof. As I is an ideal, and addition in R is commutative, it follows that R/I is a group, with the natural definition of addition inherited from R. Further we have seen that ϕ is a group homomorphism. It remains to define a multiplication in R/I.

Given two left cosets r+I and s+I in R/I, we define a multiplication in the obvious way,

$$(r+I)(s+I) = rs + I.$$

In fact this is forced by requiring that u is a ring homorphism.

As before the problem is to check that this is well-defined. Suppose that r' + I = r + I and s' + I = s + I. Then we may find i and j in I such that r' = r + i and s' = s + j. We have

$$r's' = (r+i)(s+j)$$
$$= rs + is + rj + ij.$$

As I is an ideal, $is + rj + ij \in I$. It follows that r's' + I = rs + I and multiplication is well-defined. The rest is easy to check.

As before the quotient of a ring by an ideal is a categorical quotient.

Theorem 16.6. Let R be a ring and I an ideal not equal to all of R. Let $u: R \longrightarrow R/I$ be the obvious map. Then u is universal amongst all ring homomorphisms whose kernel contains I.

That is, suppose $\phi \colon R \longrightarrow S$ is any ring homomorphism, whose kernel contains I. Then there is a unique ring homomomorphism $\psi \colon R/I \longrightarrow S$, which makes the following diagram commute,

$$R \xrightarrow{\phi} S$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

Theorem 16.7. (Isomorphism Theorem) Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings. Suppose that ϕ is onto and let I be the kernel of ϕ .

Then S is isomorphic to R/I.

Example 16.8. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Fix a non-zero integer n and let I consist of all multiples of n. It is easy to see that I is an ideal of \mathbb{Z} . The quotient, \mathbb{Z}/I is \mathbb{Z}_n the ring of integers modulo n.

Definition-Lemma 16.9. Let R be a commutative ring and let $a \in R$ be an element of R.

The set

$$I = \langle a \rangle = \{ ra \mid r \in R \},$$

is an ideal and any ideal of this form is called principal.

Proof. We first show that I is an additive subgroup.

Suppose that x and y are in I. Then x = ra and y = sa, where r and s are two elements of R. In this case

$$x + y = ra + sa$$
$$= (r + s)a.$$

Thus I is closed under addition. Further -x = -ra = (-r)a, so that I is closed under inverses. It follows that I is an additive subgroup.

Now suppose that $x \in I$ and that $s \in R$. Then

$$sx = s(ra)$$
$$= (sr)a \in I.$$

It follows that I is an ideal.

Definition-Lemma 16.10. Let R be a ring. We say that $u \in R$ is a unit, if u has a multiplicative inverse.

Let I be an ideal of a ring R. If I contains a unit, then I = R.

Proof. Suppose that $u \in I$ is a unit of R. Then vu = 1, for some $v \in R$. It follows that

$$1 = vu \in I$$
.

Pick $a \in R$. Then

$$a = a \cdot 1 \in I$$
.

Proposition 16.11. Let R be a division ring. Then the only ideals of R are the zero ideal and the whole of R. In particular if $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ is any ring homomorphism then ϕ is injective.

Proof. Let I be an ideal, not equal to $\{0\}$. Pick $u \in I$, u = 0. As R is a division ring, it follows that u is a unit. But then I = R.

Now let $\phi: R \longrightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and let I be the kernel. Then I cannot be the whole of R, so that $I = \{0\}$. But then ϕ is injective.

Example 16.12. Let X be a set and let R be a ring. Let F denote the set of functions from X to R. We have already seen that F forms a ring, under pointwise addition and multiplication.

Let Y be a subset of X and let I be the set of those functions from X to R whose restriction to Y is zero.

Then I is an ideal of F. Indeed I is clearly non-empty as the zero function is an element of I. Given two functions f and g in F, whose restriction to Y is zero, then clearly the restriction of f + g to Y is zero. Finally, suppose that $f \in I$, so that f is zero on Y and suppose that g is any function from X to R. Then gf is zero on Y. Thus I is an ideal.

Now consider F/I. I claim that this is isomorphic to the space of functions G from Y to R. Indeed there is a natural map from F to G which sends a function to its restriction to Y,

$$f \longrightarrow f|_{Y}$$
.

It is clear that the kernel is I. Thus the result follows by the Isomorphism Theorem. As a special case, one can take X = [0,1] and $R = \mathbb{R}$. Let $Y = \{1/2\}$. Then the space of maps from Y to \mathbb{R} is just a copy of \mathbb{R} .

Example 16.13. Let R be the ring of Gaussian integers, that is, those complex numbers of the form a + bi.

Let I be the subset of R consisting of those numbers such 2|a and 2|b. I claim that I is an ideal of R. In fact suppose that $a+bi \in I$ and $c+di \in I$. Then

$$(a+bi) + (c+di) = (a+c) + (b+d)i.$$

As a and c are even, then so is a+c and similarly as b and d are even, then so is b+d. Thus I is closed under addition. Similarly I is closed under inverses.

Now suppose that $a+bi \in I$ and r=c+di is a Gaussian integer. Then

$$(c+di)(a+bi) = (ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i.$$

As a and b are even, so are ac - bd and ad + bc and so I is an ideal.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.703 Modern Algebra Spring 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.