## Chapter 8

### 8.1 Gaussian Elimination

The process of Gaussian elimination is a fundamental tool in solving linear systems of equations.
Example 1: Consider the linear system:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =3  \tag{8.1}\\
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+4 x_{3} & =7  \tag{8.2}\\
x_{1}+3 x_{2}+9 x_{3} & =13 \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The traditional way of solving this system is to subtract the first equation from the second and the third to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =3  \tag{8.4}\\
x_{2}+3 x_{3} & =4  \tag{8.5}\\
2 x_{2}+8 x_{3} & =12 \tag{8.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now subtract 2 times the second equation from the third to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & =3  \tag{8.7}\\
x_{2}+3 x_{3} & =4  \tag{8.8}\\
2 x_{3} & =2 \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can perform back substitution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=1 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{2}=3-3 x_{3}=4-3 \cdot 1=1  \tag{8.11}\\
& x_{1}=3-x_{2}-x_{3}=3-1-1=1 . \tag{8.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Instead of performing the same process for every right hand side, it is more advantageous to use matrix factorizations instead. Write the System 8.1-8.3 as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.13}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 3 & 9
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
7 \\
13
\end{array}\right]
$$

In general linear systems are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n}  \tag{8.15}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where we assume that leading principal minors $A(1: k, 1: k), k=1,2, \ldots, n$, of the $n$-by- $n$ matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$ are nonzero.

Some linear systems are easy to solve. For example if $A$ is triangular or diagonal.
If $A$ is (lower or upper) triangular nonsingular matrix then $A x=b$ can be solved via back substitution. The system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & & &  \tag{8.16}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(the zero entries of the upper triangular part have been omitted) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{11} x_{1} & =b_{1}  \tag{8.17}\\
a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2} & =b_{2}  \tag{8.18}\\
& \cdots  \tag{8.19}\\
a_{n 1} x_{1}+a_{n 2} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{n n} x_{n} & =b_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

and is solved by computing $x_{1}$ from the first equation, substituting into the second and so on:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} & =\frac{b_{1}}{a_{11}}  \tag{8.20}\\
x_{2} & =\frac{1}{a_{21}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}\right)  \tag{8.21}\\
& \cdots \\
x_{n} & =\frac{1}{a_{n n}}\left(b_{n}-a_{n 1} x_{1}-a_{n 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{n, n-1} x_{n-1}\right) \tag{8.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution to a diagonal linear system is trivial:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
d_{1} & & &  \tag{8.23}\\
& d_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & d_{n}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

implies $x_{i}=\frac{b_{i}}{d_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Definition: A matrix $A$ is called unit lower triangular if $a_{i j}=0,1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and $a_{i i}=1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

An unit upper triangular matrix is defined analogously.
Example: The following 4-by-4 matrix is unit lower triangular

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & & &  \tag{8.24}\\
2 & 1 & & \\
3 & 5 & 1 & \\
4 & 6 & 7 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Exercise: Prove that if $A$ and $B$ are unit lower triangular matrices, then so are $A^{-1}$ and $A B$.
Definition: Let $A$ be a nonsingular matrix, then a decomposition of $A$ as a product of a unit lower triangular matrix $L$, a diagonal matrix $D$ and a unit upper triangular matrix $U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=L D U \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called an $L D U$ decomposition of $A$.
The main idea in what follows is to use Gaussian elimination to compute the LDU decomposition of $A$.

Once we have the LDU decomposition of $A$, the equation $A x=b$ becomes $L D U x=b$, which is easy to solve. First compute the solution $y$ to the lower triangular system $L y=b$, then the solution $z$ to the diagonal system $D z=y$, and finally the solution $x$ to the upper triangular system $U x=z$. Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=L D U x=L D(U x)=L(D z)=L y=b \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

as desired.
So how does one compute the LDU decomposition of a nonsingular matrix $A$ ?

First we represent a subtraction of a multiple of one row from another in matrix form. Consider the matrix:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.27}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 9 & 27
\end{array}\right]
$$

In order to introduce a zero in position $(3,1)$ we need to subtract 3 times the first row from the third. This is equivalent to multiplication by the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & &  \tag{8.28}\\
0 & 1 & \\
-3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

namely

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & &  \tag{8.29}\\
0 & 1 & \\
-3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 9 & 27
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & &  \tag{8.30}\\
0 & 1 & \\
-3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
0 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

the equality 8.29 implies

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.31}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 9 & 27
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
0 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]
$$

Next, subtract the first row from the second to analogously obtain

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.32}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now observe that the matrices used for elimination combine very nicely:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & &  \tag{8.33}\\
0 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.34}\\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 9 & 27
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then continue by induction-subtract 6 times the second row from the third, obtaining the decomposition

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{8.35}\\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 6 & 24
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & \\
0 & 1 & \\
0 & 6 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 \\
3 & 9 & 27
\end{array}\right] } & =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \\
0 & 1 & \\
0 & 6 & 1
\end{array}\right]} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right]  \tag{8.36}\\
& =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & \\
3 & 6 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{L} \cdot  \tag{8.37}\\
& =\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & \\
1 & 1 & \\
3 & 6 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{D} \cdot \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \\
& 1 & \\
& 6
\end{array}\right]}_{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 6
\end{array}\right]} \cdot \underbrace{}_{\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
& 1 & 3 \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{U}} \tag{8.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Algorithm: [Gaussian Elimination] The following algorithm computes the LDU decomposition of a matrix $A$ whose leading principal minors are nonzero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=A, L=I, D=I \\
& \text { for } i=1: n-1 \\
& \quad \text { for } j=i+1: n \\
& \quad l_{j i}=u_{j i} / u_{i i} \\
& \quad u_{j, i: n}=u_{j, i: n}-l_{j i} u_{i, i: n} \\
& \text { endfor } \\
& d_{i i}=u_{i i} \\
& u_{i, i: n}=u_{i, i: n} / d_{i i} \\
& \text { endfor }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.2 Pivoting, Partial and Complete

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} A=L_{1} A_{1} \tag{8.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{2} A_{2} & =L_{2} A_{2}  \tag{8.40}\\
\ldots & \\
A & =P_{1}^{T} L_{1} A_{1} \\
& =P_{1}^{T} L_{1} P_{2}^{T} L_{2} A_{2} \\
& =P_{1}^{T} L_{1} P_{2}^{T} L_{2} P_{3}^{T} L_{3} U \\
& =P_{1}^{T} P_{2}^{T} P_{3}^{T}\left(\left(P_{2}^{T} P_{3}^{T}\right)^{-1} L_{1} P_{2}^{T} P_{3}^{T}\right)\left(P_{3} L_{2} P_{3}^{T}\right) L_{3} U \\
& =P_{1}^{T} P_{2}^{T} P_{3}^{T} L_{1} L_{2} L_{3} U  \tag{8.41}\\
& =P^{T} L U
\end{align*}
$$

Complete pivoting: two sided

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=P^{T} L U Q^{T} \tag{8.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Operation count: $\frac{2}{3} n^{3}$ for GENP and GEPP, for complete pivoting

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}+(n-1)^{2}+\cdots & =\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \\
& =\frac{2}{3} n^{3} \text { additional } \tag{8.43}
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.3 Stability of GE

## Example:

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =L U  \tag{8.44}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-16} & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
10^{16} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-16} & 1 \\
0 & 1-10^{16}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{8.45}\\
\|A\| & =O(1)  \tag{8.46}\\
\|L\|,\|U\| & =O\left(10^{16}\right)  \tag{8.47}\\
A x & =b  \tag{8.48}\\
L U x & =x  \tag{8.49}\\
L y & =b  \tag{8.50}\\
U x=y &  \tag{8.51}\\
(L+\delta L) \hat{y} & =b  \tag{8.52}\\
\frac{\|\delta L\|}{\|L\|} & =O(\epsilon)  \tag{8.53}\\
\|\delta L\| & =O(1)  \tag{8.54}\\
(U+\delta U) \hat{x} & =y \tag{8.55}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\|\delta U\|}{\|U\|} & =O(\epsilon)  \tag{8.56}\\
\|\delta U\| & =O(1)  \tag{8.57}\\
A+\underbrace{(L L U+\delta L)(U+\delta U) \hat{x}}_{\delta A} & =b  \tag{8.58}\\
& =O\left(10^{16}\right) \\
\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} & =O\left(10^{16}\right), \tag{8.59}
\end{align*}
$$

while we expected $\epsilon$.
Theorem: $A$ : nonsingular. Let $A=L U$ be computed by GENP in floating point arithmetic. If $A$ has an $L U$ factorization, then for sufficiently small $\epsilon_{\text {machine }}$, the factorization completes successfully and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L} \tilde{U}=A+\delta A \tag{8.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|L\| \cdot\|U\|}=O\left(\epsilon_{\text {machine }}\right) \tag{8.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta A \in \mathcal{C}^{n \times n}$.
Backward Stability?
Need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}=O\left(\epsilon_{\text {machine }}\right) \tag{8.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { backward stability } \Leftrightarrow\|L\| \cdot\|U\|=O(\|A\|) \quad ? \tag{8.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Need to measure $\frac{\|L\| \cdot\|U\|}{\|A\|}$ and make sure it is $O\left(\epsilon_{\text {machine }}\right)$.
No pivoting: unstable.
Partial pivoting:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L\|_{\infty} \leq n \tag{8.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{i j}\right|<1 \tag{8.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the question moves down to the size of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|U\|}{\|A\|} \simeq \frac{\max \left|u_{i j}\right|}{\max \left|a_{i j}\right|} \equiv \rho \tag{8.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is called growth factor.
Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{L} \tilde{U} & =A+\delta A  \tag{8.68}\\
\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} & =O\left(\rho \epsilon_{\text {machine }}\right) \tag{8.69}
\end{align*}
$$

stable if $\rho=O(1)$.
Partial Pivoting: $\rho \leq 2^{n}$, attainable, but never happens. Usually $\leq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
Complete Pivoting: $\rho=O(1)$, but cost $\frac{4}{3} n^{3}$, same as QR .

