## Lecture 6

## Weak maximum principle for linear elliptic operators

Now we consider the more general differential operators

$$L = a^{ij}(x)D_{ij} + b^i(x)D_i + c(x),$$

i.e., for any  $C^2$  function u,

$$Lu = a^{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + b^i(x)\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x^i} + c(x)u(x),$$

where  $a^{ij}, b^i, c$  are bounded functions.

**Definition 1** Suppose L is like above.

1. If  $\exists \lambda(x) > 0$  s.t.  $(a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda(x)I$ , then L is elliptic. 2. If  $\exists \lambda(x) > \lambda_0 > 0$  s.t.  $(a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda(x)I$ , then L is strictly elliptic.

3. If  $\exists \infty > \Lambda > \lambda_0 > 0$  s.t.  $\Lambda I > (a^{ij}(x)) > \lambda_0 I$ , then L is uniformly elliptic.

**Theorem 1** Suppose L is elliptic in bounded domain  $\Omega$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $Lu \geq 0, c(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\partial \Omega} u.$$

 $\inf_{\Omega} u = \inf_{\partial \Omega} u.$ 

If  $Lu \leq 0$  instead, then

**Proof:** Assume  $x_0 \in \Omega$  s.t.  $u(x_0) = \sup_{\Omega} u$ , then  $(D_{ij}u(x_0)) \leq 0, D_iu(x_0) = 0$ , so we get

$$Lu(x_0) = a^{ij} D_{ij} u(x_0) \le 0.$$

If Lu > 0, then we have already get a contradiction. So the theorem is true for this simple case.

Now we turn to the general case  $Lu \ge 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $a^{11} > 0$ . Let  $v = e^{rx^1}$  for some constant r, then

$$v_i = re^{rx^1}\delta_{1i}, \quad v_{ii} = r^2 e^{rx^1}\delta_{1i}, \quad and \quad v_{ij} = 0, \forall i \neq j.$$

Thus

$$Lv = a^{11}r^2e^{rx^1} + b^1re^{rx^1} = (a^{11}r^2 + b^1r)e^{rx^1}$$

Since  $a^{11} > 0$ , we can choose r > 0 large enough such that Lv > 0, then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$L(u + \epsilon v) = Lu + \epsilon Lv > 0.$$

So by the result of the simple case, we get

$$\sup_{\Omega} (u + \epsilon v) = \sup_{\partial \Omega} (u + \epsilon v).$$

Now we let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\partial \Omega} u.$$

For the second part, the proof is just the same.

To generalize the theorem, we define

 $u^+ = \max\{u,0\}, \quad u^- = u - u^+, \quad \ \Omega^+ = \{x | u(x) > 0\}.$ 

**Theorem 2** With the same assumption as above, and suppose  $Lu \ge 0$ ,  $c \le 0$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \le \sup_{\partial \Omega} u^+.$$

If  $Lu \leq 0, c(x) \leq 0$  instead, then

$$\inf_{\Omega} u \ge \inf_{\partial \Omega} u^{-1}$$

In particular, if  $Lu = 0, c(x) \leq 0$ , then

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| = \sup_{\partial \Omega} |u|.$$

**Proof:** Let  $L_0 u = a^{ij} D_{ij} u + b^i D_i u$ , then in  $\Omega^+$  we have  $L_0 u \ge -c(x) u \ge 0$ . Thus by the previous theorem, we have

$$\sup_{\Omega^+} u = \sup_{\partial \Omega^+} u.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\sup_{\Omega} u = \sup_{\Omega} u^{+} = \sup_{\Omega^{+}} u^{+} = \sup_{\Omega^{+}} u = \sup_{\partial\Omega^{+}} u \le \sup_{\partial\Omega} u^{+}.$$

## Uniqueness of solutions to Dirichlet problem

**Corollary 1** (Uniqueness) Suppose L elliptic,  $c(x) \leq 0$ ,  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ , and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Lu=Lv &, \ in \quad \Omega, \\ u=v &, \ on \quad \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

then u = v in  $\Omega$ .

(Comparison theorem) If

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Lu \ge Lv &, \quad in \quad \Omega, \\ u \le v &, \quad on \quad \partial\Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

then  $u \leq v$  in  $\Omega$ .

A Priori  $C^0$  estimates for solutions to  $Lu = f, c \leq 0$ .

**Theorem 3** Suppose L is strictly elliptic,  $c(x) \leq 0$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ , where  $\Omega$  is bounded domain.

If  $Lu \ge f$ , then there exists constant  $C = C(\lambda, \Omega)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \le \sup_{\partial \Omega} u^+ + C \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$

If Lu = f, then

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \le \sup_{\partial \Omega} |u| + C \sup_{\Omega} |f|.$$

**Proof:** Let  $L_0 = a^{ij}D_{ij} + b^iD_i$ , then

$$L_0 e^{rx^1} = (a^{11}r^2 + b^1r) > \delta > 1$$

for r large enough. Let

$$v = \sup_{\partial \Omega} u^+ + (e^{rd} - e^{rx^1}) \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|,$$

where  $d > x^1$  for  $\forall x \in \Omega$ . Then

$$Lv = L_0v + cv \le L_0v \le -\delta \sup_{\Omega} |f^-| \le -\sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$
  
$$\therefore \quad L(v-u) \le -\sup_{\Omega} |f^-| - f \le 0, \quad in \quad \Omega.$$

But  $v \ge u$  on  $\partial \Omega$  by definition. Thus the last corollary tells us  $v \ge u$  in  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{\Omega} u \le \sup_{\partial \Omega} u^+ + C \sup_{\Omega} |f^-|.$$

If Lu = f, replacing u by -u and f by -f, we thus get the second result.

## Strong maximum principle

First we introduce the Hopf's lemma.

**Lemma 1** Suppose *L* is uniformly elliptic, c = 0,  $Lu \ge 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Let  $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$  be such that (i) *u* is continuous at  $x_0$ ;

(*ii*) 
$$u(x_0) > u(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega$$

(iii)  $\partial \Omega$  satisfies an interior sphere condition at  $x_0$ .

Then the outer normal derivative of u at  $x_0$ , if exists, satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) > 0.$$

If  $c(x) \leq 0$ , then it holds for  $u(x_0) \geq 0$ . If  $u(x_0) = 0$ , then it holds for any c(x). **Proof:** Let B(y, R) be the interior sphere, i.e.  $B(y, R) \subset \Omega$  and  $x_0 \in \partial B(y, R)$ . Define  $v(x) = e^{-\alpha r^2} - e^{-\alpha R^2}$ , where r = |x - y|. Then

$$Lv = a^{ij} D_{ij}v + b^{i} (-\alpha (x^{i} - y^{i})e^{-\alpha r^{2}})$$
  
=  $a^{ij} (-\alpha \delta^{ij} e^{-\alpha r^{2}} + \alpha^{2} (x^{i} - y^{i})e^{-\alpha r^{2}}) + b^{i} (-\alpha (x^{i} - y^{i}e^{-\alpha r^{2}}))$   
=  $e^{-\alpha r^{2}} (\alpha^{2} a^{ij} (x^{i} - y^{i}) (x^{j} - y^{j}) - \alpha a^{ii} - \alpha b^{i} (x^{i} - y^{i}))$   
>  $e^{-\alpha r^{2}} (\alpha^{2} \lambda_{0} r^{2} - \alpha \Lambda - \alpha \sup |b| \cdot r)$ 

Take  $A = B_R(y) \setminus B_\rho(y)$ ,  $0 < \rho < R$ , then for  $\alpha$  large enough, Lv > 0 in A.

The assumption (ii) tells us  $u(x) < u(x_0)$  in  $\Omega$ , in particular this holds on  $\partial B(y, \rho)$ , so there is some  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $u(x) - u(x_0) < -\delta < 0$  on  $\partial B_{\rho}(y)$ .

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $u(x) - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \leq 0$  on  $\partial B_{\rho}(y)$ .

Since v = 0 on  $\partial B_R(y)$ , we automatically have  $u(x) - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \leq 0$  on  $\partial B_R(y)$ . Also we have known

$$L(u - u(x_0) + \epsilon v) = Lu + \epsilon Lv > 0,$$

thus by the comparison theorem, we get

$$u - u(x_0) + \epsilon v \le 0, \quad in \quad A$$

So

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x_0) \ge -\epsilon \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x_0) = \epsilon v'(R) > 0.$$

For  $u(x_0) = 0$ , just look at L - c(x).

Now we give the Strong Maximum Principle.

**Theorem 4** Suppose L is uniformly elliptic, c = 0,  $Lu \ge 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ . If u achieves its maximum in the interior, then u is constant.

If  $Lu \leq 0$  and u achieves its minimum in the interior, then u is constant.

If  $c \leq 0$ , then u cannot achieve a non-negative maximum in the interior unless u is constant.

**Proof:** Assume u is not constant, and achieves maximum M at  $x_0$  in the interior.

Let  $\Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega | u(x) < M\}$ . By definition we know  $\Omega^- \subset \Omega$ , and  $\partial \Omega^- \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$  since u is not constant.

Let  $x_1 \in \Omega^-$  be s.t.  $x_1$  is closer to  $\partial \Omega^-$  than  $\partial \Omega$ , and  $B(x_1, R)$  be the largest ball in  $\Omega^-$  centered at  $x_1$ . Then u(y) = M for some  $y \in \partial B(x_1, R)$ .

By Hopf's lemma, we get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(y) > 0.$$

This is a contradiction, since y is a maximum of u and so Du(y) should be 0.