## Lecture 24

May 13th, 2004

Our motivation for this last lecture in the course is to show a result using our regularity theory which is otherwise unprovable using classical techniques. This is the previous Theorem, and in particular the case $p \neq 2$ (which we haven't yet done) namely $N$ is a continuous map $L^{p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{2, p}(\Omega)$. Classical methods give at best $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$. For that we introduce the

## Calderon-Zygmund Decomposition Technique: Cube decomposition

$\mathcal{L}$ et $K_{0}$ be an $n$-dimensional cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, f \geq 0$ integrable and finally fix $t>0$ such that

$$
\int_{K_{0}} f \leq t\left|K_{0}\right| \equiv t \operatorname{Vol}\left(K_{0}\right), \quad \text { that is } \int_{K_{0}} f \leq t .
$$

Next bisect $K_{0}$ into $2^{n}$ equal (in volume) subcubes. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the collection of those subcubes $K$ for which $\int_{K} f>t$. I.e the subcubes where $f$ is highly concentrated. On each of the remaining subcubes (those not in $\mathcal{S}$ ) repeat the same procedure, i.e bisect each one into $2^{n}$ sub-subcubes and add those where $f$ is highly concentrated to $\mathcal{S}$, bisect the rest et ceterà... Now for any $K \in \mathcal{S}$ denote by $\tilde{K}$ its immediate predecessor. Since $K \in \mathcal{S}$ while $\tilde{K} \notin \mathcal{S}$

$$
t<\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(K)} \int_{K} f<\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(K)} \int_{\tilde{K}} f=\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\tilde{K})}{\operatorname{Vol}(K)} \cdot \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(\tilde{K})} \int_{\tilde{K}} f \leq 2^{n} t
$$

In summary $\forall K \in \mathcal{S} \quad t<\int_{K} f \leq 2^{n} t$. Denote $F:=\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{S}} K, G:=K_{0} \backslash F \equiv F^{C}=\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{S}} K^{C}$. We see each point in $G$ lies in infinitely many nested cubes with bounded concentration of $f$ with diameters converging to $0: \oint_{K_{i}} f \leq t$ with $\operatorname{Vol}\left(K_{i}\right) \longrightarrow 0$. By Lebesgue's Theorem on differentiation the LHS $\longrightarrow f \lambda$-a.e ( $\lambda$ denotes Lebesgue's measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ), i.e $f \leq t$ a.e ( $\equiv \lambda$-a.e) on $G$. In summary, on $F$ we have an average estimate, on $G$ we have a pointwise estimate.

## The promised proof

$\mathcal{W e}$ restate the desired result whose proof we gave for $p=2$.

Theorem. Let $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ for some $1<p<\infty$ and let $\omega=N f$ be the Newtonian Potential of f. Then $\omega \in W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ and $\Delta w=f$ a.e. and

$$
\left\|D^{2} w\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c(n, p, \Omega) \cdot\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
$$

For $p=2$ we have even

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|D^{2} \omega\right|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} f^{2}
$$

Proof. Define an operator $T: L^{2}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\Omega), \quad T f=\mathrm{D}_{i j} N f$. Last time we showed $\left\|\mathrm{D}_{i j} N f\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\|T f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$. In other words $T$ is strong $(2,2)$ and therefore automatically weak $(2,2)$ i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{T f} \leq\left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}{t}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Proposition in the previous lecture. If we will now be able to bound it with $\frac{\|f\|_{L^{1}(O)}}{t}$, the Interpolation Theorem will then provide the desired bound on $\mathrm{D}^{2} \omega$ for all $1<p<2$. By duality $2<p<\infty$ will then be taken care of as well (to be made precise). So we

Claim. Tis weak $(1,1)$ i.e

$$
\forall f \in L^{2}(\Omega) \cap L^{1}(\Omega) \quad \quad \mu_{T f}(t) \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}}{t}, \quad \forall t>0
$$

Proof. Extend $f$ trivially outside $\Omega$ (i.e so the extension vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ ), and given any fixed $t>0$ take a large enough cube $K_{0}$ containing $\Omega$ such that

$$
\int_{K_{0}}|f|=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(K_{0}\right)} \int_{K_{0}}|f| \leq t .
$$

The Cube Decomposition furnishes a countable number of cubes $\left\{K_{l}\right\}$ such that on each $t<\int_{K_{l}}|f| \leq 2^{n} t$ and in addition $|f| \leq t$ a.e on $G:=K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} K_{l}$. Split $f=b+g$ into bad, good parts by letting $g(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}f(x) & \text { on } G \\ \int_{K_{l}} f & \text { on } K_{l}\end{array}\right.$ i.e $f$ could be oscillating on $K_{l}$, instead we just replace it there by its average value therein. Then let $b:=f-g$, the bad or highly oscillating part. Note: $|g| \leq 2^{n} t$ a.e, $b(x)=0$ on $G$ and $\int_{K_{l}} b=0$.

We have now $T f=T b+T g$. And as in the Interpolation Theorem of the previous lecture

$$
\mu_{T f}(t) \leq \mu_{T b}(t / 2)+\mu_{T g}(t / 2) .
$$

We would like to bound this with the $L^{1}(\Omega)$ norm of $f$. We divide the computation into 3 parts.
$L^{1}(\Omega)$ estimate for $\mu_{T g}(t / 2)$. Using (1) on the good part we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{T g}(t / 2) & \leq\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}{t / 2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{K_{0}} g^{2}}{(t / 2)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $g /\left(2^{n} t\right) \leq 1,\left(g /\left(2^{n} t\right)\right)^{2} \leq|g| /\left(2^{n} t\right)$ or $(g / t)^{2} \leq 2^{n}|g| / t$ from which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{2^{n+2}}{t} \int_{K_{0}}|g| \\
& =\frac{2^{n+2}}{t} \int_{G}+\int_{\cup_{l} K_{l}}|g| \\
& =\frac{2^{n+2}}{t} \int_{G}|f|+\int_{\cup_{l} K_{l}}\left(\int_{K_{l}}|f|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{2^{n+2}}{t} \int_{\Omega}|f|=\frac{2^{n+2}}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

We have not used so far any properties of $T$. On the bad part we will, and we will work with the kernel of the Newtonian Potential, in just a moment.
$L^{1}\left(K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right)$ estimate for $T b$. Let $\bar{y}$ be the center of the subcube $K_{l}$. Let $B_{l}:=B(\bar{y}, \delta)$ which strictly contains $K_{l}$. The diameter of $K_{l}$ is $\delta:=\operatorname{diam}(\Omega) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2^{r}}$ if it belongs to the $r^{\text {th }}$ subdivision.

We content ourselves with bounding only the $L^{1}$ norm of $T b$ on $K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}$ since by part I) of the Proposition of Lecture 23 (with $p=1$ ) that will bound the distribution function $\mu_{T b}$ itself.

Write $b_{l}:=\mathbb{I}_{K_{l}}$, the characteristic function defined in Lecture 18. $\quad b=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_{l}$. The advantage of this splitting is that each term is compactly supported unlike $b$ itself. Fix some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and approximate $b_{l}$ by smooth functions $\left\{b_{l}^{(m)}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(K_{l}\right)$. By varying each with a constant one can make sure for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \int_{K_{l}} b_{l}^{(m)}=\int_{K_{l}} b_{l}=0$.

If $x \in K_{l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(b_{l}^{(m)}\right)(x) & =\int_{K_{l}} \mathrm{D}_{i j} \Gamma(x-y) b_{l}^{(m)}(y) d \mathbf{y} \\
& =\int_{K_{l}}\left[\mathrm{D}_{i j} \Gamma(x-y)-\mathrm{D}_{i j} \Gamma(x-\bar{y})\right] b_{l}^{(m)}(y) d \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the zero average $b_{l}^{(m)}$.

## Computation.

$$
\left|T b_{l}^{(m)}(x)\right| \leq c \cdot \delta \cdot \frac{1}{\left[\operatorname{dist}\left(x, K_{l}\right)\right]^{n+1}} \int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y} .
$$

Proof. Using the above equation in conjunction with the Mean Value Theorem of Calculus there exists $y_{0} \in K_{l}$ (and $\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq \delta \quad \forall y \in K_{l}$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T b_{l}^{(m)}(x)\right| & =\left|\int_{K_{l}} \mathrm{DD}_{i j} \Gamma\left(x-y_{0}\right) \cdot\left(y-y_{0}\right) b_{l}^{(m)}(y) d \mathbf{y}\right| \\
& \leq \int_{K_{l}}\left|\mathrm{DD}_{i j} \Gamma\left(x-y_{0}\right)\right| \cdot\left|y-y_{0}\right|\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y} \\
& \leq c \delta \int_{K_{l}} \frac{1}{\left|x-y_{0}\right|^{n+1}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y} \\
& \leq c \delta \frac{1}{\left[\operatorname{dist}\left(x, K_{l}\right)\right]^{n+1}} \int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

This now helps us evaluate the $L^{1}$ norm

$$
\int_{K_{0} \backslash B_{l}}\left|T b_{l}^{(m)}\right| \leq c \cdot \delta \int_{|x-\bar{y}| \geq \delta} \frac{1}{\left[\operatorname{dist}\left(x, K_{l}\right)\right]^{n+1}} d \mathbf{x} \cdot\left(\int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y}\right) .
$$

Note there is some $\tilde{y}$ with $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, K_{l}\right)=|x-\tilde{y}|$ and then $|x-\bar{y}| \leq|x-\tilde{y}|+\left|\tilde{y}-y_{0}\right| \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, K_{l}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2^{n+1} c \cdot \delta \int_{|x-\bar{y}| \geq \delta} \frac{1}{|x-\bar{y}|^{n+1}} d \mathbf{x} \cdot\left(\int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y}\right) \\
& =c^{\prime} \int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}^{(m)}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the above to get

$$
\int_{K_{0} \backslash B_{l}}\left|T b_{l}\right| \leq c^{\prime} \int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}(y)\right| d \mathbf{y}
$$

i.e we have taken care of things (have $L^{1}(\Omega)$ estimates there) on $K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}$, as can be seen by summing (the $b_{l}$ 's have disjoint supports so $|b|=\sum_{l}\left|b_{l}\right|$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T b\|_{L^{1}\left(K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right)}=\int_{K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}}|T b| & \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{K_{0} \backslash B_{l}}\left|T b_{l}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c^{\prime} \int_{K_{l}}\left|b_{l}\right| \\
& \leq c^{\prime} \int_{\bigcup_{l} B_{l}}|b|=c^{\prime} \int_{\bigcup_{l} B_{l}}|f|=c^{\prime}| | f \|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$L^{1}\left(\bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right)$ estimates for $\mu_{T b}(t / 2)$.

$$
\mu_{T b}(t / 2)=|\{x \in \Omega: T b(x)>t / 2\}| \leq\left|\left\{\alpha \in K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}:|T b|>t / 2\right\}\right|+\left|\bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right| .
$$

The first term is taken care of (by applying part I of the Proposition in Lecture 23 with $p=1$ to the estimate above for $\|T b\|_{L^{1}\left(K_{0} \backslash \bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right)}$ ). For the second, there exists some constant $c$ such that $\left|\bigcup_{l} B_{l}\right| \leq c\left|\bigcup_{l} K_{l}\right|$ by the geometry of cubes and balls. Now the $K_{l}$ were chosen with

$$
t<\int_{K_{l}}|f|,
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(K_{l}\right)<\frac{1}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(K_{l}\right)} .
$$

Altogether

$$
\mu_{T b}(t / 2) \leq \frac{c}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

Combining the above 3 parts

$$
\forall f \in L^{2}(\Omega) \quad \mu_{T f}(t) \leq \mu_{T b}(t / 2)+\mu_{T g}(t / 2) . \leq \frac{c}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} .+\frac{2^{n+1}}{t}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

That is $T$ is weak $(1,1)$ proving the Claim.

Thus by the Marcinkiewicz Interpolation Theorem (MIT) exists $c$ depending on the above constants, i.e on $n, p, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall f \in L^{2}(\Omega) \quad\|T f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}, \quad 1<p<2! \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the proof of the MIT $c$ blows up as $p$ approaches either of the endpoints. We mention without proof that as stronger version of the MIT states that if $T$ is strong $(r, r)$ and/or strong $(q, q)$ then the constant does not blow-up at $r$ and/or $q$. Therefore we have in fact $1<p \leq 2$ in (2). As a matter of fact we do not even need to invoke this stronger Theorem since we have done the case $p=2$ independently (with constant $=1!$ ) in the previous lecture.

Yet another idea would be to prove (2) for some one value $p$ greater than 2 , and apply the MIT to get (2) for $p=2$ as an intermediate value in the interval $(1, p)$ ! This will also conclude the proof of our Theorem as $p$ will be arbitrary.

To that end we use the so called Duality Method. Let $p>2$ be arbitrary. $\left(L^{p}(\Omega)\right)^{\star}=L^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1=\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{p}$. By the definition of the dual space (p. 3 of Lecture 17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}=\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega} T f \cdot g & =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{D}_{i j} \omega \cdot g \\
& =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega} \omega \cdot \mathrm{D}_{i j} g \\
& =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x-y) f(y) d \mathbf{y}\right) \mathrm{D}_{i j} g(x) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x-y) \mathrm{D}_{i j} g(x) f(y) d \mathbf{x}\right) d \mathbf{y} \\
& =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{D}_{i j} \Gamma(x-y) g(x) d \mathbf{x}\right) f(y) d \mathbf{y} \\
& =\sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|^{q}(O)=1}} \int_{\Omega} T g \cdot f
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\text { Hödders Ineq. }}{\leq} \sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \cdot\|T g\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C \sup _{\substack{g \in L^{q}(\Omega) \\
\|g\|_{L^{q}(O)}=1}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \\
& =C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \cdot 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we wished: $T$ is strong $(p, p)$. In the last inequality we simply used the fact that $1<q<2$ is in the range we have already taken care of.

In summary we have shown: If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \omega:=N f$ then $\Delta \omega=f$ and $\left\|\mathrm{D}^{2} \omega\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ for any $1<p<\infty$. Now identically to how we finished the proof of the last Theorem in the previous lecture we extend this to all functions in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ by approximating and subsequently taking limits and making use of Young's Inequality (Lecture 23).

Our work can be rephrased

Corollary. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and assume $u \in W_{0}^{2, p}(\Omega)$ for some $1<p<\infty$. Then

$$
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq c(n, p, \Omega) \cdot\|\Delta u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
$$

For $p=2$

$$
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=\|\Delta u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} .
$$

Proof. $u-N(\Delta u)$ satisfies Laplace's equation $\Delta(u-N(\Delta u))=0$ a.e. In other words $u-N(\Delta u)$ is a harmonic function with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ hence vanishes identically. Hence $u=N \Delta u$ and renaming $f:=\Delta u, \omega:=u$ gives the inequality from our above Theorem.

This is quite remarkable as it tells us that the whole Hessian, $\binom{n}{2}$ functions, can be bounded simply in terms of the sum of its $n$ diagonal terms - its trace.

Theorem. Let $L:=a^{i j} D_{i j}+b^{i} D_{i}+c$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain. Assume $u \in W^{2, p}(\Omega)$ for some $1<p<\infty$ satisfies $L u=f$ a.e. Assume

- $L$ strictly elliptic with $\left(a^{i j}\right)>\gamma \cdot I, \gamma>0$
- $a^{i j} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\Omega)$
- $b^{i}, c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$
- $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.

Then $\forall \Omega^{\prime} \Subset \Omega$ holds

$$
\|u\|_{W^{2, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq c \cdot\left(\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\right) .
$$

Proof. We know this for $L=\Delta$, and therefore for any constant coefficients operator satisfying the above by Lecture 12. Then perturbing the coefficients and proceeding just like in the Schauder case works, as in Lecture 13, works.

Assuming $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$ boundary, these estimates can be extended to hold globally on all of $\Omega$, as in done in Lecture 14.

