Lecture Nine: Hopf and Harnack Revisited

1 The Hopf maximum principle for uniformly elliptic operators

The next result that we will generalise is the Hopf Maximum principle. As before we will consider uniformly elliptic operators L taking

$$Lf = A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

with $\lambda |\mathbf{v}|^2 < \mathbf{v} \cdot A\mathbf{v} \le \Lambda |\mathbf{v}|^2$ for some real $0 < \lambda \le \Lambda$.

Theorem 1.1 (The Hopf Maximum principle for uniformly elliptic operators) Let u be an L harmonic function on $B_r(0)$ with a strict maximum at $x \in \partial B_r(0)$. There are constants C which depend only on L and the dimension such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}|_{(x)} \ge \frac{C}{r}(u(x) - u(0)). \tag{1}$$

We will actually prove that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}|_{(x)} \ge \frac{C}{r}(u(x) - \sup_{B_r} u).$$
(2)

Theorem 1.1 will then follow easily once we have a harnack inequality for elliptic operators.

Proof This proof is similar to the earlier version, though a bit more complicated. We will prove the case r = 1 and claim that the general result follows by scaling exactly as it did for the previous Hopf maximum principle. Let α be a constant, and define

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{x}|^2} - e^{-\alpha}.$$
(3)

Calculate

$$Lv = A_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

= $A_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(-2\alpha x_i e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{x}|^2} \right)$
= $\left(-2A_{ii}\alpha + 4A_{ij}\alpha^2 x_i x_j \right) e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{x}|^2}$
 $\geq \left(-2A_{ii}\alpha + 4\alpha^2 \lambda |\mathbf{x}|^2 \right) e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{x}|^2}$

by uniform elipticity. Restricting to $B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}$ we have

$$Lv \ge \left(\alpha^2 \lambda - 2\alpha A_{ii}\right) e^{-\alpha |\mathbf{x}|^2},\tag{4}$$

and so picking α large we can get $Lv \geq 0$ on the annulus.

Consider $u + \epsilon v$. Clearly this is subharmonic on $B_1 \setminus B_{1/2}$, so it takes it's maximum on either the inner or the outer boundary. We'll pick ϵ so that it occurs at x. We need

$$u(x) + \epsilon v(x) \ge \sup_{B_r} (u + \epsilon v)$$

Evaluating v gives

$$u(x) \ge \sup_{B_r} (u + \epsilon (e^{-\alpha/4} - e^{-\alpha})),$$

therefore choose

$$\epsilon = \frac{u(x) - \sup_{B_r} u}{2(e^{\alpha/4} - e^{-\alpha})}.$$
(5)

Also note that v is zero on the outer boundary, so the maximum of $u + \epsilon v$ is at x. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial(u+\epsilon v)}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{(x)} \ge 0.$$
(6)

Calculate $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{(x)} = -2\alpha e^{-\alpha}$, substitute in, and rearrange to get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{(x)} \geq -\epsilon \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{(x)} \tag{7}$$

$$\geq \frac{2\alpha e^{-\alpha}}{2(e^{\alpha/4} - e^{-\alpha})} (u(x) - \sup_{B_r} u). \tag{8}$$

The result then follows from the Harnack inequality.

2 Another proof of the Harnack inequality

We will now give an alternative proof of the Harnack inequality. It is based on a gradient estimate that is slightly stronger than the one we proved.

Proposition 2.1 Let u be a positive harmonic function on B_{2r} . Then

$$\sup_{B_r} \frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \le \frac{c}{r} \tag{9}$$

for some dimensional constant c (ie c depends on the dimension of the space, but not on u).

We will prove this next time.

We can derive the Harnack inequality from this as follows. Pick x and y in B_r , and let γ_1 be the straight path from x to 0, and γ_2 the straight path from 0 to y. Note that $\frac{|\nabla u|}{u} = |\nabla(\log u)|$, and calculate

$$\left|\log u(y) - \log u(x)\right| = \left|\int_{\gamma_1} \nabla(\log u) \cdot dx + \int_{\gamma_2} \nabla(\log u) \cdot dx\right|$$
(10)

$$\leq |x| \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla(\log u(sx)|ds + |y| \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla(\log u(sy))|ds \qquad (11)$$

$$\leq (|x|+|y|)\frac{c}{r} \tag{12}$$

$$\leq 2c.$$
 (13)

Taking exponents

$$e^{-2c} \le \frac{u(y)}{u(x)} \le e^{2c},$$
 (14)

and so

$$\sup_{B_r} u \le e^{2c} \inf_{B_r} u \tag{15}$$

as required.