Lecture Two: The Gradient Estimate

1 The Bochner Formula

For an $m \times m$ real matrix we can define a norm by taking

$$|A|^2 = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2.$$

In particular, if Ω is some subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, then we can take the norm of the Hessian.

$$|\text{Hess } u|^2 = \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)^2.$$
(1)

Using this we can prove the following.

Proposition 1.1 (The Bochner formula). Let u be a real valued function on some open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle |\nabla u|^2 = \langle \nabla \triangle u, \nabla u \rangle + |Hess \ u|^2, \tag{2}$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle$ indicates the usual dot product of x and y.

 ${\bf Proof}$ Proof is by calculation

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \triangle |\nabla u|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial j} \\ &= \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\triangle u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + |\text{Hess } u|^2 \\ &= < \nabla \triangle u, \nabla u > + |\text{Hess } u|^2. \end{split}$$

2 The Gradient Estimate

We now prove a gradient estimate for harmonic functions.

Theorem 2.1 There are dimensional constants c(n) such that

$$\sup_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u| \le \frac{c(n)}{r} \sup_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |u|.$$
(3)

for all harmonic functions u on $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

Proof Note that it suffices to check the case $x_0 = 0$. Now proceed as follows.

Step 1. Show that a sub-harmonic function on a ball takes it's maximum on the boundary. Let $p: B_{2r}(x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ be sub-harmonic. Note that $\triangle |x|^2 = 2n$, so $\triangle (p+\epsilon|x|^2) > 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, Therefore, by the maximum principle, $p + \epsilon |x|^2$ has no interior maximum, so it's maximum occurs on the boundary. Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we see that p takes its maximum on the boundary as well.

Step 2. Prove the result for r = 1. Take u harmonic on $B_2(0)$, and introduce a test function ϕ with $\phi = 0$ on the boundary of $B_2(0)$ and $\phi > 0$ on the interior. We will work with $\Delta(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2)$, and apply Bochner to simplify. Calculate

$$\begin{split} \triangle(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) &= \phi^2 \triangle |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) + 2\nabla(\phi^2) \cdot \nabla(|\nabla u|^2) \\ &= 2\phi^2 |\text{Hess } u|^2 + 2\phi^2 < \nabla \triangle u, \nabla u > + |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) + 8\sum_{i,j} \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \\ &= 2\phi^2 |\text{Hess } u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) + 8\sum_{i,j} \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}. \end{split}$$

Define $a_{ij} = \phi \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ and $b_{ij} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$. We can re-write to get

$$\triangle(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) = |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) + 2\sum_{i,j} \left(a_{ij}^2 + 4a_{ij}b_{ij}\right).$$
(4)

Note that $a_{ij}^2 + 4a_{ij}b_{ij} + 4b_{ij}^2 = (a_{ij} + 2b_{ij})^2 \ge 0$. Apply this to (4) to give

$$\triangle(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) \ge |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) - 8 \sum_{ij} b_{ij}^2$$
(5)

or, in our original notation,

$$\triangle(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2) \geq -8 \sum_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \triangle(\phi^2) \tag{6}$$

$$\geq -8|\nabla\phi|^2|\nabla u|^2 + \Delta(\phi^2)]|\nabla u|^2.$$
(7)

Observe that $\triangle(u^2) = 2u \triangle u + 2|\nabla u|^2 = 2|\nabla u|^2$ since $\triangle u = 0$. Let $k(n) = |\inf_{B_2(0)}(-8|\nabla \phi|^2 + \triangle(\phi^2))|$, so

$$\Delta(\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + k(n)u^2) \ge 0 \text{ on } B_2(0).$$
(8)

By step $1 \sup_{B_2(0)} (\phi^2 |\nabla u|^2 + k(n)u^2)$ occurs on the boundary. Furthermore ϕ vanishes on the boundary, so we get

$$\sup_{\delta B_2(0)} k(n)u^2 \ge \sup_{B_1(0)} \phi^2 |\nabla u|^2.$$
(9)

Let $h(n) = \inf_{B_1(0)} \phi > 0$ and rearrange to give

$$\frac{k(n)}{h(n)^2} \sup_{\delta B_2(0)} u^2 \ge \sup_{B_1(0)} |\nabla u|^2.$$
(10)

Finally, take square roots to get

$$\sup_{B_1(0)} |\nabla u| \le c(n) \sup_{B_2(0)} |u| \tag{11}$$

as required, with $c(n) = \left(\frac{k(n)}{h(n)^2}\right)^{1/2}$. It is important to note that c(n) really is a dimensional constant: although it depends on a choice of ϕ it doesn't depend on u.

Step 3. Extend this to general r. If u is harmonic on $B_{2r}(0)$ define $\tilde{u}(x) = u(x/r)$, and note that \tilde{u} is harmonic on $B_2(0)$. Therefore, by (11),

$$\sup_{B_1(x_0)} |\nabla \tilde{u}| \le c(n) \sup_{B_2(0)} |\tilde{u}|, \tag{12}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\sup_{B_r(0)} r|\nabla u| \le c(n) \sup_{B_{2r}(0)} |u|.$$

$$\tag{13}$$

This completes the proof.