MIT OpenCourseWare <u>http://ocw.mit.edu</u>

18.112 Functions of a Complex Variable Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

## Lecture 13: The General Cauchy Theorem

(Replacing Text 137-148)

Here we shall give a brief proof of the general form of Cauchy's Theorem. (cf: John D. Dixon, A brief proof of Cauchy's integral theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math.* Soc. 29, (1971) 625-626.)

**Definition 1** A closed curve  $\gamma$  in an open set  $\Omega$  is homologous to 0 (written  $\gamma \sim 0$ ) with respect to  $\Omega$  if

$$n(\gamma, a) = 0$$
 for all  $a \notin \Omega$ .

**Definition 2** A region is simply connected if its complement with respect to the extended plane is connected.

**Remark:** If  $\Omega$  is simply connected and  $\gamma \subset \Omega$  a closed curve, then  $\gamma \sim 0$  with respect to  $\Omega$ . In fact,  $n(\gamma, z)$  is constant in each component of  $\mathbb{C} - \gamma$ , hence constant in  $\mathbb{C} - \Omega$  and is 0 for z sufficiently large.

**Theorem 1 (Cauchy's Theorem)** If f is analytic in an open set  $\Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz = 0$$

for every closed curve  $\gamma \subset \Omega$  such that  $\gamma \sim 0$ .

In particular, if  $\Omega$  is simply connected then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for every closed  $\gamma \subset \Omega$ .

We shall first prove

**Theorem 2 (Cauchy's Integral Formula)** Let f be holomorphic in an open set  $\Omega$ . Then

$$n(\gamma, z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$
(1)

where  $\gamma \sim 0$  with respect to  $\Omega$ .

*Proof:* The prove is based on the following three claims.

Define  $g(z,\zeta)$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  by

$$g(z,\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} & \text{for} \quad z \neq \zeta, \\ f'(z) & \text{for} \quad z = \zeta. \end{cases}$$

**Claim 1:** g is continuous on  $\Omega \times \Omega$  and holomorphic in each variable and  $g(z, \zeta) = g(\zeta, z)$ .

Clearly g is continuous outside the diagonal in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . Let  $(z_0, z_0)$  be a point on the diagonal and  $D \subset \Omega$  a disk with center  $z_0$ . Let  $z \neq \zeta$  in D. Then by Theorem 8

$$g(z,\zeta) - g(z_0,z_0) = f'(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}f_2(z)(z-\zeta) - f'(z_0).$$

So the continuous at  $(z_0, z_0)$  is obvious.

For the holomorphy statement, it is clear that for each  $\zeta_0 \in \Omega$  the function

$$z \mapsto g(z,\zeta_0)$$

is holomorphic on  $\Omega - \zeta_0$ . Since

$$\lim_{z \to \zeta_0} g(z, \zeta_0)(z - \zeta_0) = 0$$

the point  $\zeta_0$  is a removable singularity (Theorem 7, p.124), so

$$z \mapsto g(z,\zeta_0)$$

is indeed holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . This proves Claim 1.

Let

$$\Omega' = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} - (\gamma) : n(\gamma, z) = 0 \}.$$

Define function h on  $\mathbb{C}$  by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} g(z,\zeta) \, d\zeta, \qquad z \in \Omega;$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, d\zeta, \qquad z \in \Omega'.$$
(3)

Since both expression agree on  $\Omega \cap \Omega'$  and since  $\Omega \cup \Omega' = \mathbb{C}$ , this is a valid definition.

## Claim 2: h is holomorphic.

This is obvious on the open sets  $\Omega'$  and  $\Omega - \gamma$ . To show holomorphy at  $z_0 \in \gamma$ , consider a disk  $D \subset \Omega$  with center  $z_0$ . Let  $\delta$  be any closed curve in D. Then

$$\int_{\delta} h(z) \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} \left( \int_{\gamma} g(z,\zeta) \, d\zeta \right) dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \left( \int_{\delta} g(z,\zeta) \, dz \right) d\zeta.$$

For each  $\zeta$ ,

$$z \mapsto g(z,\zeta)$$

is holomorphic on D (even  $\Omega$ ). So by the Cauchy's theorem for disks,

$$\int_{\delta} g(z,\zeta) \, dz = 0.$$

Now the Morera's Theorem implies h is holomorphic.

Now we can prove:

Claim 3:  $h \equiv 0$ , so (1) holds.

We have  $z \in \Omega'$  for |z| sufficiently large. So by (3),

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} h(z) = 0.$$

By Liouville's Theorem,  $h \equiv 0$ .

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1: To derive Cauchy's theorem, let  $z_0 \in \Omega - \gamma$  and put

$$F(z) = (z - z_0)f(z).$$

By (1),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{F(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$
$$= n(\gamma, z_0) F(z_0)$$
$$= 0.$$

## Q.E.D.

Note finally that Corollary 2 on p.142 is an immediately consequence of Cauchy's Theorem.