Lecture 10

We begin today's lecture with a simple claim.

Claim. Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rectangle and $f, g : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded functions such that $f \leq g$. Then

$$\underline{\int}_{Q} f \leq \underline{\int}_{Q} g.$$
(3.49)

Proof. Let P be a partition of Q, and let R be a rectangle belonging to P. Clearly, $m_R(f) \leq m_R(g)$, so

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{R} m_R(f)v(R)$$
(3.50)

$$L(g,P) = \sum_{R} m_R(g)v(R)$$
(3.51)

$$\implies L(f, P) \le L(g, P) \le \underline{\int}_Q g,$$
 (3.52)

for all partitions P. The lower integral

$$\underbrace{\int}_{Q} f \tag{3.53}$$

is the l.u.b. of L(f, P), so

$$\underbrace{\int_{Q} f \leq \int_{Q} g.}{(3.54)}$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{\int}_{Q} f \le \overline{\int}_{Q} g. \tag{3.55}$$

It follows that if $f \leq g$, then

$$\int_{Q} f \le \int_{Q} g. \tag{3.56}$$

This is the *monotonicity* property of the R. integral.

3.4 Fubini Theorem

In one-dimensional calculus, when we have a continuous function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, then we can calculate the R. integral

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = F(b) - F(a), \qquad (3.57)$$

where F is the anti-derivative of f.

When we integrate a continuous function $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ over a two-dimensional region, say $Q = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$, we can calculate the R. integral

$$\int_{Q} f = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x, y) dx dy \right)$$
(3.58)

That is, we can break up Q into components and integrate separately over those components. We make this more precise in the following Fubini Theorem.

First, we define some notation that will be used.

Let $n = k + \ell$ so that $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We can write c = (a, b), where $a = (c_1, \ldots, c_l) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $b = (c_{k+1}, \ldots, c_{k+\ell}) \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Similarly, let $Q = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$ be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n . Then we can write $Q = A \times B$, where $A = I_1 \times \cdots \times I_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $B = I_{k+1} \times \cdots \times I_{k+\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Along the same lines, we can write a partition $P = (P_1, \ldots, P_n)$ as $P = (P_A, P_B)$, where $P_A = (P_1, \ldots, P_k)$ and $P_B = (P_{k+1}, \ldots, P_{k+\ell})$.

Fubini Theorem. Let $f : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function and $Q = A \times B$ a rectangle as defined above. We write f = f(x, y), where $x \in A$, and $y \in B$. Fixing $x \in A$, we can define a function $f_x : B \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$. Since this function is bounded, we can define new functions $g, h : A \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \underbrace{\int}_{B} f_x, \qquad (3.59)$$

$$h(x) = \int_{B} f_x. \tag{3.60}$$

Note that $g \leq h$. The Fubini Theorem concludes the following: If f is integrable over Q, then g and h are integrable over A and

$$\int_{A} g = \int_{A} h = \int_{Q} f. \tag{3.61}$$

Proof. Let $P = (P_A, P_B)$ be a partition of Q, and let $R = R_A \times R_B$ be a rectangle belonging to P (so R_A belongs to P_A and R_B belongs to P_B). Fix $x_0 \in A$.

First, we claim that

$$m_{R_A \times R_B}(f) \le m_{R_b}(f_{x_0}),$$
 (3.62)

the proof of which is straightforward. Next,

lext,

$$\sum_{R_B} m_{R_A \times R_B}(f) v(R_B) \leq \sum_{R_B} m_{R_B}(f_{x_0}) v(R_B)$$
$$= L(f_{x_0}, P_B)$$
$$\leq \underline{\int}_B f_{x_0} = g(x_0).$$
(3.63)

So,

$$\sum_{R_B} m_{R_A \times R_B}(f) v(R_B) \leq g(x_0) \tag{3.64}$$

for all $x_0 \in R_A$. The above equation must hold for the infimum of the r.h.s, so

$$\sum_{R_B} m_{R_A \times R_B}(f) v(R_B) \leq m_{R_A}(g). \tag{3.65}$$

Observe that $v(R_A \times R_B) = v(R_A)v(R_B)$, so

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{R_A \times R_B} m_{R_A \times R_B}(f) v(R_A \times R_B)$$

$$\leq \sum_{R_A} m_{R_A}(g) v(R_A)$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\int}_A g.$$
(3.66)

We have just shown that for any partition $P = (P_A, P_B)$,

$$L(f,P) \le L(g,P_A) \le \underline{\int}_A g, \qquad (3.67)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\underline{\int}_{Q} f \leq \underline{\int}_{A} g.$$
(3.68)

By a similar argument, we can show that

$$\overline{\int}_{A} h \le \overline{\int}_{Q} f. \tag{3.69}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that

$$\underline{\int}_{Q} f \leq \underline{\int}_{A} g \leq \overline{\int}_{A} h \leq \overline{\int}_{Q} f,$$
(3.70)

where we used monotonicity for the middle inequality. Since f is R. integrable,

$$\underline{\int}_{Q} f = \int_{Q} f,$$
(3.71)

so all of the inequalities are in fact equalities.

Remark. Suppose that for every $x \in A$, that $f_x : B \to \mathbb{R}$ is R. integrable. That's the same as saying g(x) = h(x). Then

$$\int_{A} \left(\int_{B} f_{x} \right) = \int_{A} dx \left(\int_{B} f(x, y) dy \right)$$

=
$$\int_{A \times B} f(x, y) dx dy,$$
 (3.72)

using standard notation from calculus.

Remark. In particular, if f is continuous, then f_x is continuous. Hence, the above remark holds for all continuous functions.

3.5 Properties of Riemann Integrals

We now prove some standard calculus results.

Theorem 3.13. Let $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rectangle, and let $f, g : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be R. integrable functions. Then, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the function af + bg is R. integrable and

$$\int_Q af + bg = a \int_Q f + b \int_Q g.$$
(3.73)

Proof. Let's first assume that $a, b \leq 0$. Let P be a partition of Q and R a rectangle belonging to P. Then

$$am_R(f) + bm_R(g) \le m_R(af + bg), \tag{3.74}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$aL(f,P) + bL(g,P) \leq L(af + bg,P) \leq \underline{\int}_{Q} af + bg.$$

$$(3.75)$$

Claim. For any pair of partitions P' and P'',

$$aL(f, P') + bL(g, P'') \le \underline{\int}_{Q} af + bg.$$
(3.76)

To see that the claim is true, take P to be a refinement of P' and P'', and apply Equation 3.75. Thus,

$$a \underline{\int}_{Q} f + b \underline{\int}_{Q} g \leq \underline{\int}_{Q} a f + b g.$$
(3.77)

Similarly, we can show that

$$\overline{\int}_{Q} af + bg \le a \overline{\int}_{Q} f + b \overline{\int}_{Q} g.$$
(3.78)

Since f and g are R. integrable, we know that

$$\overline{\int}_{Q} f = \underline{\int}_{Q} f, \ \overline{\int}_{Q} g = \underline{\int}_{Q} g.$$
(3.79)

These equalities show that the previous inequalities were in fact equalities, so

$$\int_Q af + bg = a \int_Q f + b \int_Q g.$$
(3.80)

However, remember that we assumed that $a, b \ge 0$. To deal with the case of arbitrary a, b, it suffices to check what happens when we change the sign of a or b. Claim.

$$\int_Q -f = -\int_Q f. \tag{3.81}$$

Proof Hint. Let P be any partition of Q. Then L(f, P) = -U(-f, P).

You should check this claim, and then use it to complete the proof. $\hfill \Box$