18.014 Problem Set 1 Solutions Total: 24 points

Problem 1: If ab = 0, then a = 0 or b = 0.

Solution (4 points) Suppose ab = 0 and $b \neq 0$. By axiom 6, there exists a real number y such that by = 1. Hence, we have

$$a=1\cdot a=a\cdot 1=a(by)=(ab)y=0\cdot y=0$$

using axiom 4, axiom 1, axiom 2, and Thm. I.6. We conclude that a and b cannot both be non-zero; thus, a = 0 or b = 0.

Problem 2: If a < c and b < d, then a + b < c + d.

Solution (4 points) By Theorem I.18, a + b < c + b and b + c < d + c. By the commutative axiom for addition, we know that c+b = b+c, d+c = c+d. Therefore, a + b < c + b, c + b < c + d. By Theorem I.17, a + b < c + d.

Problem 3: For all real numbers x and y, $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$.

Solution (4 points)

By part (i) of this exercise, $|x| - |y| \le |x - y|$. Now notice that -(|x| - |y|) = |y| - |x|. By definition of the absolute value, either ||x| - |y|| = |x| - |y| or ||x| - |y|| = |y| - |x|. In the first case, by part (i) of this problem, we see that $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$. In the second case, we can interchange the x and y from part (i) to get $||x| - |y|| = |y| - |x| \le |y - x| = |x - y|$, where the last equality comes from part (c) of this problem. Thus, $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$.

Problem 4: Let P be the set of positive integers. If $n, m \in P$, then $nm \in P$.

Solution (4 points)

Fix $n \in P$. We show by induction on m that $nm \in P$ for all $m \in P$. First, we check the base case. If m = 1, then

$$nm = n \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot n = n \in P$$

by axiom 4, axiom 1, and the hypothesis $n \in P$.

Next, we assume the statement for m = k and we prove it for m = k + 1. Assume $nk \in P$. By theorem 5 of the course notes, $nk+n \in P$. By axiom 3, nk+n = n(k+1); thus, $n(k+1) \in P$ and our induction is complete.

Problem 5: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be real numbers and let $n \in P$ be a positive integer. Then $a^n \cdot b^n = (a \cdot b)^n$.

Solution (4 points)

Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove the statement by induction on n.

First, we must check the statement for n = 1. In that case, we must show $a^1 \cdot b^1 = (a \cdot b)^1$. By the definition of exponents, we know $a^1 = a$, $b^1 = b$, and $(a \cdot b)^1 = a \cdot b$ so our statement becomes the tautology $a \cdot b = a \cdot b$.

Next, we check the inductive step. Assume the statement is true for n = k; we must prove it for n = k + 1.

Notice that $(ab)^{k+1} = (ab)^k \cdot (ab)^1 = a^k \cdot b^k \cdot a^1 \cdot b^1$ by Theorem 10 from the course notes and the induction hypothesis. As $a^k \cdot b^k \cdot a^1 \cdot b^1 = a^k \cdot a^1 \cdot b^k \cdot b^1 = a^{k+1} \cdot b^{k+1}$ by commutativity and Theorem 10, we see that the statement holds for n = k + 1.

Problem 6: Let a and h be real numbers, and let m be a positive integer. Show by induction that if a and a + h are positive, then $(a + h)^m \ge a^m + ma^{m-1}h$.

Solution (4 points)

The first step is to prove the statement for m = 1. In this case $(a+h)^m = (a+h)^1 = a+h$ by the definition of exponents and

$$a^{m} + ma^{m-1}h = a^{1} + 1 \cdot a^{(1-1)}h = a + a^{0}h = a + 1 \cdot h = a + h$$

where the second to last inequality used the definition $a^0 = 1$. Hence, for m = 1, we have $(a+h)^m = a^m + ma^{m-1}h$, which in particular implies $(a+h)^m \le a^m + ma^{m-1}h$ by the definition of \le .

Next, we assume the statement for m = k, and then we prove it for m = k + 1. Thus, we assume $(a + h)^k \ge a^k + ka^{k-1}h$, which means $(a + h)^k = a^k + ka^{k-1}$ or $(a+h)^k > a^k + ka^{k-1}h$. In the first case, we can multiply both sides by (a+h) to get $(a+h)^k \cdot (a+h) = (a^k + ka^{k-1})(a+h)$. In the second case, we can use Thm. I.19 and the fact that a+h > 0 to conclude $(a+h)^k \cdot (a+h) > (a^k + ka^{k-1}h) \cdot (a+h)$. Thus, by the definition of exponents and the definition of \geq , we have

$$(a+h)^{k+1} = (a+h)^k \cdot (a+h) \ge (a^k + ka^{k-1}h) \cdot (a+h) = a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh + ka^{k-1}h^2.$$

To finish the proof we need a lemma.

Lemma: If a is a positive real number, then a^{l} is positive for all positive integers l.

The proof is by induction on l. When l = 1, we know $a^{l} = a^{1} = a$ using the definition of exponents. However, a is positive by hypothesis so the base case is true.

Now we assume the result for l and prove it for l + 1. Note $a^{l+1} = a^l \cdot a$ by the definition of exponents. Further, by the problem 5, a^{l+1} is positive since a^l is positive by the induction hypothesis and a is positive by the hypothesis of the lemma. The lemma follows.

Now, back to our proof. By the lemma, we know a^{k-1} is positive since k-1 is a positive integer and a is positive. Moreover, all positive integers are positive, as is remarked in the course notes; thus, ka^{k-1} is positive by problem 5. Now, if h = 0, then $h^2 = 0$ by Thm. I.6; hence, $(ka^{k-1})h^2 = 0$ again by Thm. I.6. Putting this together with the expression (*) above yields

$$(a+h)^{k+1} \ge a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh + ka^{k-1}h^2 = a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh.$$

On the other hand, if $h \neq 0$, then $h^2 > 0$ by Thm. I.20; hence, $ka^{k-1}h^2 > 0$ by Thm. I.19. Adding $a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh$ to both sides (using Thm. I.18) yields $a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh < a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh + ka^{k-1}h^2$. Combining this with (*) and applying the transitive property (Thm. I.1.7) implies $(a+h)^{k+1} > a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh$. In particular,

$$(a+h)^{k+1} \ge a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^kh.$$

Thus, regardless of whether h = 0 or $h \neq 0$, we have proved the statement for m = k + 1. The claim follows.

Bonus: If x_1, \ldots, x_n are positive real numbers, define

$$A_n = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}, \ G_n = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}.$$

- (a) Prove that $G_n \leq A_n$ for n = 2.
- (b) Use induction to show $G_n \leq A_n$ for any $n = 2^k$ where k is a positive integer.
- (c) Now show $G_n \leq A_n$ for any positive integer n.

Solution (4 points)

Because this is a bonus problem, this solution is a bit less rigorous than the others. However, you should be able to fill in all of the details on your own.

(a) Note $(x_1 - x_2)^2 \ge 0$. Expanding, we get

$$(x_1 - x_2)^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1x_2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_1x_2$$

is also positive. Hence, $(x_1 + x_2)^2 \ge 4x_1x_2$. Dividing by 4 and taking square roots, we get

$$\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \ge (x_1 x_2)^{1/2}.$$

(b) We prove this part by induction on k. The base case k = 1 was done in part a. Now, we assume $G_n \leq A_n$ for $n = 2^k$, and we prove it for $n = 2^{k+1}$. The inductive hypothesis tells us that

$$Y_1 = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{2^k}}{2^k} \ge (x_1 \cdots x_{2^k})^{1/2^k}$$

and

$$Y_2 = \frac{x_{2^{k+1}} + \dots + x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k} \ge (x_{2^{k+1}} \dots + x_{2^{k+1}})^{1/2^k}.$$

Using part (a), we know

$$\frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{2} \ge (Y_1 Y_2)^{1/2}.$$

Writing this in terms of the x_i , we have

$$\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}} \ge (x_1 \cdots x_{2^{k+1}})^{1/2^{k+1}}.$$

(c) Select a positive integer m such that $2^m > n$. Fix positive real numbers x_1, \ldots, x_n , and let

$$A_n = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}.$$

Now, put $A_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_{2^m}$. Applying part (b) for these real numbers x_1, \ldots, x_{2^m} yields

$$\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n + (2^m - n)A_n}{2^m} \ge (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/2^m} A_n^{(2^m - n)/2^m}.$$

The left hand side is just A_n ; hence, dividing both sides by $A_n^{(2^m-n)/2^m}$ yields $A_n^{n/2^m} \ge (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/2^m}$. Raising both sides to the power of $2^m/n$ yields

$$A_n \ge (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}.$$

This is what we wanted to show.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.014 Calculus with Theory Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.