

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.080 / 6.089 Great Ideas in Theoretical Computer Science  
Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

## 6.080/6.089 Problem Set 2

Assigned: Thursday, Feb. 28, 2008 / Due: Thursday, March 13, 2008

- In 1962, Tibor Rado defined  $S(n)$ , or the  $n^{\text{th}}$  “Busy Beaver shift number,” to be the maximum number of steps made by any  $n$ -state Turing machine that eventually halts. Here a Turing machine has a two-way infinite tape with either 0 or 1 on each square, and all tape squares are initially set to 0. A “step” consists of writing a 0 or 1 to the current square, moving either left or right by one square, and either transitioning to a new state or halting (with all of these decisions determined by the current state together with the symbol on the current square).
  - Show that  $S(1) = 1$ .
  - Show that  $S(2) \geq 6$ . [*Hint:* Try various 2-state Turing machines until you find one that runs for 6 steps before halting.]
  - Show that  $S(n)$  grows faster than any computable function. In other words, there is no computable function  $C$  such that  $C(n) \geq S(n)$  for all  $n$ .
  - Show that there is not even a computable function  $C$  such that  $C(n) \geq S(n)$  for infinitely many  $n$ .
- Given a set of strings  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ , we say  $L$  is *computable* if there exists a Turing machine that, given as input a string  $x$ , decides whether  $x \in L$ . We say  $L$  is *c.e.* (for “computably enumerable”) if there exists a Turing machine  $M$  that, when started on a blank tape, lists all and only the strings in  $L$ . (Of course, if  $L$  is infinite, then  $M$  will take an infinite amount of time.)
  - Let  $HALT$  be the set of all Turing machines that halt when started on a blank tape. (Here each Turing machine is encoded as a binary string in some reasonable way.) Show that  $HALT$  is c.e. [*Note:* In this and the following problems, you do not need to construct any Turing machines; just give a convincing argument.]
  - Let  $L$  be any c.e. set. Show that  $L$  is computable given an oracle that, for any string  $x$ , decides whether  $x \in HALT$ .
  - Show that a set  $L$  is computable if and only if  $L$  and  $\bar{L}$  are both c.e. (Here  $\bar{L}$  is the *complement* of  $L$ : that is, the set of all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  such that  $x \notin L$ .)
- Given a formal system  $F$ , recall that  $\text{Con}(F)$  is a mathematical encoding of the claim that  $F$  is consistent: in other words, that  $F$  never proves both that a statement is true and that it’s false. Consider the “self-hating system”  $F + \neg \text{Con}(F)$ : that is,  $F$  plus the assertion of its own inconsistency. Show that if  $F$  is consistent, then  $F + \neg \text{Con}(F)$  is an example of a formal system that is consistent but not sound. [*Note:* You can assume the Incompleteness Theorem.]
- Let a *XOR-circuit of size  $n$*  be a circuit built entirely out of two-input XOR gates, which maps  $n$  input bits to  $n$  output bits. Also, call two circuits *equivalent* if they produce the same output whenever they’re given the same input.
  - Show that, for every XOR-circuit of size  $n$ , there’s an equivalent XOR-circuit with at most  $n(n - 1)$  gates.
  - Show that for every  $n$ , there’s some XOR-circuit of size  $n$  such that every equivalent XOR-circuit has  $\Omega(n^2 / \log n)$  gates.
- Suppose a Turing machine  $M$  has  $s$  internal states, and visits at most  $n$  different tape squares. Prove an upper bound (in terms of  $n$  and  $s$ ) on the number of steps until  $M$  halts (assuming it does halt).