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## Approximating logarithms using musical intervals

| Semitones | Interval | Ratio | Exact Value |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2 | M 2 | $9 / 8$ | 1.122 |
| 3 | m 3 | $6 / 5$ | 1.1885 |
| 4 | M 3 | $5 / 4$ | 1.259 |
| 5 | P 4 | $4 / 3$ | 1.3335 |
| 6 | d 5 | $\sqrt{2}$ | 1.4125 |
| 7 | P 5 | $3 / 2$ | 1.496 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{~m} 6=\mathrm{P} 8-\mathrm{M} 3$ | $8 / 5$ | 1.585 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{M} 6=\mathrm{P} 8-\mathrm{m} 3$ | $5 / 3$ | 1.679 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{P} 5+\mathrm{m} 3$ | $9 / 5$ | 1.7783 |
|  | $2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 4$ | $16 / 9$ | 1.7783 |
| 11 |  | $17 / 9$ | 1.8836 |
| 12 | P 8 | 2 | 1.9953 |
| 17.4 |  | $e$ | 2.718 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{P} 5$ | 3 | 2.9854 |
| 24 | $2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8$ | 4 | 3.981 |
| 28 | $2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{M} 3$ | 5 | 5.012 |
| 31 | $2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{P} 5$ | 6 | 5.9566 |
| 34 | $3 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8-\mathrm{M} 2$ | $\frac{64}{9} \approx 7$ | 7.080 |
| 36 | $3 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8$ | 8 | 7.943 |
| 38 | $2 \cdot(\mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{P} 5)$ | 9 | 8.913 |
| 40 | $3 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{M} 3$ | 10 | 10. |
|  |  |  |  |


| KEY |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Symbol | Interval | Notes |
| M2 | Major 2nd | C-D |
| m3 | Minor 3rd | C-Eb |
| M3 | Major 3rd | C-E |
| P4 | Perfect 4th | C-F |
| d5 | Diminished 5th | C-Gb |
| P5 | Perfect 5th | C-G |
| m6 | Minor 6th | C-Ab |
| M6 | Major 6th | C-A |

The starting point is $2^{10} \approx 10^{3}$, or $2^{1 / 12} \approx 10^{1 / 40}$. By chance $2^{1 / 12}$ is the semitone frequency ratio on the equal-tempered scale. Since we know what Pythagorean ratios the equal-tempered intervals are supposed to approximate, we can approximate logarithms to the base $2^{1 / 12}$, and thereby approximate logarithms to the base $10^{1 / 40}$, which gives us twice the number of decibels. The ratio column indicates the ratios for perfect Pythagorean intervals, and the exact value column shows $10^{\text {semitones } / 40}$, to show the accuracy of the method. Note that 10 semitones has two possible breakdowns into intervals, as $\mathrm{P} 5+\mathrm{m} 3$ or $2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 4$. The second is much more accurate, because in the equal-tempered scale, the perfect intervals come out almost exactly right, at the cost of some error in the major and minor intervals.
To use the table to compute $\log _{10} x$, find $x$ as a product of ratios, add the number of semitones for the ratios, and divide by 40 (divide by 2 to get dB ). To calculate $10^{x}$, multiply $x$ by 40 , find that value in the semitones column, and read off the corresponding ratio. From a few basic Pythagorean ratios and number of semitones, most of the table is easy to figure out. The most important to remember one is the fifth: 7 semitones corresponds to $3 / 2$. For example, from the fifth we can compute the frequency ratio for a fourth ( 5 semitones). The two intervals together make an octave, so the product of their frequency ratios is 2 . This means 5 semitones corresponds to $4 / 3$. Many other entries can be worked out similarly.
Some examples (arrows point from the real to the log world):

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 \rightarrow 1 \text { octave }=12 \text { semitones }=6 \mathrm{~dB}=0.3 \text { decades. } \\
\left.\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{10} \rightarrow 10 \cdot \mathrm{P} 4=50 \text { semitones }=40 \text { semitones }+2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 4 \leftarrow 10 \cdot \frac{16}{9}=17.78 \text { (exact } 17.76\right) . \\
5=\frac{5}{4} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{M} 3+2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 8=28 \text { semitones }=\frac{28}{40} \text { or } 0.7 \text { decades }(14 \mathrm{~dB}) \\
3^{10} \rightarrow 10 \cdot(\mathrm{P} 8+\mathrm{P} 5)=190 \text { semitones }=200-2 \cdot \mathrm{P} 4 \leftarrow 10^{200 / 40} \cdot \frac{9}{16}=56250(\text { exact } 59049) . \\
e^{10} \rightarrow 10 \cdot 17.4 \text { semitones }=174 \text { semitones }=160+12+2 \text { semitones } \leftarrow 10^{4} \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{9}{8}=22500 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(This method is due to the statistician I. J. Good, who credits his father.)

