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## Lecture \#13: Laser Schemes for Rotational Assignment First Lines for $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ Assignments

Last Time: Lagerqvist Strips - use of known $\Delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~J})$ for either upper or lower state.
Simultaneous analysis of two vibrationally linked bands:
search for a C.D. that appears once in each band
correct numbering of R relative to P branch and correct absolute numbering
Computer automated term value method - valuable when there is no pattern. Based on term value redundancies from two linked bands and supplemented by intensity regularity.

Problem is that combination differences are "lost in crowd"
Today: Patterns revealed by laser spectroscopy
Fluorescence Excitation Spectroscopy = Laser Induced Fluorescence (LIF)
Dispersed Fluorescence (DF)
Wavelength Selective Fluorescence Excitation
(Also lifetime-selected LIF)
OODR and SEP

Introduction to spectra for $\Lambda \neq 0$ and/or $S \neq 0$ states
Diagrams of allowed transitions
First lines as diagnostics
Unlinked sub-manifolds - need a model
Pattern-forming rotational quantum numbers
Next time: Read * JTH, pp. 1-9 (at least)

* Symbols for Spectroscopy
* Report of sub-committee f
* nomenclature
* Rotation and Angular Momenta II
* Energy level Structure of ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$states and JTH pages 9-13

What advantages might a laser bring to the assignment of an electronic band system?

## 1. Fluorescence Excitation Spectrum (known as LIF)

Scan laser, recording total fluorescence resulting as laser frequency is tuned.
Obtain spectrum essentially identical to an absorption spectrum.

* A few exceptions when "quantum yield" of detected fluorescence is not identical for each line in spectrum.

Reasons? Predissociation (no fluorescence)
fluorescence branching ratios
perturbations
lifetime differences, collisional quenching, self-absorption, and transport out of field of view.

## 2. Dispersed Fluorescence Spectrum (DF)

Tune laser onto single $e^{\prime} v^{\prime} J^{\prime} \leftarrow e^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime}$ line and record spectrum of resultant fluorescence.
See nothing but combination differences!


Now, if we do not know either $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ or $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$, we do know that $\Delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{J})$ 's change in steps of $4 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime \prime}$, so if we view fluorescence excited via two consecutive lines in a suspected branch, we see

$$
\Delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{J}^{\prime}\right)-\Delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{J}^{\prime}-1\right)=4 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Once $\mathrm{B}^{\prime \prime}$ is known, we know $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ and all is settled. [What about vibrational assignments?]
This works provided that:

* precision for $\Delta_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{J})$ is better than $\approx 2 \mathrm{~B}^{\prime \prime}$ (better than 0.5 J -units)
* J is not so large that centrifugal distortion effects are important.
* There are not many isotopic species present.

There are not many superimposed vibrational sequences.
There are not more complicated band systems with $S \neq 0$ present.
If there are many unrelated lines clustered together, the resultant dispersed fluorescence spectra will bear no systematic relationships to each other.

## 3. Wavelength selective fluorescence excitation spectra

requires tunable laser and monochromator.

## Fortrat Parabola



What happens in Expt. 1? See two groups of lines - the high-J lines $\{R(J-3), \ldots R(J)\}$ linked to $\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{J}-1), \ldots \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{J}+2)\}$ and some low-J lines linked to the other branch of the Fortrat parabola.

Expt. 2? See only the single group of high-J P-lines.


Now we are ready for more complexity. We have been ignoring the possibility that molecules might have electronic angular momenta in addition to nuclear rotation.
$\Lambda: \quad$ projection of remnant of atomic $L$ onto body fixed z -axis
S: total spin
$\Sigma \quad$ projection of $S$ on $z$-axis

Convenient diagrams for summarizing levels and transitions.
$\mathrm{S}=0 \quad{ }^{1} \Lambda$ states
$\Omega=\Lambda$ (because $S=\Sigma=0$ )
$\mathrm{J} \geq \Omega \quad$ (because J can never be smaller than its projection on any axis)
When $\Omega \neq 0$, have double parity ( $\Omega>0$ and $\Omega<0$ ) called $\Omega$-doubling or $\Lambda$-doubling (parity is $\sigma_{\mathrm{v}}$ symmetry of $2^{-1 / 2}[|\Omega\rangle \pm|-\Omega\rangle]$ ).
Can have $\perp(\Delta \Omega=\Delta \Lambda= \pm 1)$ and $\|(\Delta \Omega=\Delta \Lambda=0)$ type transitions.
Always $+\leftrightarrow-$ parity selection rule. (e,f symmetry?)
${ }^{1} \Pi-{ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$


Note that first lines in each branch are determined by $\Omega^{\prime}$ and/or $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ :

* $\mathrm{R}(0)$ implies $\Omega^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $\Omega^{\prime} \leq 1$
* $\mathrm{Q}(1)$ implies $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$ or $\Omega^{\prime}$ is double parity because there are no Q branches for $\Sigma-\sum$ transitions
absence of $\mathrm{Q}(0)$ implies nothing because $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}=0-\mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime}=0$ is always forbidden
* $\mathrm{P}(2)$ implies $\Omega^{\prime}=1$. Absence of $\mathrm{P}(1)$ implies $\Omega^{\prime} \neq 0$.

Note that upper levels of Q branch lines are only sampled via Q lines!
No linkage between ( ${ }^{1} \Pi_{+}$, odd-J ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$) and ( ${ }^{1} \Pi_{-}$, even- ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$). Must have a model for either ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$or ${ }^{1} \Pi$ that links the two groups of levels! Alternatively, could have microwave spectrum for ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$state which links the two groups.
${ }^{1} \Delta-{ }^{1} \Pi$


First lines

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{+-}(1), \mathrm{R}_{-+}(1) \Rightarrow \Omega^{\prime \prime}=1, \Omega^{\prime} \leq 2 \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{+-}(2), \mathrm{Q}_{-+}(2) \Rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}>1(\text { absence of } \mathrm{Q}(1) \text { line }) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{+}(3), \mathrm{P}_{-+}(3) \Rightarrow \Omega^{\prime}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

Usually can't resolve +- and -+ line pairs at low J.
No linkage between $\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{+},{ }^{1} \Pi_{-}\right)$and $\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{-},{ }^{1} \Pi_{+}\right)$. Model required again!
Work out situation for ${ }^{1} \Pi-{ }^{1} \Pi$ yourselves.
1st lines $\quad R(1)$
Q(1)
$\mathrm{P}(2)$
again absence of linkage between $\left({ }^{1} \Pi_{+},{ }^{1} \Pi_{-}\right)$and $\left({ }^{1} \Pi_{-},{ }^{1} \Pi_{+}\right)$.
One example of an $\mathrm{S}=1$ band system.

$\mathrm{N}^{\prime}-\mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime}$ is difference between pattern-forming quantum numbers. Use this to name branches.

Always looking for pattern-forming rotational quantum number that gives ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$-like level pattern! For $S \neq 0$ the pattern of branches, fine structure, and first lines is much more complicated than for $S=0$. Need to compute level positions and relative intensities. Next time: $\mathbf{H}^{\text {ROT }}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{\text {SO }}$.

