## 5.80 Small-Molecule Spectroscopy and Dynamics Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Chemistry 5.76 Spring 1980

## Problem Set #2

- 1. See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 1.
- 2. See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 2.
- 3. See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 3.
- 4. See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 4.
- 5. See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 5.
- 6. (a) See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 6(a).
  - (b) See Problem Set # 2, 1977, question # 6(b).
  - (c) Is the energy level diagram for Dk sufficiently complete that the electronic partition function

$$q_e = \sum_{\text{all states } i} g_i \exp[-E_i/kT],$$

may be calculated at 3000K? If one or more electronic terms are missing, what would be the fractional error in  $q_e$ , assuming plausible term energies? Can you devise an experiment which samples  $q_e(T)$  with accuracy sufficient to locate a missing low-lying electronic term?