

## MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

5.74 Quantum Mechanics II  
Spring, 2004Professor Robert W. Field

## Problem Set # 5

**DUE:** At the start of Lecture on Monday, April 5.**Reading:** HLB-RWF 3.2.1 (*optional*), 9.1.1, 9.4.1 - 9.4.3.**Problems:**1. Photon Plucks

The first excited state of Ba is the metastable  $6s5d\ ^3D$  state. Consider the  $J = M_J = 3$  component of  $^3D$

$$|^3D_3\ 3\rangle = |s0\alpha\ d2\alpha\rangle.$$

A photon pluck promotes one  $e^-$  via a  $\Delta\ell = \pm 1$ ,  $\Delta m_\ell = 0, \pm 1$ ,  $\Delta s = \Delta m_s = 0$  transition. If the photon is linearly polarized along the  $z$ -direction, the selection rule is  $\Delta m_\ell = 0$ .

- What are all of the  $\ell_1 m_{\ell_1} s_1 m_{s_1} \ell_2 m_{\ell_2} s_2 m_{s_2}$  basis states accessible via a  $z$ -polarized photon pluck?
- Consider first only the  $5d \rightarrow np$  excitation to  $6snp$  Rydberg complexes. What L-S-J- $M_J$  eigenstates are coherently populated at  $t = 0$ ? What are their relative amplitudes?
- Now consider the  $6s \rightarrow n'p$  ( $n' \neq n$ ) excitation to doubly excited  $5dn'p$  configurations. What L-S-J- $M_J$  eigenstates are coherently populated at  $t = 0$ ? What are their relative amplitudes?
- The only things not specified are the relative amplitudes within the  $6snp$  Rydberg series, within the  $5dn'p$  Rydberg series, and for the  $6snp$  series relative to the  $5dn'p$  series. These are given by the radial integrals

$$\mu_{n'p5d} = \langle 6p|\mathbf{z}|5d\rangle (n'/6)^{-3/2}$$

$$\mu_{np6s} = \langle 6p|\mathbf{z}|6s\rangle (n/6)^{-3/2}$$

What is the form of the  $\Psi(t)$  that results from this single-photon pluck of Ba  $|6s\ 5d\ ^3D_3\ 3\rangle$ ? I have not provided sufficient information about eigen-energies, photon center-frequency, and photon pulse duration. You should make reasonable choices for these quantities.

- The ionization threshold for the  $6s n\ell$  Rydberg series ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) is  $42032.4\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ , which is considerably lower than that for the  $5d n'\ell$  Rydberg series ( $n' \rightarrow \infty$ ) at  $46906.3\ \text{cm}^{-1}$  (for  $J = 3/2$ ). Suppose you probe the coherent superposition state from part D with a detection pulse at an energy just above the  $6s\infty\ell$  limit but below the  $5d\infty\ell$  limit. You detect photo-ions as a function of delay between the excitation and detection pulses. What will you see? Which coherences will be detected by this pump/probe scheme and which coherences will be destroyed?
- The  $^3P$  states of the  $n'p5d$  and  $np6s$  Rydberg series interact with each other via the  $1/r_{12}$  interelectronic repulsion operator. The Kepler period of a Rydberg wavepacket is proportional to  $[\langle n^{-3} \rangle]^{-1}$ . Owing to the difference in energy of the series limits, the *isoenergetic* members of the  $n'p5d$  and  $np6s$  series have  $n' < n$ , thus the Kepler period of the  $n'p5d$  wavepacket is shorter than that of the  $np6s$  wavepacket. The interaction between the two wavepackets is largest when both are inside the ion-core. If you could monitor the amplitude in the  $np6s$  wavepacket as a function of time, what would you expect to see?

2. Atomic Hyperfine Structure

The  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{eff}}$  for  $^{137}\text{Ba}$  ( $I = 3/2$ ) is

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^{\text{el}} + \mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}} + \mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}}.$$

For Rydberg series ( $\delta_\ell$  is the quantum defect,  $\mathfrak{R} = 109,737 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{el}} = -[\mathfrak{R}/(n - \delta_\ell)^2] |n\ell n' \ell'\rangle \langle n\ell n' \ell'|.$$

For two-electron atoms

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}} = \xi(r_1)\ell_1 \cdot \mathbf{s}_1 + \xi(r_2)\ell_2 \cdot \mathbf{s}_2.$$

For magnetic hyperfine structure of a two-electron atom

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}} = [a(r_1)\ell_1 + a(r_2)\ell_2 + b(r_1)\mathbf{s}_1 + b(r_2)\mathbf{s}_2] \cdot \mathbf{I}.$$

Under special conditions (to be specified by you),  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}}$  and  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}}$  simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{“}\mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}}\text{”} &= \zeta(N, L, S)\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \\ \text{“}\mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}}\text{”} &= C(N, L, S, J)\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{J} \end{aligned}$$

where  $N$  refers to the electronic configuration. These simplified forms of  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}}$  and  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}}$  are useful for depicting the pattern of splittings within an “isolated” state. Electronic transitions are controlled by

$$\langle n_{\ell_k} \ell_{\ell_k} m_{\ell_k} | \mathbf{x}\hat{i} + \mathbf{y}\hat{j} + \mathbf{z}\hat{k} | n'_{\ell'_k} \ell'_{\ell'_k} m'_{\ell'_k} \rangle,$$

which is a matrix element of a one-electron operator that operates exclusively on the spatial (not spin) part of a single spin-orbital.

- A. Use the simplified forms of  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{SO}}$  and  $\mathbf{H}^{\text{mhfs}}$  to construct the spin-hyperfine structure of  $^{137}\text{Ba}$  in the  $6s5d \ ^3D$  and  $6snp \ ^3P$  states.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HINT: } (\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{S})^2 &= \mathbf{J}^2 \\ (\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{I})^2 &= \mathbf{F}^2 \end{aligned}$$

- B. Starting from the  $^{137}\text{Ba}$   $6s5d \ ^3D_3$  level (with hyperfine  $F$ -components  $F = 3/2, 5/2, 7/2$ , and  $9/2$  thermally populated), draw a level diagram on which you illustrate all of the allowed fine-hyperfine transitions from  $^3D_3$  to  $^3P_{J,F}$ . The *rigorous* selection rule for electric dipole transitions (a vector operator) is  $\Delta F = 0, \pm 1$ . The *nearly rigorous* selection rules  $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$ ,  $\Delta L = 0, \pm 1$ , and  $\Delta S = 0$  may also be taken seriously here.
- C. In order to derive the fine-hyperfine quantum beat signal obtained by pulsed excitation of  $^3P_{J',F'} \leftarrow ^3D_{J=3,F}$  transitions, you need to compute all of the relative transition amplitudes for the short-pulse excitation “pump” transition and for the delay-scanned detection “probe” transition. For simplicity you can use the  $6snp \ ^3P_{J',F'} \rightarrow 6s6d \ ^3D_{3,F}$  probe transition. In order to calculate the relative transition amplitudes in the  $|s_1 s_2 \ell_1 \ell_2 LSJIFM_F\rangle$  basis set, you must perform a series of coupled  $\rightarrow$  uncoupled transitions:

$$|s_1 s_2 \ell_1 \ell_2 LSJIFM_F\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \downarrow \\
& |s_1 s_2 \ell_1 \ell_2 LSJM_J IM_I\rangle \\
& \downarrow \\
& |s_1 s_2 \ell_1 \ell_2 LM_L SM_S IM_I\rangle \\
& \downarrow \\
& |\ell_1 m_{\ell_1} \ell_2 m_{\ell_2} s_1 s_2 SM_S IM_I\rangle \\
& \downarrow \\
& |\ell_1 m_{\ell_1} s_1 m_{s_1} \ell_2 m_{\ell_2} s_2 m_{s_2} IM_I\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

All of the beat notes in your quantum beating signal are explicitly known half-integer multiples of a common factor with relative amplitudes controlled by  $\langle 6d|\mathbf{z}|np\rangle \langle np|\mathbf{z}|5d\rangle$  times factors computed by you. Would the relative intensities and phases of the beat notes be affected if the pump and probe lasers were polarized perpendicularly (i.e.,  $x, z$ ) rather than parallel (i.e.,  $z, z$ )? *Optional:* compute and compare the beat patterns for probe ( $z$ ), pump ( $z$ ) to probe ( $x$ ), pump ( $z$ ).

- D. As the principal quantum number of the  $6snp\ ^3P$  state increases, the spin-orbit coupling constant decreases as  $n^{-3}$  but the contribution of the  $6sm_s$  spin-orbital to the  $b(r_1)s_1 \cdot \mathbf{I}$  hyperfine term remains constant. At some point the hyperfine splittings become larger than the spin-orbit splittings. What happens to the level structure and quantum beat amplitudes? A qualitative answer is acceptable. **HINT:** The hyperfine structure of  $\text{Ba}^+ 6s^2S$  is highly relevant here.