

# STS.464 :: The Intellectual History of Technology

---

Engineering: Mentality, Vocation, Institution

26 April 2005

## Discussion of Readings and Papers

### Chihyung's Paper

- "The question is: For whom would the engineers work?"
- What do we mean by a "class"? In what sense are engineers a "class"?
  - The way in which they participate in production?
  - For Marx, a class is: a group of people who have a particular relation to the productive system.
- Engineers → managers
- Mediating the role between laborers and financiers? Not a clear relationship to production.
- Hands or mind as a marker of class! Where does the engineer's paycheck come from?
  - Consciousness
  - For whom do you work?
  - What type of work do you do?
- Time period where professionalization was happening across the board, in all professions.
- Why are Veblen's engineers hostile to commercial value? Efficiency, rationality. Emphasis on method. Mindset inculcated by education.
- Where are the professional boundaries?
- How does Noble see the engineers as, um, not so noble as Veblen does? → He sees them as the lackeys of capitalism, rather than as the adversaries of capitalism.

### Laura's Paper

- Engineering and responsibility
- The aesthetic side
- Architecture vs. engineering
- Elite vs. greater good
- Functionalism
- The sublime
- Roland Barthes, *Mythologies* → myth as a second-order language → technology as myth?

---

## **Emina's Paper**

- “process” and “engineer”
- The thought process of engineering as what defines it → “not what to think, but how to think”
- Cybernetics, Norbert Wiener → processes common to organic and mechanical systems, feedback, control, communication → a vision of human relations with machines
- Veblen → habituation of people to machines changes the way people think
- Education