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#### Abstract

Magnetic resonances of protons in various substances are studied by the techniques of pulsed NMR and the measurement of spin echoes. Various substances containing protons (water, glycerine, etc.) are placed in a uniform magnetic field and subjected to pulses of a transverse $\sim 7 \mathrm{MHz}$ rf magnetic field in near resonance with the Larmor precession frequency of the protons. The spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation time constants are determined from measurements of the free-induction signals and the spin echoes produced by various combinations of rf pulses. Temperature effects are observed in glycerine, and the effects of paramagnetic ions on the relaxation time constants in water are measured. The magnetic moments of the proton and of the fluorine nucleus are derived from the data.


## 1. PREPARATORY QUESTIONS

1. Show that the Larmor precession angular velocity with which a particle with spin angular momentum $|\vec{I}|=I \hbar$ and magnetic dipole $\vec{\mu}=\gamma \vec{I}$ precesses in a uniform magnetic field $\overrightarrow{B_{0}}$ is independent of the angle between $\vec{\mu}$ and $\overrightarrow{B_{0}}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\gamma B_{0}=\left(g \mu_{N} / \hbar\right) B_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g$ is the counterpart of the Landé $g$-factor in atomic spectroscopy and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\left(\mu / \mu_{N}\right) / I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{N}$ is the nuclear magneton, $e \hbar / 2 m_{p}$.
For protons, $g=5.58$, so $\gamma=26.8 \times 10^{3}$ radians $\sec ^{-1}$ gauss $^{-1}$, corresponding to $4.26 \mathrm{MHz} /$ kGauss. ( 1 Gauss $=10^{-4}$ Tesla.)
2. Derive the classical expression for the potential energy of a magnetic dipole in a magnetic field.
3. According to quantum mechanics the component of angular momentum in a given direction, e.g. the direction of $\overrightarrow{B_{0}}$, is an integer or half-integer multiple of $\hbar$. Write an expression for the energies $U_{m}$, for the various possible states of a nucleus with total angular momentum quantum number $I$ in a magnetic field. Draw diagrams of $U$ vs $B_{0}$ over the range 0 to 10,000 Gauss, showing the variation with $B_{0}$ ("splitting") of the energy levels of the proton and fluorine magnetic moments.
4. Show on the above diagrams the frequencies of photons which would cause transitions among the various levels at $B_{0}=1770$ Gauss. Confirm that the photon frequencies are the same as the corresponding Larmor frequencies.
5. The samples used in the NMR measurements contain very large numbers of the dipoles being studied. These interact with one another and are in thermal equilibrium at room temperature. The relative populations of their allowed energy states therefore follow the Boltzmann distribution, namely $N \propto \exp ^{-E / k T}$. Calculate the fractional difference in the populations of the magnetic states of the proton in a sample at room temperature in a magnetic field of $\mathbf{1 7 7 0}$ Gauss, i.e. $\left(n_{+}-n_{-}\right) /\left(n_{+}+n_{-}\right)$.

## 2. PROGRESS CHECK

By the end of your 2nd session in lab you should have a determination of the nuclear magnetic moment of fluorine in units of the nuclear magneton. You should also have a preliminary value of $t_{2}$ for $100 \%$ glycerine.

## 3. INTRODUCTION

The NMR method for measuring nuclear magnetic moments was conceived independently in the late 1940's by Felix Bloch and Edward Purcell [1-3]. Both investigators, applying somewhat different techniques, developed methods for determining the magnetic moments of nuclei in solid and liquid samples by measuring the frequencies of oscillating electromagnetic fields that induced transitions among their magnetic substates resulting in the transfer of energy between the sample and the measuring device. Although the amounts of energy transferred are extremely small, the fact that the energy transfer is a resonance phenomenon enabled it to be measured. Bloch and Purcell both irradiated their samples with a continuous wave (cw) of constant frequency while simultaneously sweeping the magnetic field through the resonance condition. Cw methods are rarely used in modern NMR experiments. Radiofrequency (rf) energy is usually applied in the form of short bursts of radiation (pulse nmr) and the effects of the induced energy level transitions are observed in the time between bursts. It is experimentally much easier to detect the extremely small effects of the
transitions if this detection phase is separated in time from the multi-watt rf burst phase. More importantly, as we shall see, it is much easier to sort out the various relaxation effects in pulse nmr experiments. The present experiment demonstrates the essential process common to all NMR techniques: the detection and interpretation of the effects of a known perturbation on a system of magnetic dipoles embedded in a solid or liquid. In addition, the effects of perturbations caused by the embedding material yield interesting information about the structure of the material.

## 4. CLASSICAL PICTURE OF SPIN DYNAMICS

One can describe the dynamics of a particle with spin in a magnetic field by drawing an analogy with a gyroscope in a gravitational field. The spin vector precesses about the field direction and then, as energy is transferred to or from the particle, the angle between its spin axis and the field axis gradually changes. This latter motion is called nutation.

The trouble with the gyroscope analogy would appear to be that an individual spin which obeys quantum mechanics cannot nutate continuously, since its projection on the field direction is quantized. Bloch, in 1956, proposed a vector model in which he showed that although nuclear spins obey quantum laws, the ensemble average taken over a large number of spins behaves like a classical system, obeying the familiar laws of classical mechanics. Thus one can gain significant insight by a classical analysis of a spinning rigid magnetized body in a magnetic field.

Following the discussion given by [4], we consider the motion of a nucleus with angular momentum $\overrightarrow{I \hbar}$ and magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}=\gamma \hbar \vec{I}$ in a magnetic field $B=B_{0}+B_{1}$ composed of a strong steady component $B_{0} \hat{k}$ and a weak oscillating component $B_{1} \sin (\omega t) \hat{\imath}$ perpendicular to $B_{0}$, where $\hat{\imath}, \hat{\jmath}, \hat{k}$ are the unit vectors in the laboratory reference frame xyz. The quantity $\gamma$ is called the gyromagnetic ratio. (In the present experiment the strong steady field has a magnitude of several kiloGauss; the weak oscillating field is the field inside a small solenoid 2 cm long, wound with 10 turns, and connected to a crystal-controlled fixed-frequency generator and wideband power amplifier producing an rf alternating current with a peak amplitude of $\sim 1 \mathrm{ma}$ at $5.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}$. A simple calculation will confirm that under such conditions $\left.B_{1} \ll B_{0}\right)$. The equation of motion of the particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \vec{I}}{d t}=\gamma \vec{I} \times \vec{B} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B_{1}=0$, the motion in a reference frame fixed in the laboratory is a rapid precession of the angular momentum about the direction of $\overrightarrow{B_{0}}$ (the z axis) with the

Larmor precession frequency $\gamma B_{0}$, as shown in one of the preparatory questions. To understand the perturbing effects of the small-amplitude oscillating field on the motion we first represent it as the vector sum $\overrightarrow{B_{1}}=\overrightarrow{B_{r}}+\overrightarrow{B_{l}}$ of two counter-rotating circularly polarized components given by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{B}_{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1} \cos \omega t \hat{\imath}+B_{1} \sin \omega t \hat{\jmath}\right) \\
& \vec{B}_{l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1} \cos \omega t \hat{\imath}-B_{1} \sin \omega t \hat{\jmath}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript $l$ denotes the component rotating in the direction of rapid precession (the proton precesses in the left hand direction as can be seen by solving Eq. 3, and $r$ denotes the component rotating in the opposite direction.

Next we consider the situation from the point of view of an observer in a reference frame $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ rotating in the direction of precession with angular velocity $\omega$ and unit vectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\imath}^{\prime}=\cos \omega t \hat{\imath}+\sin \omega t \hat{\jmath} \\
& \hat{\jmath}^{\prime}=-\sin \omega t \hat{\imath}+\cos \omega t \hat{\jmath}  \tag{5}\\
& \hat{k}^{\prime}=\hat{k}
\end{align*}
$$

In this rotating frame $\vec{B}_{r}$ is a constant vector $\left(B_{1} / 2\right) \hat{\imath}^{\prime}$, $\vec{B}_{l}$ is rotating with angular velocity $-2 \omega$, and the rapid precession will have angular frequency $\gamma\left(B_{0}-\omega / \gamma\right)$, as though the particle were in a field whose $z$ component is $B_{0}$ plus a fictitious field in the opposite direction of magnitude $\omega / \gamma$. Suppose now that $\omega$ is adjusted so that $\omega=\gamma B_{0}$. Then the rapid precession will vanish, i.e. its frequency in the rotating frame will be zero, and the particle will precess slowly about the direction of the steady field $\left(B_{1} / 2\right) \imath^{\prime}$ with angular velocity $\gamma B_{1} / 2$, with only a tiny flutter averaging to zero due to the counterrotating component. If I is initially parallel to $B_{0}$ (quantum mechanics not withstanding to the contrary), then in time $\pi /\left(\gamma B_{1}\right)$ the spin direction will precess exactly $90^{\circ}$, putting I in the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ plane, perpendicular to $B_{0}$. If the oscillating field is now turned off, the particle will be left with its magnetic moment in the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ plane and, from the point of view of an observer in the laboratory frame, it will be rotating in the $x y$ plane with angular frequency $\gamma B_{0}$ about the $z$ direction.

According to the Bloch theorem, the result of this classical treatment of the motion of a single magnetized spinning body is actually valid for an ensemble of quantized magnetic moments. Consider such a sample containing protons (hydrogen nuclei) placed between the poles of the magnet. According to the Boltzman distribution law, if the sample is in thermal equilibrium at temperature T , then the ratio of the number of protons $n_{+}$with $z$ components of spin up to the number with $z$ components down is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{+} / n_{-}=\exp ^{\left(E_{+}-E_{-}\right) / k T}=\exp ^{\mu_{p} B_{0} / k T} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{p}$ is the magnetic moment of the proton. At room temperature in a field of several kilogauss this ratio is only slightly greater than one, which means that the magnetization due to alignment of the proton moments in the $z$ direction is very slight. Nevertheless, if the ensemble is rotated 90 deg by application of an rf field under the conditions described above for just the correct amount of time (a " 90 deg rf burst"), then the nuclear magnetization will end up in the plane perpendicular to $B_{0}$ and precess with angular velocity $\gamma B_{0}$ about the $z$ direction. The precessing magnetization creates an alternating magnetic flux in the solenoid which, according to Faraday's law, induces an rf voltage. This rf voltage can be readily detected after the rf burst has been terminated, thereby proving that the resonance condition was achieved and that the applied frequency was equal to or very close to the precession frequency of the protons. Knowledge of the field strength and the resonance frequency allows the determination of the gyromagnetic ratio of the proton, which is a measurement of fundamental importance in nuclear physics.

To detect the nuclear-induced rf signal of angular frequency $\gamma B_{0}$ that appears across the terminals of the solenoid immediately after the 90 deg rf burst, it is mixed with a steady signal of frequency $\omega$ from the fixed oscillator to produce a beat signal of comparatively low frequency $\left|\gamma B_{0}-\omega\right|$ which can be observed directly on an oscilloscope. Of course, if $\omega$ is adjusted to be precisely equal to $\gamma B_{0}$, as in the above discussion, then the beat frequency is zero and the output is a dc voltage proportional to the sine of the difference in phase between the induced signal and the reference voltage. In this mode the rf mixer becomes a phase detector. The $90^{\circ}$ rotation of magnetization still works even if $\omega$ is slightly off the resonant condition, in which case the beat signal is readily observed. However, it doesn't last forever. It decays because of three distinct effects:

1. The field of the magnet is not perfectly uniform so that the protons in different parts of the sample precess at slightly different frequencies and get out of phase with one another, thereby gradually decreasing the net magnetization of the sample. This effect, although physically the least interesting, is always the dominant effect.
2. Protons in any given substance are generally located in several different molecular environments in each of which the precession frequency will be perturbed in a slightly different amount by magnetic dipole interactions. As in 1) the result is a gradual loss of phase coherence and a decay of the resultant magnetization.
3. Electromagnetic interactions between the protons and the surrounding particles cause transitions between the spin up and spin down states whose coherent combination is manifested as magnetization rotating in the xy plane. The result is a gradual


FIG. 1: The Free Induction Decay.
decay of these coherent combinations and a return to the state of thermal equilibrium in which the magnetization is in the z direction and therefore no longer capable of inducing a signal in the solenoid.

The oscillatory induced signal modulated by a decaying exponential Figure 1 is referred as the Free Induction Decay (FID.) An excellent reference describing these relaxation effects is given in [? ] and is available from the Junior Lab e-library.

### 4.1. Spin-Lattice Relaxation Time, $T_{1}$

Effect number 3) is thermal relaxation, and its rate is an interesting measure of the coupling of the protons to their environment. It is characterized by a time constant denoted by $T_{1}$, called the spin-lattice or longitudinal relaxation time. $T_{1}$ is the lifetime of the spins in a given energy state and is equal to the time constant for the exponential return to the Boltzmann distribution after the Z-component of the magnetization has been disturbed from equilibrium. This decay process is governed by the ease with which the nuclei are able to give up their energy to their surroundings. In effect, spin-lattice relaxation is a cooling process; an even population distribution implies an infinite temperature and as cooling occurs, after the pulse, the temperature falls, allowing the excess population to return to its equilibrium state. Transfer of energy from the spins to the lattice requires that there be a fluctuating magnetic field with Fourier components vibrating near the Larmor precession frequency in order to induce NMR transitions. The field originates from magnetic dipoles which are in thermal agitation.

### 4.2. Spin-Spin Relaxation Time, $T_{2}$

Fig. 1 characterizes the FID. $T_{2}^{*}$ is the name given to the observed value of the decay constant, and is the time for the transverse magnetization to decrease to 1 /e of the value it had immediately after the pulse. This normally observed consists of two components

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / T_{2}^{*}=1 / T_{2}+\gamma \Delta H_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{2}$ is the spin-spin, or transverse relaxation time and $\Delta H_{0}$ is the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field over the sample volume. The second term on the right is always larger than $1 / T_{2}$ and is sometimes referred to in the literature as $1 / T_{2}^{\prime}$.

Effect number 2) above is characterized by $T_{2}$. It is the basis for the powerful method of pulsed NMR chemical analysis based on measurement of the various perturbed precession frequencies due to the various locations of the protons within the molecule. Given the spectrum of these frequencies for a new complex organic compound, an expert can practically write out the chemical formula. The time it takes for the transverse magnetization to die out after a sample is perturbed by an rf pulse is a measure of this process. As we discussed above, a $90^{\circ}$ pulse leaves the sample with a net magnetization that lies in the $x-y$ plane and precesses about the z axis. With the passage of time, the magnetic moments interact with one another, and lose their phase coherence in the x-y plane. This process does not involve the loss of any energy from the spin system.

In many cases, the same physical mechanisms determine $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ so that they are equal. The cases of interest are those where there are additional mechanisms for spin-spin relaxation such that $T_{2}$ is shorter than $T_{1}$. After a $90^{\circ}$ pulse all phase coherence may be lost before any substantial $z$ magnetization is recovered. The transverse magnetization, and thus also the rf voltage induced in the sample coil, fall off as the phase coherence is lost. The dominant effect of magnet inhomogeneity, which could be fatal for such precision measurements, can be virtually eliminated by the remarkable invention of Hahn who discovered the phenomenon of "spin echoes" $[5,6]$.

### 4.3. Spin Echoes

To see how a spin echo is produced, consider a typical sample which has an enormous number of protons, of the order of $10^{23}$. They can be divided into millions of ensembles, each one of which consists of a still enormous number of protons in a region where the external field has values within a very narrow range. Each ensemble will have a certain net magnetization which contributes to the total magnetization, but each such magnetization will precess with a slightly different frequency and there-


FIG. 2: The NMR signal observed when the applied rf frequency is offset slightly from the Larmor frequency. The fast oscillations corresponds to the beat between the two frequencies.
fore gradually get out of phase with respect to the others. Suppose that after a decent interval a second rf burst of double duration, i.e. a $180^{\circ}$ burst, is applied to the sample. The magnetization of each ensemble will be precessed through $180^{\circ}$, ending back in the $x y$ plane where it will resume its precession motion. But now the accumulated phase differences between the various ensembles are all precisely reversed. Those that were ahead of the average are now behind by the same amount, and as the precession proceeds, the dephasing of the ensembles is gradually reversed. After precisely the same time interval $\tau$ all the ensembles are back in phase, the total magnetization reaches a maximum, and a "spin echo" signal is induced in the solenoid. The amplitude of the echo is usually smaller than that of the original FID. There will be some loss in magnitude of the magnetization due to thermal relaxation and the effects of random fluctuations in the local fields that perturb the precession of the nuclear moments and it is precisely the relaxation time of this loss that we wish to measure. The spin-echo method enables one to eliminate the otherwise dominant effects of the nonuniformity of the magnetic field. If the twopulse sequence is repeated for several different values of $\tau$, the height of the echo should vary as $\exp \left(-t / T_{2}\right)$.

A necessary assumption implied in the spin-echo technique is that a particular spin feels the same constant magnetic field before and after the "refocusing" $180^{\circ}$ pulse. If, because of Brownian motion, a spin has diffused to a different region of magnetic field before the echo, then that spin will not be refocused by the $180^{\circ}$ pulse. This is often the case for non-viscous liquids and will result in a decay of echoes which is not quite exponential and somewhat faster than that observed in viscous liquids. The Carr-Purcell technique, described in $[7,8]$ and summarized below, elegantly addresses this difficulty. The section of this lab guide entitled "measurements" will ask you to take data to measure the apparent $T_{2}$ for two samples (e.g. a viscous sample such as glycerine and a non-viscous one such as $\mathrm{Fe}^{+++}$doped $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to compare with later measurements taken from the same samples by the Carr-Purcell technique.

### 4.4. The Measurement of $T_{1}$

$$
\text { 4.4.1. } 90^{\circ}-90^{\circ}
$$

As mentioned above, the spin-lattice relaxation time can be measured by examining the time dependence of the z magnetization after equilibrium is disturbed. This can be done by saturating the spins with a $90^{\circ}$ pulse, so that the z magnetization is zero. Immediately after the first pulse one should be able to observe a free induction decay (as in Fig.1) whose amplitude is proportional to the z-magnetization just before the pulse. One then waits a measured amount of time, $t$, so that some magnetization has been reestablished, and then applies a $90^{\circ}$ pulse to the recovering system. The second $90^{\circ}$ pulse will rotate any z magnetization into the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ plane, where it will produce a FID signal proportional to the recovered magnitude it had just before the second pulse. If the two-pulse sequence is repeated varying the time between the $90^{\circ}$ pulses the amplitude of the FID as a function of t will give the value of $T_{1}$.

$$
\text { 4.4.2. } 180^{\circ}-90^{\circ}
$$

Another sequence, the $180^{\circ}-\tau-90^{\circ}$, is also used. A $180^{\circ}$ pulse is applied to the equilibrium system, causing the population of the states to be precisely inverted, and thus leaving the $x-y$ magnetization at zero. In this case, there should be little or no FID immediately after the first pulse. The system is then allowed to approach equilibrium for a specified delay, after which a $90^{\circ}$ pulse is applied to rotate the partially recovered $z$ magnetization into the $x-y$ plane. The magnitude of the FID gives a measure of the size of the magnetization which can be plotted against the delay to give the exponential time constant. In this case, the magnetization actually reverses going through zero at time $T_{1} \ln 2$.

$$
\text { 4.4.3. } 180^{\circ}-\tau-90^{\circ} 180^{\circ}
$$

It was mentioned earlier that it is experimentally much easier to detect the extremely small effects of transitions if they are separated in time from the multi-watt rf bursts. Unfortunately, the usual "Inversion Recovery" method requires observation of the FID immediately after the second rf pulse. This problem was addressed several years ago by two Junior Lab students[19] who proposed the "Three-Pulse" sequence[9]. See Figure 3 for a sample data set using this technique.
[19] Both of these students, Rahul Sarpeshkar and Isaac Chuang, are now M.I.T. professors. Creativity in Junior Lab is one indicator of future success in science!


FIG. 3: An example data set using the "Three-Pulse" technique with the oscilloscope set to infinite-persist.

The first pulse $\left(180^{\circ}\right)$ inverts the population along the Z axis as in the normal Inversion-Recovery method. After a delay of $t$ the second and third pulses can be understood as a normal 90-T-180 sequence which is used to measure the fraction of spins which are in the $\mid+z>$ state at the moment that the pulses are applied. The time between the second and third pulses is kept small to minimize $T_{2}$ effects. The amplitude of the echo is therefore related to the amount of $T_{1}$ decay (or recovery) during $t$ and will have the form $A\left(1-2 * e^{(-t / T 1)}\right)$.

The three experiments mentioned so far, (i.e. the spinecho, the $90^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ and the $180^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ sequences) have each been performed successfully many times in this lab. However each has its intrinsic difficulties leading to various modifications which will be discussed.

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

This experiment uses a permanent magnet whose field is $\mathbf{1 7 7 0}$ gauss (0.177 Tesla). Care should be taken to avoid bringing any magnetizable material (such as iron or steel) near the magnet as this may be pulled in and damage the magnet.

The experimental apparatus, shown in Figure 4 consists of a gated rf pulse generator with variable pulse widths and spacings, a probe circuit that delivers rf power to the sample and picks up the signal from the sample, a preamp that amplifies the signal, and a phase detector which outputs an audio signal whose frequency corresponds to the difference between the Larmor frequency and the frequency of the signal generator. Details of how to design and build NMR probes can be found in [10].

The rf pulse generating system is made up of a 15 MHz frequency synthesizer ( Agilent 33120A), a digital pulse programmer based on a STAMP microcontroller, a double-balanced mixer used as an rf switch (MiniCircuits ZAS-3), a variable attenuator, and an rf power amplifier capable of 2 watts output.

The frequency synthesizer feeds a +10 dBm RF sine wave to the power splitter . The power splitter keeps all


FIG. 4: The Experimental Setup. The magnet and the probe circuit are not shown
impedances appropriately matched while feeding one half of the RF power to a double-balanced mixer (DBM) used as a gate for the RF. The other half is used as a reference signal in the phase detector. The gate is opened and closed by TTL pulses provided by the digital pulse programmer. After the the switching stage, the RF pulses pass into a constant-gain $(+33 \mathrm{dBm})$ RF power amplifier. The power amplifier feeds the amplified pulsed RF into the probe circuit.

The signal out of the sample, as well as a considerable amount of leakage during pulses, comes out of the probe circuit, and is amplified by a sensitive preamp (TronTech W110F). The signal then goes into a phase detector (Mini-Circuits ZRPD-1), where it is mixed with the reference signal coming out of the other port of the power splitter. Since the NMR signal is, in general, not precisely at the frequency of the transmitter, when the two signals are mixed, a signal is produced at the difference frequency of the resonance signal and the applied rf. Since we are looking at NMR signals in the vicinity of $1-8 \mathrm{MHz}$, mixing this down to a lower frequency makes it easier to see the structure of the signal.

### 5.1. The probe circuit



FIG. 5: Schematic of the probehead circuit.

The probe circuit is a tuned LC circuit, impedance matched to 50 ohms at the resonant frequency for efficient power transmission to the sample. The inductor L in the circuit is the sample coil, a ten turn coil of $\# 18$ copper wire wound to accommodate a standard 10 mm NMR sample tube. The coil is connected to ground at each end through tunable capacitors $\mathrm{C}_{m}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{t}$, to allow frequency and impedance matching. Power in and signal out pass through the same point on the resonant circuit, so that both the power amplifier and the signal preamp have a properly matched load. Between the power amplifier and the sample is a pair of crossed diodes, in series with the probe circuit from the point of view of the power amplifier. By becoming non-conducting at low applied voltages, these serve to isolate the probe circuit and preamp from the power amplifier between pulses, reducing the problems associated with power amplifier noise, but they pass the high rf voltages that arrive when the transmitter is on. The signal out of the probe circuit passes through a quarter-wavelength line to reach another pair of crossed diodes, which go directly to ground, at the input of the preamp. The diodes short the preamp end of the cable when the transmitter is on, causing that end of the cable to act like a short circuit. This helps to protect the delicate preamp from the high rf power put out by the power amplifier. Any quarter-wave transmission line transforms impedance according to the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {in }}=Z_{0}^{2} / Z_{\text {out }} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}=$ the characteristic impedance of the line.
Therefore during the rf pulse, the preamp circuit with the quarter-wave line looks like an open circuit to the probe and does not load it down. Between pulses, the voltage across the diodes is too small to turn them on, and they act like an open circuit, allowing the small NMR signal to pass undiminished to the preamp.


FIG. 6: The Pulse Programmer Interface.

## 6. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Although it is the policy in Junior Lab to discourage the use of pre-wired experiments, there are two reasons why the present set-up should not be (lightly) changed. Several of the components, particularly the double-balanced mixers (DBM) and the low-level TRONTECH pre-amplifier, are easily damaged if the rf power level they are exposed to exceeds their specified limit. Furthermore, the lengths of some of the cables have been specially selected to fix the relative phase relationship of different signals.

Most of the controls that you will manipulate are on the digital pulse programmer, the oscilloscope or the function generator. The keypad of the Digital Pulse Programmer is shown in Figure 6. Press any of the four buttons on the right and then use the arrow buttons to set the corresponding time. The default times are: First Pulse Width (PW1) $=24 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, Second Pulse Width (PW2) $=48 \mu \mathrm{~s}, \tau=2 \mathrm{~ms}$, Repeat Time $=100 \mathrm{~ms}$. The first two buttons on the left determine whether the two-pulse sequence occurs only once or repeats continuously 10 times $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ (or at a different repeat time if you change this parameter).

Set the delay, $\tau$, to the minimum position and observe the amplified rf pulses from the port marked "transmitter" on channel two of the oscilloscope. The pulses should be approximately $20-30$ volts peak-to-peak. Choose the slowest possible sweep speed which will enable both pulses to be viewed simultaneously. A good starting pair of pulse-widths might be $24 \mu$ s and $48 \mu$ s corresponding to approximately $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. Now switch to channel one which displays the output of the phase detector (through the low-pass filter). Incidentally, there is another low-pass filter which is part of the scope itself. On the Tektronix analog scope there is a button marked "BW limit 20 MHz ". This button should be pressed in (active). On the HP digital scope the BW limit is set by one of the soft keys. Set the $y$-sensitivity to about 10 $\mathrm{mV} /$ div at first. Channel one will display the nmr signal. Place the glycerine vial in the probe and place the probe in the magnet. Now the fun begins!!

Refer to Figure 2 which is a highly stylized version of
the signals you might obtain. The form of the voltage displayed during the two bursts is unimportant. You will be focusing your attention on the (FID) signals which appear after each burst and on the echo. For five or ten microseconds after the rf pulse the amplifier is still in the recovery phase and this part of the signal should be ignored.

### 6.1. Free Induction Decay (FID)

As mentioned above, the oscillations following the first pulse represent a beat between the applied rf frequency and the Larmor frequency. Since the latter is proportional to $B_{0}$, you should see high-frequency oscillations as you raise $\omega$ from below the resonance condition. They will spread out in time, pass through a zero-beat condition and then begin to increase in frequency again as the field continues to increase. These oscillations with their exponentially decaying envelope is referred to as the Free Induction Decay (FID).

### 6.2. $\quad$ Setting Pulse Widths

It is sometimes easiest to set the pulse widths with the magnetic field slightly off resonance so that the FID is well displayed. The size of the FID should be maximum after a $90^{\circ}$ or $270^{\circ}$ pulse, minimum or zero after a $180^{\circ}$ pulse. It is usually easiest to set the pulse-width to $180^{\circ}$ by minimizing the FID. Then, if you want a $90^{\circ}$ pulse, reduce the pulse-width in half.

You have four or more degrees of freedom: these include the widths of each of the two pulses, the delay between the pulses, and the frequency of the applied current. Experiment with all of them. Look for free induction decays (FID); vary the FID so that you get varying amounts of oscillations (beats) and try to explain the beats. Once you find oscillatory FID's, move the probe slightly between the pole pieces of the magnet in a direction perpendicular to the magnetic field. Explain the changes you see. Use these changes to find the most homogeneous position in the field, then leave the probe there for the remainder of the experiment. Measure $T_{2}^{*}$ . Using various combinations of $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ rf pulses, obtain data from which you can determine $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ in several samples (see section entitled "Measurements".)

### 6.3. The Carr-Purcell Experiment

As mentioned above, if diffusion causes nuclei to move from one point of an inhomogeneous magnetic field to another in a time less than $2 \tau$, the echo amplitude is reduced. It can be shown that the echo amplitude for a pulse separation $\tau$ is


FIG. 7: The Carr-Purcell pulse and echo sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(2 \tau)=E(0) \exp \left[-\left(2 \tau / T_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \gamma^{2} G^{2} D \tau^{3}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is the gradient of the inhomogeneous field and $D$ is the diffusion constant. Because of the $\tau^{3}$ dependence the effects of diffusion are pronounced for large values of $t$ and thus affect the measurement of long $T_{2}$ 's. Carr and Purcell [7] introduced a pulse sequence which can be described as follows: $\pi / 2, \tau, \pi, 2 \tau, \pi, 2 \tau, \pi, 2 \tau \ldots$ (i.e. 90 deg pulse at time 0 , followed by 180 deg pulses at times $\tau, 3 \tau, 5 \tau$, etc.) Echoes will be observed at times $2 \tau, 4 \tau, 6 \tau$, etc.

When you are ready to do a Carr-Purcell, set up the pulse width and magnetic field first with a two-pulse spin echo and then switch to the Carr-Purcell. The picture should resemble Figure 7.

## 7. MEASUREMENTS

### 7.1. Magnetic Moments of Hydrogen and Fluorine

With this apparatus, we can measure the magnetic moments of two nuclei: the proton ${ }^{1} H$ and the Fluorine nucleus ${ }^{19} F$. One of the strongest signals is due to hydrogen in glycerine. Once you have obtained a good resonance, remove the sample and replace it with the transverse probe of the Hall Gaussmeter. From the magnetic field strength ( $\mathbf{1 7 7 0}$ gauss $=\mathbf{0 . 1 7 7}$ Tesla) and the measured frequency you can calculate the magnetic moment. Repeat the measurement for fluorine using the trifluoric acetic acid sample or the hexafluorobenzene (You may wish to consult the CRC or another source to get an idea of what resonant frequency you are looking for). The former is a strong acid and should be handled with extreme care. Before looking for the fluorine resonance, move the knob on the probe circuit in the magnet to
point to "FLUORINE". Also note that the $T_{1}$ relaxation time for our fluorine sample is long and if you use the default ( 100 ms ) repetition rate of the pulse sequence, the observed signal will be small! Finally, be creative with the pulse programmer... by setting pw $2=1 \mu$ s you can effectively create a one pulse sequence...

### 7.1.1. Relaxation constants for Water

In the case of water, the relaxation times ( $T_{1}$ equals $T_{2}$ for most non-viscous liquids) is of the order of several seconds. The measurement of $T_{2}$ is quite difficult but the equivalent measurement of $T_{1}$ can be done as follows:

Set up a $90^{\circ}-180^{\circ}$ echo sequence with the shortest possible delay between pulses. As mentioned above, one must usually wait at least 5 times $T_{1}$ between successive repeats of this pulse sequence to allow sufficient time for equilibrium to be re-established. If less time is taken, the echo signal is diminished. Taking advantage of this fact, one can vary the repeat rate and plot the echo height against the repeat time. For times less than about 3 s . you can read this repeat time from the small numerical display on the scope (push the button marked "per"). For slower rates switch to the manual ("one-shot") mode and use your watch to wait a specified amount of time in between pulses. Repeat the measurement for both tapwater and distilled water.

The first measurements of $T_{1}$ in distilled water stood for about thirty years. Since then careful measurements have produced a number which is about $50 \%$ higher. The difference is due to the effect of dissolved oxygen in the water ( $O_{2}$ is paramagnetic). As an optional experiment, you might try to carefully remove the dissolved oxygen from a sample of distilled water. Bubbling pure nitrogen through the water will work as will other methods in the literature. A challenging question which you might discuss in your oral examination is why $O_{2}$ is paramagnetic while $N_{2}$ is diamagnetic.

### 7.1.2. Effects of Paramagnetic ions.

An extremely small amount of any substance with unpaired electron spins has a very dramatic effect of reducing $T_{1}$. There is a bottle of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ in the lab. The solution has been diluted to a strength of 0.17 M corresponding to $10^{20} \mathrm{Fe}^{+++}$ions/cc. Start with a single drop of this solution in a test tube. Dilute it with 9 drops of tap water. Measure both $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$. Take a single drop of your diluted solution and dilute it again by a factor of 10 . You should be able to repeat the measurement over several decades in concentration. Plot the relaxation times versus concentration on log-log paper.


FIG. 8: The viscocity of water-glycerine mixtures. From http://www.dow.com/glycerine/resources/table18.htm

### 7.1.3. Effect of Viscosity.

It has been shown that the major contribution to both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ processes comes from the fluctuating dipolar fields of other nuclear (and unpaired electron ) spins in the immediate region. Only those fluctuations which have a sizeable Fourier component at the Larmor frequency can affect $T_{1}$, but spin-spin relaxation is also sensitive to fluctuations near zero frequency. It is for this reason that viscous liquids (whose fluctuations have a sizeable low-frequency component) exhibit a $T_{2}$ less than [20] Recall that viscocity of a fluid, designated $\eta$ is defined as the ratio fo the shear stress placed on a fluid to the resultant strain rate. $\eta=\frac{F / A}{v / l}$ From the units, one can see that the SI units must be $1 \frac{N s}{m^{2}}=1 P a s$ It is much more common however to see the corresponding 'cgs' unit 1poise $=1 \frac{d y n s}{m^{2}}=0.1$ Pa s. From the
$T_{1}$. You will find a series of samples of glycerine-water mixtures in different ratios. Each will be marked with its viscosity[?]. Measure $T_{2}$ by the Carr-Purcell method and $T_{1}$ by the $180^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ method, the three-pulse method or the method suggested in paragraph 1 of this section. With the aid of Figure 8, compare your results with those found in the extraordinary thesis of Bloembergen [11] started in the year that NMR was discovered.

## 8. SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

Each of the magnetic moments in a sample is influenced by the magnetic fields of other moments in its neighborhood. These differ from location to location in the sample, depending on the relative distance and orientation of neighbor moments to one another. An approximate measure of the magnetic field variation experienced by the proton moments in the water molecule is the range corresponding to parallel alignment of two interacting protons at one extreme to opposite alignment at the other.

1. Using $\mu / r^{3}$ for the field of the neighbor moment, show that the half-range in the Larmor precession frequencies is given by $\Delta \omega \approx\left(g \mu_{n}\right)^{2} / h r^{3}$.
2. The return to normal of the transverse distribution of the protons following resonance occurs as moments with different precession frequencies become more and more randomly orientated in the precession angle. Estimate the transverse relaxation time $T_{2}$ for the water sample by finding the time required for two moments, differing by the average $\Delta \omega$ calculated in part a., to move from in-phase to $\pi$-out-of-phase positions.

As you've probably guessed, this lab is merely a stepping off point for an incredibly varied set of potential investigations. Some good general referneces for this lab (beyond the ones already cited in the text) are [12-18].
table one can see that the viscocity of water is $1.79 \times 10^{-2}$ poise at $0^{\circ}$ which falls to $2.838 \times 10^{-3}$ poise at $100^{\circ}$. For comparison, the viscocity of air at $20^{\circ}$ is $181 \times 10^{-6}$ poise and the viscocities of lubricating oils are typically $1-10$ poise.
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## APPENDIX A: QUANTUM MECHANICAL DESCRIPTION OF NMR

Recall that for all spin-1/2 particles (protons, neutrons, electrons, quarks, leptons), there are just two eigenstates:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{spin} u p \Rightarrow\left|m_{l}, m_{s}\right\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \Longrightarrow|0\rangle \\
\text { spin down } \Rightarrow\left|m_{l}, m_{s}\right\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{-1}{2}\right\rangle \Longrightarrow|1\rangle \tag{A1b}
\end{array}
$$

where the simplified abbreviations for these two states are noted. Using these as basis vectors, the general state of a spin- $1 / 2$ particle can be expressed as a two-element column matrix called a spinor.

$$
|\psi=u| 0\rangle+d|1\rangle=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u  \tag{A2}\\
d
\end{array}\right]
$$

where normalization imposes the constraint that $|u|^{2}+$ $|d|^{2}=1$.

The physics is governed by the Time Dependent Schrödinger Equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \hbar \frac{d}{d t}|\psi\rangle=H|\psi\rangle \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the solution $|\psi(t)\rangle=U|\psi(t=0)\rangle$, where $U=$ $e^{-i H t / \hbar}$ is unitary. In pulsed NMR, the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the potential energy of a magnetic moment placed in an external magnetic field. In matrix representation this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\mu\left[\sigma_{x} B_{x}+\sigma_{y} B_{y}+\sigma_{z} B_{z}\right] \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Pauli spin matrices,

$$
\sigma_{x} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{A6}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \sigma_{y} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \sigma_{z} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

are 'spin operators' or 'generators' of unitary transformations. Inserting Eqs.A6,A2 and Eq.A5 into Eq.A3:

$$
\begin{gather*}
i \hbar \frac{d}{d t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u \\
d
\end{array}\right]=i \hbar\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{u} \\
\dot{d}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{A7}\\
=-\mu\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] B_{x}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right] B_{y}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] B_{z}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
u \\
d
\end{array}\right] \tag{A8}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
=-\mu\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
d  \tag{A9}\\
u
\end{array}\right] B_{x}+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-i & d \\
i & u
\end{array}\right] B_{y}+\left[\begin{array}{r}
u \\
-d
\end{array}\right] B_{z}\right)
$$

The equations of motion then become:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} & =i \mu B_{x} d+\mu B_{y} d+i \mu B_{z} u \\
& =\mu\left[i B_{x}+B_{y}\right] d+i \mu B_{z} u  \tag{A10}\\
\dot{d} & =\mu\left[i B_{x}-B_{y}\right] u-i \mu B_{z} d \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

If $B_{z} \neq 0$ and $B_{x}=B_{y}=0$ and the equations reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} & =i \mu B_{z} u  \tag{A12}\\
\dot{d} & =-i \mu B_{z} d \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating with respect to time yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u_{0} e^{i \mu B_{z} t}=u_{0} e^{i \omega_{0} t}  \tag{A14}\\
d & =d_{0} e^{-i \mu B_{z} t}=d_{0} e^{-i \omega_{0} t} \tag{A15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\frac{\mu B_{z}}{\hbar} \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Larmor Precession Frequency. If an atom undergoes a spin-flip transition from the 'spin-up' state to the 'spin-down' state, the emitted photon has energy $E=2 \omega_{0} \hbar$.

Now let's add a small external magnetic field $B_{x}$ but still keeping $B_{y}=0$ and such that $B_{x} \ll B_{z}$. Eqn. A11 becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} & =i \mu B_{x} d / \hbar-i \mu B_{z} u / \hbar  \tag{A17}\\
\dot{d} & =i \mu B_{x} u / \hbar+i \mu B_{z} d / \hbar \tag{A18}
\end{align*}
$$

For a time varying magnetic field of the type produced by an 'RF-Burst' as in pulsed NMR, $B_{x}=B_{x 0} \cos \omega t$ where $\cos \omega t=\left(e^{i \omega t}+e^{-i \omega t}\right) / 2$ from Euler's Theorem. Now, we can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} & =-i \omega_{0} u+i \omega_{x} / 2\left(e^{i \omega t}+e^{-i \omega t}\right) d  \tag{A19}\\
\dot{d} & =i \omega_{0} d+i \omega_{x} / 2\left(e^{i \omega t}+e^{-i \omega t}\right) u \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\omega_{x} \ll \omega_{0}$ since $B_{x} \ll B_{0}$.
We can try for a solution of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =C_{u}(t) e^{-i \omega_{0} t}  \tag{A21}\\
d & =C_{d}(t) e^{i \omega_{0} t} \tag{A22}
\end{align*}
$$

From this general form, use the Chain Rule to determine $\dot{u}$ and $\dot{d}$. Note that the terms $C_{u}$ and $C_{d}$ drop out as they appear on both sides and then, dividing by $e^{-i \omega_{o} t}$ yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{C}_{u}=\frac{i \omega_{x}}{2} C_{d}\left[e^{i\left(\omega-2 \omega_{0}\right) t}+e^{-i\left(\omega-2 \omega_{0}\right) t}\right]  \tag{A23}\\
& \dot{C}_{d}=\frac{i \omega_{x}}{2} C_{u}\left[e^{i\left(\omega-2 \omega_{0}\right) t}+e^{-i\left(\omega+2 \omega_{0}\right) t}\right] \tag{A24}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we use the approximation $\omega \ll \omega_{0}$ to show that the leading terms are very small. If we run at resonance $\left(\omega=2 \omega_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{C}_{u} & =\frac{i \omega_{x}}{2} C_{d}  \tag{A25}\\
\dot{C}_{d} & =-\frac{i \omega_{x}}{2} C_{u} \tag{A26}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the next derivatives, we can demonstrate that these coefficients act like harmonic oscillators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{C}_{u}+\left(\frac{\omega_{x}}{2}\right)^{2} C_{u}=0  \tag{A27}\\
& \ddot{C}_{d}+\left(\frac{\omega_{x}}{2}\right)^{2} C_{d}=0 \tag{A28}
\end{align*}
$$

This harmonic oscillator equation has the general solution

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{u}=a \cos \omega t+b \sin \omega t  \tag{A29}\\
C_{d}=a \cos \omega t+b \sin \omega t \tag{A30}
\end{gather*}
$$

or without loss of generality

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{u}=a \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)+b \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)  \tag{A31}\\
C_{d}=a \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)+b \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right) \tag{A32}
\end{gather*}
$$

Doting these solutions and solving for $C_{u}$ and $C_{d}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{u}=a \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)+b \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)  \tag{A33}\\
C_{d}=i a \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)-i b \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right) \tag{A34}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recall Eq.A22 to finally write down what are known as Rabi Oscillations valid for $\omega_{x} \ll \omega_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =u(t)=\left[a \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)+b \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)\right] e^{-i \omega_{0} t}  \tag{A35}\\
d & =d(t)=i\left[a \sin \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)-b \cos \left(\omega_{x} t / 2\right)\right] e^{i \omega_{0} t} \tag{A36}
\end{align*}
$$

The important thing to note is that a 'spin-up' state is defined as when $a \rightarrow 1$ and $b \rightarrow 0$ and the opposite for a 'spin-down' state.

## APPENDIX B: BLOCH SPHERE REPRESENTATION

A single qubit in the state $a|0\rangle+b|1\rangle$ can be visualized as a point $(\theta, \phi)$ on the unit sphere, where $a=\cos (\theta / 2)$, $b=e^{i \phi} \sin (\theta / 2)$, and $a$ can be taken to be real because the overall phase of the state is unobservable. This is called the Bloch sphere representation, and the vector $(\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ is called the Bloch vector.

The Pauli matrices give rise to three useful classes of unitary matrices when they are exponentiated, the rotation operators about the $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$, and $\hat{z}$ axes, defined by the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{x}(\theta) & \equiv e^{-i \theta X / 2}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} X \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{B1}\\
R_{y}(\theta) & \equiv e^{-i \theta Y / 2}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} Y \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\
\sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{B2}\\
R_{z}(\theta) & \equiv e^{-i \theta Z / 2}=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I-i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} Z \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-i \theta / 2} & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta / 2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

One reason why the $R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$ operators are referred to as rotation operators is the following fact. Suppose a single qubit has a state represented by the Bloch vector $\vec{\lambda}$. Then the effect of the rotation $R_{\hat{n}}(\theta)$ on the state is to rotate it by an angle $\theta$ about the $\hat{n}$ axis of the Bloch sphere. This explains the rather mysterious looking factor of two in the definition of the rotation matrices.

An arbitrary unitary operator on a single qubit can be written in many ways as a combination of rotations, together with global phase shifts on the qubit. A useful theorem to remember is the following: Suppose $U$ is a unitary operation on a single qubit. Then there exist real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=e^{i \alpha} R_{x}(\beta) R_{y}(\gamma) R_{x}(\delta) \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## APPENDIX C: FUNDAMENTAL EQUATIONS OF MAGNETIC RESONANCE

The magnetic interaction of a classical electromagnetic field with a two-state spin is described by the

Hamiltonian $H=-\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$, where $\vec{\mu}$ is the spin, and $B=B_{0} \hat{z}+B_{1}(\hat{x} \cos \omega t+\hat{y} \sin \omega t)$ is a typical applied magnetic field. $B_{0}$ is static and very large, and $B_{1}$ is usually time varying and several orders of magnitude smaller than $B_{0}$ in strength, so that perturbation theory is traditionally employed to study this system. However, the Schrödinger equation for this system can be solved straightforwardly without perturbation theory, in terms of which the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2} Z+g(X \cos \omega t+Y \sin \omega t) \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is related to the strength of the $B_{1}$ field, and $\omega_{0}$ to $B_{0}$, and $X, Y, Z$ are the Pauli matrices as usual. Define $|\phi(t)\rangle=e^{i \omega t Z / 2}|\chi(t)\rangle$, such that the Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial_{t}|\chi(t)\rangle=H|\chi(t)\rangle \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be re-expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial_{t}|\phi(t)\rangle=\left[e^{i \omega Z t / 2} H e^{-i \omega Z t / 2}-\frac{\omega}{2} Z\right]|\phi(t)\rangle \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \omega Z t / 2} X e^{-i \omega Z t / 2}=(X \cos \omega t-Y \sin \omega t), \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C3) simplifies to become

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \partial_{t}|\phi(t)\rangle=\left[\frac{\omega_{0}-\omega}{2} Z+g X\right]|\phi(t)\rangle \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the terms on the right multiplying the state can be identified as the effective 'rotating frame' Hamiltonian. The solution to this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(t)\rangle=e^{i\left[\frac{\omega_{0}-\omega}{2} Z+g X\right] t}|\phi(0)\rangle \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The concept of resonance arises from the behavior of this solution, which can be understood to be a single qubit rotation about the axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n}=\frac{\hat{z}+\frac{2 g}{\omega_{0}-\omega} \hat{x}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{2 g}{\omega_{0}-\omega}\right)^{2}}} \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by an angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\vec{n}|=t \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_{0}-\omega}{2}\right)^{2}+g^{2}} \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\omega$ is far from $\omega_{0}$, the spin is negligibly affected by the $B_{1}$ field; the axis of its rotation is nearly parallel with $\hat{z}$, and its time evolution is nearly exactly that of the free $B_{0}$ Hamiltonian. On the other hand, when $\omega_{0} \approx \omega$, the $B_{0}$ contribution becomes negligible, and a small $B_{1}$ field can cause large changes in the state, corresponding to rotations about the $\hat{x}$ axis. The enormous effect a small perturbation can have on the spin system, when
tuned to the appropriate frequency, is responsible for the 'resonance' in nuclear magnetic resonance.

In general, when $\omega=\omega_{0}$, the single spin rotating frame Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=g_{1}(t) X+g_{2}(t) Y \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are functions of the applied transverse RF fields.

## APPENDIX D: MODELING THE NMR PROBE

The material in this appendix was provided by Professor Chuang of the MIT Media Laboratory. A tuned circuit is typically used to efficiently irradiate a sample with electromagnetic fields in the radiofrequency of microwave regime. This circuit allows power to be transferred from a source with minimal reflection, while at the same time creating a large electric of magnetic field around the sample, which is typically placed within a coil that is part of it.


FIG. 9: Schematatic diagram of NMR probe circuit. The connector on the right goes off to the source and any detection circuitry.

## 1. Circuit and Input Impedance

A typical probe circuit, as shown in Figure 7, consists of an inductor $L$, its parasitic coil resistance $R$, a tuning capacitor $C_{T}$, and an impedance matching capacitor $C_{m}$. We can analyze the behavior of this circuit using the method of complex impedances, in which the capacitors have impedance $Z_{C}=1 / j \omega C$, inductors $Z_{L}=j \omega L$, and resistors $Z_{R}=R$, with $\omega=2 \pi f$ being the frequency in $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$, and $j=\sqrt{-1}=i$ (dropping the minus sign that sometimes appears in EE).

The input impedance is thus

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =Z_{C_{m}}+\left[\frac{1}{Z_{C_{T}}}+\frac{1}{R+Z_{L}}\right]^{-1}  \tag{D1}\\
& =Z_{C_{m}}+\left[j \omega C_{T}+\frac{1}{R+j \omega L}\right]^{-1}  \tag{D2}\\
& =Z_{C_{m}}+\left[\frac{j R \omega C_{T}+1-\omega^{2} L C_{T}}{R+j \omega L}\right]^{-1}  \tag{D3}\\
& =\frac{1}{j \omega C}+\left[\frac{R+j \omega L}{1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}}\right]  \tag{D4}\\
& =\frac{1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}+j \omega R C_{m}-\omega^{2} L C_{m}}{j \omega C_{m}\left(1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}\right)}(\mathrm{D} 5) \\
& =\frac{1+j \omega R\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)-\omega^{2} L\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)}{j \omega C_{m}\left(1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}\right)} . \tag{D6}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Tune and Match Conditions

The resonant frequency of this circuit is set by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=\frac{1}{L\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)} \tag{D7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and at this frequency, the input impedance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=\frac{R\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)}{C_{m}\left(1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}\right)} \tag{D8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We would like this impedance to be 50 ohms, because that is the typical impedance expected by RF or microwave sources and the coaxial cable which carries in the signal. Setting $Z_{0}=50$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{50}{R} & =\frac{C_{T}+C_{m}}{C_{m}\left(1+j R \omega C_{T}-\omega^{2} L C_{T}\right)}  \tag{D9}\\
& =\frac{C_{T}+C_{m}}{C_{m}\left[1+j R \omega C_{T}-\frac{C_{T}}{C_{T}+C_{m}}\right]}  \tag{D10}\\
& =\frac{\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)^{2}}{C_{m}\left[C_{m}+j R \omega C_{T}\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)\right]} . \tag{D11}
\end{align*}
$$

To good approximation, the $j R \omega C_{T}\left(C_{T}+C_{m}\right)$ term in the demoniator may be neglected, giving, finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{50}{R}=\left(1+\frac{C_{T}}{C_{m}}\right)^{2} \tag{D12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two important results give the resonant frequency, Eq. (B7), and the impedance matching condition, Eq. (B12), in terms of the capacitor settings. When the matching condition is satisfied, then all the source power goes into the tuned resonator at the resonant frequency, thus creating the strongest possible oscillating magnetic field inside the coil $L$.

