## 19 Riemann surfaces and modular curves

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order in an imaginary quadratic field $K$ and let $D=\operatorname{disc}(\mathcal{O})$. In the previous lecture we defined the Hilbert class polynomial

$$
H_{D}(X)=\prod_{j(E) \in \mathrm{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C})}(X-j(E))
$$

where $\operatorname{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{C}):=\{j(E): E / \mathbb{C}$ has $\operatorname{End}(E)=\mathcal{O}\}$, and claimed that $H_{D} \in \mathcal{K}[x]$ (in fact $H_{D} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ), which implies that every elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication is actually defined over a number field $K(j(E))$, where $j(E)$ is an algebraic integer.

In order to prove this, and in order to develop efficient algorithms for explicitly computing $H_{D}(X)$, we need to temporarily divert our attention to the study of modular curves. These curves, and the modular functions that are defined on them, are a major topic in their own right, one to which entire courses (and even research careers) are devoted. We shall only scratch the surface of this subject, focusing on the specific results that we need. Our presentation is adapted from [1, V.1] and [2, I.2].

### 19.1 The modular curves $X(1)$ and $Y(1)$

Recall the modular group $\Gamma=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, which acts on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ via linear fractional transformations. The quotient $\mathbb{H} / \Gamma$ (the $\Gamma$-orbits of $\mathbb{H}$ ) is known as the modular curve $Y(1)$, whose points may be identified with points in the fundamental region

$$
\mathcal{F}=\{z \in \mathbb{H}: \operatorname{re}(z) \in[-1 / 2,1 / 2) \text { and }|z| \geq 1, \text { with }|z|>1 \text { if } \operatorname{re}(z)>0\} .
$$

You may be wondering why we call $Y(1)$ a curve. Recall from Theorem 18.5 that the $j$ function gives a holomorphic bijection from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, and we shall prove that in fact $Y(1)$ is isomorphic, as a complex manifold, to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, which we may view as an affine curve: let $f(X, Y)=Y$ and note that the zero locus of $f$ is just $\{(X, 0): X \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

The fundamental region $\mathcal{F}$ is not a compact subset of $\mathbb{H}$, since it is unbounded along the positive imaginary axis. To remedy this deficiency, we compactify it by adjoining a point at infinity to $\mathbb{H}$ and including it in $\mathcal{F}$. But we also want $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ to act on our extended upper half plane. Given that

$$
\lim _{\operatorname{im} \tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}=\frac{a}{c}
$$

we need to include the set of rational numbers in our extended upper half plane in order for $\Gamma$ to act continuously. So let

$$
\mathbb{H}^{*}=\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup\{\infty\}=\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}),
$$

and let $\Gamma$ act on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Q})$ via

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)(x: y)=(a x+b y: c x+d y) .
$$

The points in $\mathbb{H}^{*} \backslash \mathbb{H}=\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Q})$ are called cusps; as shown in Problem Set 8 , the cusps are all $\Gamma$-equivalent. Thus we may extend our fundamental region $\mathcal{F}$ for $\mathbb{H}$ to a fundamental region $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ for $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ by including the cusp at infinity: the point $\infty=(1: 0) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Q})$, which we may view as lying infinitely far up the positive imaginary axis.

We can now define the modular curve $X(1)=\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma$, which contains all the points in $Y(1)$, plus the cusp at infinity. This is a projective curve, in fact it is the projective closure of $Y(1)$. It is also a compact Riemann surface, a connected complex manifold of dimension 1. Before stating precisely what this means, our first goal is to prove that $X(1)$ is a compact Hausdorff space.

To give the extended upper half plane $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ a topology, we begin with the usual (open) neighborhoods about points $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ (all open disks about $\tau$ that lie in $\mathbb{H}$ ). For cusps $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}$ we take the union of $\{\tau\}$ with any open disk in $\mathbb{H}$ tangent to $\tau$ to be a neighborhood of $\tau$. For the cusp at infinity, any set of the form $\{\infty\} \cup\{\tau \in \mathbb{H}: \operatorname{im} \tau>r\}$ with $r>0$ is a neighborhood of $\infty$.

With this topology it is clear that $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ is a Hausdorff space (any two points can be separated by neighborhoods). It does not immediately follow that $X(1)=\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma$ is a Hausdorff space; a quotient of a Hausdorff space need not be Hausdorff. To prove that $X(1)$ is Hausdorff we first derive two lemmas that will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 19.1. For any compact sets $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{H}$ the set $S=\{\gamma: \gamma A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.
Proof. Let $m=\min \{\operatorname{im} \tau: \tau \in A\}$ and $M=\max \{|\operatorname{re} \tau|: \tau \in A\}$, and define

$$
r=\max \left\{\operatorname{im} \tau_{A} / \operatorname{im} \tau_{B}: \tau_{A} \in A, \tau_{B} \in B\right\} .
$$

Recall that for any $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma$ we have $\operatorname{im} \gamma \tau=\operatorname{im} \tau /|c \tau+d|^{2}$. If $\gamma$ sends $\tau_{A} \in A$ to $\tau_{B} \in B$, then $\left|c \tau_{A}+d\right|^{2}=\operatorname{im} \tau_{A} / \operatorname{im} \tau_{B} \leq r$. This implies $(c m)^{2} \leq r$ and $(c M+d)^{2} \leq r$, which gives upper bounds on $|c|$ and $|d|$ for any $\gamma \in S$. Thus the number of pairs $(c, d)$ arising among $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S$ is finite. Let us now fix one such pair and define

$$
s=\max \left\{\left|\tau_{B}\right|\left|c \tau_{A}+d\right|: \tau_{A} \in A, \tau_{B} \in B\right\}
$$

For any $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma$ we have $|\gamma \tau|=|a \tau+b| /|c \tau+d|$. If $\gamma$ sends $\tau_{A} \in A$ to $\tau_{B} \in B$, then $\left|a \tau_{A}+b\right|=\left|\tau_{B}\right|\left|c \tau_{A}+d\right| \leq s$. As above, this gives upper bounds on $|a|$ and $|b|$, proving that the number of pairs $(a, b)$ arising among $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in S$ is finite. So $S$ is finite.

Lemma 19.2. For $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{H}^{*}$ there exist neighborhoods $U_{1}$ of $\tau_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $\tau_{2}$ such that

$$
\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2} \neq \emptyset \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In particular, every $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^{*}$ has a neighborhood containing no points $\gamma \tau \neq \tau$.
Proof. We first note that if $\gamma \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$, then $\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2} \neq \emptyset$ for all neighborhoods $U_{1}$ of $\tau_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $\tau_{2}$, so we only need to prove the forward implication in the statement of the lemma.

We first consider $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{H}$, with compact neighborhoods $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, and let $S=\left\{\gamma: \gamma C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \emptyset\right.$ and $\left.\gamma \tau_{1} \neq \tau_{2}\right\}$. If $S$ is empty then let $U_{1} \subset C_{1}$ be a neighborhood of $\tau_{1}$ and let $U_{2} \subset C_{2}$ be a neighborhood of $\tau_{2}$. Otherwise, pick $\gamma \in S$, pick a neighborhood $U_{1}$ of $\tau_{1}$ such that $\tau_{2} \notin \gamma U_{1}$, pick a neighborhood $U_{2}$ of $\tau_{2}$ such that $\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$, and replace $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ by the closures of $U_{1}$ an $U_{2}$, respectively, yielding a smaller set $S$. Note that the existence of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ is guaranteed by the continuity of the
function $f(\tau)=\gamma \tau=(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d)$. By Lemma 19.1, $S$ is finite, so we eventually have $S=\emptyset$ and neighborhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ that satisfy the lemma.

We now consider $\tau_{1} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\tau_{2}=\infty$. Let $U_{1}$ be a neighborhood of $\tau_{1}$ with $\bar{U}_{1} \subset \mathbb{H}$. The set $\left\{|c \tau+d|: \tau \in U_{1}, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right.$ not both 0$\}$ is bounded below, and $\left\{\operatorname{im} \gamma \tau: \gamma \in \Gamma, \tau \in U_{1}\right\}$ is bounded above, say by $r$, since $\operatorname{im}\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \tau=\operatorname{im} \tau /|c \tau+d|^{2}$. If we let $U_{2}=\{\tau: \operatorname{im} \tau>r\}$ be our neighborhood of $\tau_{2}=\infty$, then $\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and the lemma holds. This argument extends to all the cusps in $\mathbb{H}^{*}$, since every cusp is $\Gamma$-equivalent to $\infty$, and we can easily reverse the roles of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, since if $\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$ then $U_{1} \cap \gamma^{-1} U_{2}=\emptyset$.

Finally, if $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}=\infty$ we let $U_{1}=U_{2}=\{\tau \in \mathbb{H}: \operatorname{im} \tau>1\} \cup\{\infty\}$ : for $\operatorname{im} \tau>1$ either $\operatorname{im} \gamma \tau=\operatorname{im} \tau$, in which case $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & * \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ fixes $\infty$, or $\operatorname{im} \gamma \tau=\operatorname{im} \tau /|c \tau+d|^{2}<1$.

Theorem 19.3. $X(1)$ is a connected compact Hausdorff space.
Proof. It is clear that $\mathbb{H}$ is connected, hence its closure $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ is connected, and the quotient of a connected space is connected. So $X(1)$ is connected.

To show that $X(1)$ is compact, we show that every open cover has a finite subcover. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open cover of $X(1)$ and let $\pi: \mathbb{H}^{*} \rightarrow X(1)$ be the quotient map. Then $\left\{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}$ is an open cover of $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ and it contains an open set $V_{0}$ containing the point $\infty$. Let $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right\}$ be a finite subset of $\left\{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}$ covering the compact set $\overline{\mathcal{F}}-V_{0}$ (note that $V_{0}$ contains a neighborhood $\{z: \operatorname{im} z>r\}$ of $\left.\infty\right)$. Then $\left\{V_{0}, \ldots, V_{n}\right\}$ is a finite cover of $\mathcal{F}^{*}$, and $\left\{\pi\left(V_{0}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(V_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a finite subcover of $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$.

To show that $X(1)$ is Hausdorff, let $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X(1)$ be distinct, and choose $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ so that $\pi\left(\tau_{1}\right)=x_{1}$ and $\pi\left(\tau_{2}\right)=x_{2}$. Then $\tau_{2} \neq \gamma \tau_{1}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (since $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$ ), so by Lemma 19.2, there are neighborhoods $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ respectively for which $\gamma U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus $\pi\left(U_{1}\right)$ and $\pi\left(U_{2}\right)$ are disjoint neighborhoods of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.

We note that Lemmas $\underline{19.1}$ and 19.2 and Thoerem 19.3 all hold if we replace $\Gamma$ by any finite-index subgroup of $\Gamma$; the proofs are essentially the same, the only change is an additional argument in the proof of Lemma 19.2 to handle inequivalent cusps.

### 19.2 Riemann surfaces

Definition 19.4. A complex structure on a topological space $X$ is an open cover $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $X$ together with a set of compatible homeomorphisms $1 \psi_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with open images. Homeomorphisms $\psi_{i}$ and $\psi_{j}$ are compatible if the transition map

$$
\psi_{j} \circ \psi_{i}^{-1}: \psi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \psi_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)
$$

is holomorphic (vacuously true whenever $U_{i} \cap U_{j}=\emptyset$ ).
The homeomorphisms $\psi_{i}$ are called local parameters, or charts, and the set $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}$ is called an atlas. Each of the charts $\psi_{i}$ allows us to view a local piece of $X$ as a region of the complex plane; the transition maps allow us to move smoothly from one region to another. Note that the transition maps are necessarily homeomorphisms; the requirement that they also be holomorphic is the key feature that differentiates complex manifolds from real manifolds.

[^0]Definition 19.5. A Riemann surface is a connected Hausdorff space with a complex structure (equivalently, a connected complex manifold of dimension one). $\stackrel{2}{ }$

Example 19.6. The torus $\mathbb{C} / L$ corresponding to an elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{C}$ is a Riemann surface. To give $\mathbb{C} / L$ a complex structure let $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L$ be the quotient map, let $r>0$ be less than half the length of the shortest vector in $L$, and for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a fundamental region for $L$, let $U_{z} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be the open disc or radius $r$ centered at $z$. The restriction of $\pi$ to each $U_{z}$ is injective (by our choice of $r$ ) and defines a homeomorphism. We may thus take $\left\{\pi\left(U_{z}\right)\right\}$ as our open cover and the inverse maps $\pi^{-1}: \pi\left(U_{z}\right) \rightarrow U_{z}$ as our charts. The transition maps are all the identity map, hence holomorphic.

It is clear that $\mathbb{C} / L$ is a connected Hausdorff space, hence a Riemann surface, in fact a compact Riemann surface. We can compute its genus by triangulating a fundamental parallelogram and computing its Euler characteristic. Recall Euler's formula

$$
V-E+F=2-2 g,
$$

where $V$ counts vertices, $E$ counts edges, $F$ counts faces, and $g$ is the genus. If $L=\left[\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right]$, we may triangulate the parallelogram $\overline{\mathcal{F}_{0}}$ by drawing a diagonal from $\omega_{1}$ to $\omega_{2}$. We then have $V=1$ (every lattice point is equivalent to 0 ), $E=3$ (edges on the opposite side of the parallelogram are equivalent, so 2 edges on the border plus the diagonal), and $F=2$ (two triangles, one on each side of the diagonal). We thus have

$$
1-3+2=2-2 g,
$$

and $g=1$, as expected.
In order to show that $X(1)$ is a Riemann surface, we need to give it a complex structure. The only difficulty that arises when doing so occurs at points in $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ that possess extra symmetries under the action of $\Gamma$. We may restrict our attention to the fundamental region $\mathcal{F}^{*}$, and in this region there are only three points that we need to worry about, the points $i, \rho:=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$, and $\infty$. We require the following lemma.

Lemma 19.7. For $\tau \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$, let $G_{\tau}$ denote the stabilizer of $\tau$ in $\Gamma=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then

$$
G_{\tau}= \begin{cases}\{ \pm I\} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } \tau \notin\{i, \rho, \infty\} ; \\ \langle S\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } \tau=i ; \\ \langle S T\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } \tau=\rho \\ \langle \pm T\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } \tau=\infty .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. See Problem Set 8, or stare at Figure 1 and note $-I$ acts trivially and $T \infty=\infty$.

[^1]

Figure 1: $\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma$

### 19.3 The modular curve $X(1)$ as a Riemann surface

We now put a complex structure on $X(1)$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{H}^{*} \rightarrow X(1)$ be the quotient map, and for each point $x \in X(1)$ let $\tau_{x}$ be the unique point in the fundamental region $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ for which $\pi\left(\tau_{x}\right)=x$, and let $G_{x}=G_{\tau_{x}}$ be the stabilizer of $\tau_{x}$. For each $\tau_{x} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$, we can pick a neighborhood $U_{x}$ such that $\gamma U_{x} \cap U_{x}=\emptyset$ for all $\gamma \notin G_{x}$, by Lemma 19.2. The sets $\pi\left(U_{x}\right)$ form an open cover of $X(1)$. For $x \neq \infty$, we can map $U_{x}$ to an open subset of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ via the homeomorphism $\delta_{x}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{x}(\tau):=\frac{\tau-\tau_{x}}{\tau-\bar{\tau}_{x}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To visualize the map $\delta_{x}$, note that it sends $\tau_{x}$ to the origin, and if we extend its domain to $\bar{H}$, it maps the real line to the unit circle minus the point 1 and sends $\infty$ to 1 . Note that $\operatorname{im} \tau>0$ and $\operatorname{im} \bar{\tau}_{x}<0$, so the denominator is nonzero for all $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

To define $\psi_{x}$ we need to map $\pi\left(U_{x}\right)$ into $\mathbb{D}$. For $\tau_{x} \neq i, \rho, \infty$ we have $G_{x}=\{ \pm 1\}$, which fixes every point in $U_{x}$, not just $\tau_{x}$. In this case the restriction of $\pi$ to $U_{x}$ is injective, we have $U_{x} / \Gamma=U_{x} / G_{x}=U_{x}$, so we can simply define $\psi_{x}:=\delta_{x} \circ \pi^{-1}$.

When $\left|G_{x}\right|>2$, the restriction of $\pi$ to $U_{x}$ is no longer injective (it is at $\tau_{x}$, but not at points near $\tau_{x}$ ), so we cannot use $\psi_{x}=\delta_{x} \circ \pi^{-1}$. We instead define $\psi_{x}(z)=\delta_{x}\left(\pi^{-1}(z)\right)^{n}$, where $n=\left|G_{x}\right| / 2$ is the size of the $\Gamma$-orbits in $U_{x} \backslash\left\{\tau_{x}\right\}$. Note that when $G_{x}=\{ \pm 1\}$ we have $n=1$ and this is the same as defining $\psi_{x}=\delta_{x} \circ \pi^{-1}$. To prove that this actually works, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 19.8. Let $\tau_{x} \in \mathbb{H}$, with $\delta_{x}(\tau)$ as in (1), and let $\varphi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a holomorphic function fixing $\tau_{x}$ whose $n$-fold composition with itself is the identity, with $n$ minimal. Then for some primitive nth root of unity $\zeta$, we have $\delta_{x}(\varphi(\tau))=\zeta \delta_{x}(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$.

Proof. The map $f=\delta_{x} \circ \varphi \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}$ is a holomorphic bijection (conformal map) from $\mathbb{D}$ to $\mathbb{D}$ that fixes 0 . Every such function is a rotation $f(z)=\zeta z$ with $|\zeta|=1$, by [3, Cor. 8.2.3]. Since the $n$-fold composition of $f$ with itself is the identity map, with $n$ minimal, $\zeta$ must be a primitive $n$th root of unity.

What about $x=\infty$ ? We have $G_{\infty}=\langle \pm T\rangle$, so the intersection of the $\Gamma$-orbit of any point $\tau \in U_{\infty} \backslash\{\infty\}$ with $U_{\infty}$ is the set $\{\tau+m: m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We now define

$$
\delta_{\infty}(z):= \begin{cases}e^{2 \pi i z} & \text { if } z \neq \infty, \\ 0 & \text { if } z=\infty,\end{cases}
$$

and let $\psi_{\infty}=\delta_{\infty} \circ \pi^{-1}$. Then $\delta_{\infty}(\tau+m)=\delta_{\infty}(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in U_{\infty} \backslash\{\infty\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
The following commutative diagrams summarize the charts $\psi_{x}$ :


We are now ready to prove that $X(1)$ is a compact Riemann surface. Theorem 19.3 states that $X(1)$ is a connected compact Haussdorff space, so we just need to prove that we have a complex structure on $X(1)$. This means verifying that the maps $\psi_{x}: \pi\left(U_{x}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ are well-defined (we must have $\psi(\pi(\gamma \tau))=\psi(\pi(\tau))$ for all $\tau \in U_{x}$ and $\gamma \in G_{x}$ ), that they are homeomorphisms, and that the transition maps are holomorphic.

Theorem 19.9. The open cover $\left\{U_{x}\right\}$ and atlas $\left\{\psi_{x}\right\}$ define a complex structure on $X(1)$.
Proof. As above, let $x=\pi\left(\tau_{x}\right)$ with $\tau_{x} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$. We first verify that the maps $\psi_{x}$ are welldefined and homeomorphisms.

We first consider $x \neq \infty$. By Lemma 19.7, the stabilizer $G_{x}$ of $\tau_{x}$ is cyclic of order $2 n$, and $\gamma^{n}= \pm 1$ acts trivially for all $\gamma \in G_{x}$. Applying Lemma 19.8 to the function $\varphi(\tau)=\gamma \tau$, we have $\delta_{x}(\gamma z)=\zeta \delta_{x}(z)$ for all $z \in U_{x}$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity. Thus

$$
\psi_{x}(\pi(\gamma z))=\delta_{x}(\gamma z)^{n}=\zeta^{n} \delta_{x}(z)^{n}=\delta_{x}(z)^{n}=\psi_{x}(\pi(z))
$$

for all $z \in U_{x}$. It follows that $\psi_{x}$ is well defined on $U_{x} / G_{x}$. To show that $\psi_{x}$ is a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that it is holomorphic and injective, by the open mapping theorem [3, Thm. 5.5.4]. It is clearly holomorphic, since $\delta_{x}(\tau)$ is a rational function with no poles in $U_{x}$. To prove injectivity, assume $\psi_{x}\left(\pi\left(\tau_{1}\right)\right)=\psi_{x}\left(\pi\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right)$. Then for some integer $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{x}\left(\tau_{1}\right)^{n} & =\delta_{x}\left(\tau_{2}\right)^{n} \\
\delta_{x}\left(\tau_{1}\right) & =\zeta^{k} \delta_{x}\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\delta_{x}\left(\gamma^{k} \tau_{2}\right) \\
\tau_{1} & =\gamma^{k} \tau_{2} \\
\pi\left(\tau_{1}\right) & =\pi\left(\tau_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi_{x}$ is an injective and therefore a homeomorphism.
For $x=\infty$, the point $\tau=\infty \in \mathbb{H}^{*}$ is the unique point in $U_{\infty}$ for which $\pi(\tau)=\infty$, and $\psi_{x}(\tau)=0$ if and only if $\tau=\infty$. So $\psi_{\infty}$ is well defined at $\infty$. For $\tau \in U_{\infty} \backslash\{\infty\}$, we have

$$
\psi_{\infty}(\pi(\tau+m))=\delta_{\infty}(\tau+m)=e^{2 \pi i(\tau+m)}=e^{2 \pi i \tau}=\delta_{\infty}(\tau)=\psi_{\infty}(\pi(\tau))
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, thus $\psi_{\infty}$ is well defined. The map $\psi_{\infty}$ is clearly continuous, and it has a continuous inverse

$$
\psi_{\infty}^{-1}(z)= \begin{cases}\pi\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log z\right) & \text { if } z \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

thus it is a homeomorphism.
We now show that the transition maps are holomorphic. Let us first consider $U_{x}, U_{y}$ with $x, y \neq \infty$. For any $z \in \psi_{x}\left(\pi\left(U_{x}\right) \cap \pi\left(U_{y}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ we have

$$
\psi_{y} \circ \psi_{x}^{-1}(z)=\psi_{y} \circ \pi \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \psi_{x}^{-1}(z)=\left(\psi_{y} \circ \pi\right) \circ\left(\psi_{x} \circ \pi\right)^{-1}(z)=\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}\left(z^{1 / n_{x}}\right),
$$

where $n_{x}=\left|G_{x}\right| / 2$ and $n_{y}=\left|G_{y}\right| / 2$. The map $\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$, so it suffices to show that it is a power series in $z^{n_{x}}$; this will imply that $\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}\left(z^{1 / n_{z}}\right)$ is defined by a power series in $z$, hence holomorphic. Let $\zeta$ be an $n_{x}$ th root of unity such that $\delta_{x}(\gamma z)=\zeta \delta_{x}(z)$, where $\gamma$ generates $G_{x}$, as in Lemma 19.8. Note that $\pi \circ \gamma=\pi$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, so we have

$$
\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}(\zeta z)=\left(\psi_{y} \circ \pi\right) \circ\left(\gamma \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}(z)\right)=\psi_{y} \circ \pi \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}(z)=\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}(z) .
$$

It follows that $\delta_{y}^{n_{y}} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}$ is a power series in $z^{n_{x}}$, since it maps $\zeta z$ and $z$ to the same point.
For $x \neq \infty$ and $y=\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\infty} \circ \psi_{x}^{-1}(z) & =\psi_{y} \circ \pi \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \psi_{x}^{-1}(z)=\left(\psi_{y} \circ \pi\right) \circ\left(\psi_{x} \circ \pi\right)^{-1}(z) \\
& =\delta_{\infty} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}\left(z^{1 / n_{x}}\right)=\exp \left(2 \pi i \delta_{x}^{-1}\left(z^{1 / n_{x}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{\infty} \circ \delta_{x}^{-1}$ is holomorphic. and the same argument used above shows that it is actually a power series in $z^{n_{x}}$.

For the case $x=\infty$ and $y \neq \infty$, we have

$$
\delta_{y}^{n_{y}}(z+1)=\psi_{y} \circ \pi \circ T z=\psi_{y} \circ \pi(z)=\delta_{y}^{n_{y}}(z),
$$

so $\delta_{y}^{n_{y}}$ is a holomorphic function in the variable $q=e^{2 \pi i z}$ (note $z \in U_{\infty} \cap U_{y}$ is bounded). Thus the transition map

$$
\psi_{y} \circ \psi_{\infty}^{-1}(z)=\delta_{y}^{n_{y}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log z\right)
$$

is holomorphic. The case $x=y=\infty$ is trivial, since $\psi_{\infty} \circ \psi_{\infty}^{-1}$ is the identity map.
Theorem 19.10. The modular curve $X(1)$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus 0 .
Proof. That $X(1)$ is a compact Riemann surface follows immediately from Theorems $\underline{19.3}$ and 19.9. To show that it has genus 0 , we triangulate $X(1)$ by connecting the points $\overline{i, \rho}$, and $\infty$, partitioning the surface into two triangles. Applying Euler's formula

$$
V-E+F=2-2 g
$$

with $V=3, E=3$, and $F=2$, we see that $g=0$.
Theorem $\underline{19.10}$ implies that $X(1)$ is homeomorphic to the Riemann sphere $S=\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$, since, up to isomorphism, $S$ is the unique compact Riemann surface of genus 0 . The modular curve $Y(1)$ is also a Riemann surface of genus 0 , but it is not compact. As we saw in Lecture $17, Y(1)$ is homeomorphic to the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ via the $j$-function.

### 19.4 Modular curves

We also wish to consider modular curves defined as quotients $\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma$ for various finite index subgroups $\Gamma$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ that have desirable arithmetic properties.

Definition 19.11. The principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma(N)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \bmod N\right\} .
$$

A congruence subgroup (of level $N$ ) is any subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\Gamma(N)$. A modular curve is a quotient of $\mathbb{H}^{*}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ by a congruence subgroup.

Remark 19.12. Every congruence subgroup is a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. The converse does not hold; in fact, most finite index subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ are not congruence subgroups, although it is surprisingly difficult to write down explicit examples (you will have the opportunity to explore this question in Problem Set 10).

There are two families of congruence subgroups of particular interest:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}(N):=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & * \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \bmod N\right\} ; \\
& \Gamma_{0}(N):=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
* & * \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right) \bmod N\right\} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\Gamma(1)=\Gamma_{1}(1)=\Gamma_{0}(1)=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. We now define the modular curves

$$
X(N):=\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma(N), \quad X_{1}(N):=\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma_{1}(N), \quad X_{0}(N):=\mathbb{H}^{*} / \Gamma_{0}(N),
$$

and similarly define

$$
Y(N):=\mathbb{H} / \Gamma(N), \quad Y_{1}(N):=\mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{1}(N), \quad Y_{0}(N):=\mathbb{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N) .
$$

Following the same strategy we used for $X(1)$, one can show that these are all compact Riemann surfaces (things are slightly more complicated because there may be many inequivalent cusps to consider).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recall that a homeomorphism is a bicontinuous function, a continuous function with a continuous inverse.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Strictly speaking, a Riemann surface is also required to be second-countable, meaning that it admits a countable basis of open sets. This is a technical condition that is easily satisfied by all the Riemann surfaces $\mathbb{H}^{*} / G$ that we shall consider (to get a countable basis for $\mathbb{H}$ take open discs of rational radii centered at points with rational real and imaginary parts, for example).

