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DAVID Hi everyone. Welcome back.

## SHIROKOFF:

So today I'd like to tackle a problem on pseudoinverses. So given a matrix A, which is not square, so it's just 1 and 2. First, what is its pseudoinverse? So A plus I'm using to denote the pseudoinverse. Then secondly, compute A plus A and A A plus. And then thirdly, if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, what is $A$ plus $A$ acting on $x$ ? And lastly, if $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose, what is $A$ plus $A x$ ?

So I'll let you think about this problem for a bit, and l'll be back in a second.

Hi everyone. Welcome back. OK, so let's take a look at this problem. Now first off, what is a pseudoinverse? Well we define the pseudoinverse using the SVD. So in actuality, this is nothing new. Now, we note that because A is not square, the regular inverse of A doesn't necessarily exist. However, we do know that the SVD exists for every matrix $A$ whether it's square or not.

So how do we compute the SVD of a matrix? Well let's just recall that the SVD of a matrix has the form of $u$ sigma $V$ transpose where $u$ and $V$ are orthogonal matrices. And sigma is a matrix with positive values along the diagonal or 0s along the diagonal. And let's just take a look at the dimensions of these matrices for a second. So we know that $A$ is a 1 by 2 matrix.

And the way to figure out what the dimensions of these matrices are I usually always start with the center matrix, sigma, and sigma is always going to have the same dimensions as $A$, so it's going to be a 1 by 2 matrix. $u$ and $V$ are always square matrices. So to make this multiplication work out, we need V to have 2, and because it's square it has to be 2 by 2 . And likewise, $u$ has to be 1 by 1 .

So we now have the dimensions of $u$ sigma and $V$. And note, because $u$ is a 1 by 1 matrix, the only orthogonal 1 by 1 matrix is just 1 . So u we already know is just going to be the matrix, the identity matrix, which is a 1 by 1 matrix.

OK, now how do we compute V and sigma? Well we can take A transpose and A , and if we do that we end up getting the matrix V sigma transpose sigma, V transpose. And this matrix is going to be a square matrix where the diagonal elements are squares of the singular values. So computing V and the values along sigma, just boil down to diagonalizing A transpose A.

So what is A transpose A? Well in our case is 12 times 1 2, which gives us $12,24$. And note that the second row is just a constant multiple times the first row.

Now what this means is we have a zero eigenvalue. So we already know that lambda 1 is going to be 0 . So one of the eigenvalues of this matrix is 0 . And of course, when we square root it, this is going to give us a singular value sigma, which is also 0 . And this is generally a case when we have a sigma which is not square. We typically always have 0 singular values.

Now to compute the second eigenvalue, well we already know how to compute the eigenvalues of a matrix, so I'm just going to tell you what it is. The second one is lambda is 5 . And if we just take a quick look what the corresponding eigenvector is going to be to lambda is 5 , it's going to satisfy this equation. So we can take the eigenvector $u$ to be 1 and 2 .

However, remember that when we compute the eigenvector for this orthogonal matrix $V$, they always have to have a unit length. And this vector right now doesn't have a unit length. We have to divide by the length of this vector, which in our case is 1 over root 5 . And if I go back to the lambda equals 0 case, we also have another eigenvector, which l'll just state. You can actually compute it quite quickly just by noting that it has to be orthogonal to this eigenvector, 2 and 1.

So what this means is A has a singular value decomposition, which looks like 1, so this is u , times sigma, which is going to be root 50 . Remember that the first sigma is actually the square root of the eigenvalue. Times a matrix which looks like, now we have to order the eigenvalues up in the correct order. Because 5 appears in the first column, we have to take this vector to be in the first column as well. So this is 1 over root 5 , this is 2 over root 5 , negative 2 over root 5 , and 1 over root 5 . And now this
is V , but the singular value decomposition is defined by V transpose.

So this gives us a representation for A. And now once we have the SVD of A, how do we actually compute A plus, or the pseudoinverse of A? Well just note if A was invertible, then the inverse of $A$ in terms of the SVD would be V transpose times the inverse of sigma. Sorry, this is not V transpose, this is just V . So it'd be V sigma inverse $u$ transpose. And when $A$ is invertible, sigma inverse exists.

So in our case, sigma inverse doesn't necessarily exist because sigma, note this is sigma, sigma is root 5 and 0 . So we have to construct a pseudoinverse for sigma. So the way that we do that is we take 1 over each singular value, and we take the transpose of sigma. So when A is not invertible, we can still construct a pseudoinverse by taking V sigma and approximation for sigma inverse, which in our case is going to be 1 over the singular value and 0 . So note where sigma is invertible, we take the inverse, and then we fill in 0 s in the other areas, times u transpose.

And we can work this out. We get 1 over root 5, 1 minus 2, 2 1, 1 over root 5, 0 . And if I multiply things out, I get $1 / 5,12$. So this is an approximation for A inverse, which is the pseudoinverse.

So this finishes up part one. And I'll started on part two in a second.

So now that we've just computed the pseudoinverse of A. We're going to investigate some properties of the pseudoinverse. So for part two we need to compute A times A plus and A plus times A. So we can just go ahead and do this. So A A plus you can do fairly quickly. $1 / 5,12$. And when we multiply it out we get 1 plus 4 divided by 5 is 1 . So we just get the one by one matrix, which is 1 , the identity matrix.

And secondly, if we take A plus times A we're going to get $1 / 5,12$ times 12 . And we can just fill in this matrix. This is $1 / 5,12,21$. And this concludes part two.

So now let's take a look at what happens when a vector $x$ is in the null space of $A$, and then secondly, what happens when x is in the column space of A transpose.

So for part three, let's assume x is in the null space of A . Well what's the null space of $A$ ? We can quickly check that the null space of $A$ is a constant times any vector minus 21.

So that's the null space. So if $x$ is, for example, i.e. if we take $x$ is equal to minus 21 , and we were to, say, multiply it by A plus A, acting on $x$ we see that we get 0 . And this isn't very surprising because, well if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, we know that $A$ acting on $x$ is going to be 0 . So that no matter what matrix $A$ plus is, when we multiply by 0 , we'll always end up with 0 .

And then lastly, let's take a look at the column space of A transpose. Well A transpose is 12 , so it's any constant times the vector 12 . And specifically, if we were to take, say, $x$ is equal to 12 , we can work at $A$ plus $A$ acting on the vector 12 . So we have $1 / 5,12,21$. So recall this is A plus A. And if we multiply it on the vector 12 , we get 1 plus 4 is 5 divided by 5 , so we get 1.2 plus 2 is 4 -- sorry, I copied the matrix down. So it's 2 plus 8 , which is 10 divided by 5 is 2 . And we see that at the end we recover the vector $x$.

So in general, if we take $A$ plus $A$ acting on $x$, where $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose, we always recover $x$ at the end of the day. So intuitively, what does this matrix $A$ plus $A$ do? Well if $x$ is in the null space of $A$, it just kills it. We just get 0 . If $x$ is not in the null space of $A$, then we just get $x$ back. So it's essentially the identity matrix acting on $x$ whenever $x$ is in the column space of $A$ transpose.

Now specifically, if A is invertible, then A doesn't have a null space. So what that means is when A is invertible, A plus A recovers the identity because when we multiply it on any vector, we get that vector back.

So l'd like to conclude here, and I'll see you next time.

