# Solutions to Homework 6 

6.262 Discrete Stochastic Processes<br>MIT, Spring 2011

## Exercise 1

Let $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of rv's and assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{n}\right|\right]=0$. Show that $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ converges to 0 in probability. Hint 1: Look for the easy way. Hint 2: The easy way uses the Markov inequality.

Solution: Applying the Markov inequality to $\left|Y_{n}\right|$ for arbitrary $n$ and arbitrary $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|Y_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{n}\right|\right]}{\epsilon}
$$

Thus going to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ for the given $\epsilon$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\left|Y_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0
$$

Since this is true for every $\epsilon>0$, this satisfies the definition for convergence to 0 in probability.

## Exercise 2 (4.2 in text)

The purpose of this exercise is to show that, for an arbitrary renewal process, $N(t)$, the number of renewals in ( $0, t$, has finite expectation.
a) Let the inter-renewal intervals have the distribution $\mathrm{F}_{X}(x)$, with, as usual, $\mathrm{F}_{X}(0)=0$. Using whatever combination of mathematics and common sense is comfortable for you, show that numbers $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ must exist such that $\mathrm{F}_{X}(\delta) \leq 1-\epsilon$. In other words, you are to show that a positive rv must take on some range of of positive values with positive probability.

Solution: For any $\epsilon<1$, we can look at the sequence of events $\{X \geq 1 / k ; k \geq 1\}$. The union of these events is the event $\{X>0\}$. Since $\operatorname{Pr}\{X \leq 0\}=0, \operatorname{Pr}\{X>0\}=1$. The events $\{X \geq 1 / k\}$ are nested in $k$, so that, from (??),

$$
1=\operatorname{Pr} \bigcup_{k}\{X \geq 1 / k\}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\{X \geq 1 / k\}
$$

Thus, for $k$ large enough, $\operatorname{Pr}\{X \geq 1 / k\} \geq 1-\epsilon$. Taking $\delta$ to be $1 / k$ for that value of $k$ completes the demonstration.
b) Show that $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{n} \leq \delta\right\} \leq(1-\epsilon)^{n}$.

Solution: $S_{n}$ is the sum of $n$ interarrival times, and, bounding very loosely, $S_{n} \leq \delta$ implies that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, X_{i} \leq \delta$. The $X_{i}$ are independent, so, since $\operatorname{Pr} X_{i} \leq \delta \leq$ $(1-\epsilon)$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{n} \leq \delta\right\} \leq(1-\epsilon)^{n}$.
c) Show that $\mathbb{E}[N(\delta)] \leq 1 / \epsilon$.

Solution: Since $N(t)$ is nonnegative,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[N(\delta)] & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\{N(\delta) \geq n\} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{n} \leq \delta\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\epsilon)^{n} \\
& =\frac{1-\epsilon}{1-(1-\epsilon)}=\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

d) Show that for every integer $k, \mathbb{E}[N(k \delta)] \leq k / \epsilon$ and thus that $\mathbb{E}[N(t)] \leq \frac{t+\delta}{\epsilon \delta}$ for any $t>0$. Solution: The solution of part c) suggests breaking the interval ( $0, k \delta$ ] into $k$ intervals each of size $\delta$. Letting $N_{i}=N((i)-N(i-1)$ be the $i$ th of these intervals, we have $\mathbb{E}[N(\delta k)]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{i}\right]$.

For the first of these intervals, we have shown that $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{1}\right] \leq 1 / \epsilon$, but that argument does not quite work for the subsequent intervals, since the first arrival in that interval might be at the end of an interarrival interval greater than $\delta$. All the other arrivals in that interval must still be at the end of an interarrival interval at most $\delta$. Thus if let $S_{n}^{(i)}$ be the number of arrivals in the $i$ th interval, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{n}^{(i)\}} \leq \delta \leq(1-\epsilon)^{n-1}\right.
$$

Repeating the argument in part c), then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{i}\right] & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{N_{i} \geq n\right\}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{S_{n}^{(i)} \leq \delta\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\epsilon)^{n-1}=\frac{1}{1-(1-\epsilon)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[N(\delta k)]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{i}\right]$, we then have

$$
\mathbb{E}[N(k \delta)] \leq k / \epsilon
$$

Since $N(t)$ is non-decreasing in $t$, it can be upper bounded by the integer multiple of $1 / \delta$ that is just larger than $t$, i.e.,

$$
\mathbb{E}[N(t)] \leq \mathbb{E}[N(\delta[t / \delta\rceil)] \leq \frac{\lceil t / \delta\rceil)}{\epsilon} \leq \frac{t / \delta+1}{\epsilon}
$$

e) Use your result here to show that $N(t)$ is non-defective.

Solution: Since $N(t)$, for each $t$, is non-negative and has finite expectation, it certainly must be non-defective. One way to see this is that $\mathbb{E}[N(t)]$ is the integral of the complementary distribution function, $\mathcal{F}_{N(t)}^{\mathrm{c}}(n)$ of $N(t)$. Since this integral is finite, $\mathrm{F}_{N(t)}^{\mathrm{c}}(n)$ must approach 0 with increasing $n$.

## 3) Exercise 4.4 in text

Is it true for a renewal process that:
a) $N(t)<n$ if and only if $S_{n}>t$ ?
b) $N(t) \leq n$ if and only if $S_{n} \geq t$ ?
c) $N(t)>n$ if and only if $S_{n}<t$ ?

Solution: Part a) is true, as pointed out in (4.1). It is simply the complement of the statement that $N(t) \geq n$ if and only if $S_{n} \leq t$.

Parts b) and c) are false, as seen by any situation where $S_{n}<t$ and $S_{n+1}>t$. In these cases, $N(t)=n$.

## 4) Exercise 4.5 in text

(This shows that convergence WP1 implies convergence in probability.) Let $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of rv's that converges to 0 WP1. For any positive integers $m$ and $k$, let

$$
A(m, k)=\left\{\omega:\left|Y_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k \quad \text { for all } n \geq m\right\} .
$$

a) Show that if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{n}(\omega)=0$ for some given $\omega$, then (for any given $k$ ) $\omega \in A(m, k)$ for some positive integer $m$.

Solution: Note that for a given $\omega,\left\{Y_{n}(\omega) ; n \geq 1\right\}$ is simply a sequence of real numbers. Thus by the definition of convergence of a sequence of real numbers, if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{n}(\omega)=0$ then for every integer $k \geq 1$, there is an $m$ such that $\left|Y_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k$ for all $n \geq m$. Thus the given $\omega$ must be in the set $A(m, k)$ for that $k$.
b) Show that for all $k \geq 1$

$$
\operatorname{Pr} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A(m, k)=1
$$

Solution: We saw in a) that, given $k \geq 1$ and given $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{n}(\omega)=0$, there is some $m \geq 1$ such that $\omega \in A(m, k)$. Thus given $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{n}(\omega)=0, \omega \in \bigcup_{m} A(m, k)$
c) Show that, for all $m \geq 1, A(m, k) \subseteq A(m+1, k)$. Use this (plus (1.9) to show that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr} A(m, k)=1
$$

Solution: If $\omega \in A(m, k)$, then $\left|Y_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k$ for all $n \geq m$ and thus for all $n \geq m+1$. Thus $\omega \in A(m, k)$ implies that $\omega \in A(m+1, k)$. This means that $A(m, k) \subseteq A(m+1, k)$. From (1.9) then

$$
1=\operatorname{Pr} \bigcup_{m} A(m, k)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr} A(m, k)
$$

d) Show that if $\omega \in A(m, k)$, then $\left|Y_{m}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k$. Use this (plus part c) to show that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left|Y_{m}\right|>1 / k=0
$$

Solution: By the definition of $A(m, k), \omega \in A(m, k)$ means that $\left|Y_{n}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k$ for all $n \geq m$, and thus certainly $\left|Y_{m}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr} A(m, k)=1$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|Y_{m}(\omega)\right| \leq 1 / k
$$

Since $k \geq 1$ is arbitrary, this shows that $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ converges in probability.

## 5) Exercise 4.8 in text:

a) Since $\mathbb{E}[X]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} F_{X}^{c}(x) d x=\infty$, we know from the definition of an integral over an infinite limit that

$$
\mathbb{E}[X]=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{b} \mathrm{~d} F_{X}^{c}(x) d x=\infty
$$

For $\breve{X}=\min (X, b)$, we see that $\mathrm{d} F_{\breve{X}}(x)=\mathrm{d} F_{X}(x)$ for $x \leq b$ and $\mathrm{d} F_{\breve{X}}(x)=1$ for $x>b$. Thus $\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]=\int_{0}^{b} \mathrm{~d} F_{X}^{c}(x) d x$. Since $\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]$ is increasing with $b$ toward $\infty$, we see that for any $M>0$, there is a $b$ sufficiently large that $\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}] \geq M$.
b) Note that $X-\breve{X}$ is a non-negative rv, i.e., it is 0 for $X \leq b$ and greater than $b$ otherwise. Thus $\breve{X} \leq X$. It follows then that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\breve{S}_{n}=\breve{X}_{1}+\breve{X}_{2}+\cdots \breve{X}_{n} \leq X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots X_{n}=S_{n}
$$

Since $\breve{S}_{n} \leq S_{n}$, it follows for all $t>0$ that if $S_{n} \leq t$ then also $\breve{S}_{n} \leq t$. This then means that if $N(t) \geq n$, then also $\breve{N}(t) \geq n$. Since this is true for all $n, \breve{N}(t) \geq N(t)$, i.e., the reduction of inter-renewal intervals causes an increase in the number of renewals.
c) Let $M$ and $b<\infty$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}] \geq M$ be fixed in what follows. Since $\breve{X} \leq b$, we see that $\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]<\infty$, so we can apply Theorem 4.3.1, which asserts that

$$
\operatorname{Pr} \omega: \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\breve{N}(t, \omega)}{t}=\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]}=1
$$

Let $A$ denote the set of sample points above for which the above limit exists, i.e., for which $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \breve{N}(t, \omega) / t=1 / \mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]$. We will show that, for each $\omega \in A, \lim _{t} N(t, \omega) / t \leq 1 / 2 M$. We know that any for $\omega \in A, \lim _{t} \breve{N}(t, \omega) / t=1 / \mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]$. The definition of the limit of a real valued function states that for any $\epsilon>0$, there is a $\tau(\epsilon)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\breve{N}(t, \omega)}{t}-\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]}\right| \leq \epsilon \quad \text { for all } t \geq \tau(\epsilon)
$$

Note that $\tau(\epsilon)$ depends on $b$ and $\omega$ as well as $\epsilon$, so we denote it as $\tau(\epsilon, b, \omega)$. Using only one side of this inequality, $N(t, \omega) / t \leq \epsilon+1 / \mathbb{E}[\breve{X}]$ for all $t \geq \tau(\epsilon, b, \omega)$. Since we have seen that $N(t, \omega) \leq \breve{N}(t, \omega)$ and $\breve{X} \leq M$, we have

$$
\frac{N(t, \omega)}{t} \leq \epsilon+\frac{1}{M} \quad \text { for all } t \geq \tau(\epsilon, b, \omega)
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, we can choose it as $1 / M$, giving the desired inequality for all $\omega \in A$. Now for each choice of integer $M$, let $A(M)$ be the set of probability 1 above. The intersection of these sets also has probability 1 , and each $\omega$ in all of these sets have
$\left.\lim _{t} N(t, \omega) / t\right)=0$. If you did this correctly, you should surely be proud of yourself!!!

## Exercise 6:

a) In order to find $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t)\right]$, we need to use the iterative expectation formula and find $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right]$ first.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t)\right] & =\mathbb{E}_{X_{0}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right]\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left\{X_{0}=j\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right] \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi_{j} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Knowing the first initial state, we can find the expected reward up to time $t$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right]=r_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{M} P_{j i} r_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{M} P_{j i}^{2} r_{i}+\cdots+\sum_{i=1}^{M} P_{j i}^{t-1} r_{i}
$$

Assuming that $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}\right]$ is a vector in which the $j$-th element is $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right]$, we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}\right]=\vec{r}+[P] \vec{r}+[P]^{2} \vec{r}+\cdots+[P]^{t-1} \vec{r} \\
& \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t)\right]=\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi_{j} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}=j\right] \\
&=\vec{\pi} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t) \mid X_{0}\right] \\
&=\vec{\pi}\left(\vec{r}+[P] \vec{r}+[P]^{2} \vec{r}+\cdots+[P]^{t-1} \vec{r}\right) \\
&=\vec{\pi} \vec{r}+\vec{\pi}[P] \vec{r}+\vec{\pi}[P]^{2} \vec{r}+\cdots+\vec{\pi}[P]^{t-1} \vec{r} \\
&=t \vec{\pi} \vec{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equation is due to the fact that $\pi$ is the steady state probability vector of the Markov chain and thus it is a left eigenvector of $[P]$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=1$. Thus, $\vec{\pi}[P]^{k}=\vec{\pi}$.

Choosing the rewards as described in the problem where $r_{1}=1$ and for $j=\{2, \cdots M\}$ $r_{j}=0$, we get: $\mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t)\right]=\pi_{1} t$.

From the previous part, we would know that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[N_{s}(t)\right] / t=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{1} t / t=\pi_{1}$.
The difference between $N_{s}(t)$ and $N_{1}(t)$ is that the first process starts in steady state and the second starts in state 1 . The second is a bona-fide renewal counting process and the first is what is called a delayed renewal counting process. After the first occurrence
of state 1 , in $N_{s}(t)$, the intervals between successive occurrences of state 1 are IID and the same as with $N_{1}(t)$. Thus the time to the $n$th renewal (starting in steady state) is $S_{n}=Y_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+\cdots X_{n}$ where $X_{2}, \cdots X_{n}$ are IID and $Y_{1}$ is another rv. It is not hard to believe (Section 4.8 of the text makes it precise) that $\lim _{n} S_{n} / n=\bar{X}$ WP1 for the process starting in steady state, and $N_{s}(t) / t$ then converges WP1 to $1 / \bar{X}$. From the above analysis of steady state, $\bar{X}=1 / \pi_{1}$.
b) The strong law for renewals say that if $X_{i}$ is defined to be the $i$-th interarrival time of going from state 1 to itself, then with probability $1, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} N_{1}(t) / t=1 / X$. Thus, the expectation of the interarrival times of recurrence of state 1 is $1 / \pi_{1}$
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